Bibliography

[1] P. Agarwal. Range searching. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 36. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[2] P. Agarwal and J. Erickson. Geometric range searching and its relatives. In B. Chazelle, J. Goodman, and R. Pollack, editors, *Advances in Discrete and Computational Geometry*, pages 1–56. American Mathematical Society, 1998.

[3] P. Agarwal, J. Pach, and M. Sharir. State of the union (of geometric objects): A review. In J. Goodman, J. Pach, and R. Pollack, editors, *Computational Geometry: Twenty Years Later*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[4] P. K. Agarwal. Partitioning arrangements of lines II: Applications. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5:533–573, 1990.

[5] P. K. Agarwal, M. de Berg, J. Gudmundsson, M. Hammar, and H. J. Haverkort. Box-trees and R-trees with near-optimal query time. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 28:291–312, 2002.

[6] P. K. Agarwal, M. de Berg, J. Matoušek, and O. Schwarzkopf. Constructing levels in arrangements and higher order Voronoi diagrams. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 27:654–667, 1998.

[7] P. K. Agarwal and M. van Kreveld. Implicit point location in arrangements of line segments, with an application to motion planning. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 4:369–383, 1994.

[8] P. K. Agarwal and J. Matoušek. On range searching with semialgebraic sets. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 11:393–418, 1994.

[9] P. K. Agarwal and M. Sharir. Efficient randomized algorithms for some geometric optimization problems. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 16:317–337, 1996.

[10] A. Aggarwal. *The Art Gallery Problem: Its Variations, Applications, and Algorithmic Aspects*. Ph.D. thesis, Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, MD, 1984.

[11] A. Aggarwal, L. J. Guibas, J. B. Saxe, and P. W. Shor. A linear-time algorithm for computing the Voronoi diagram of a convex polygon. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:591–604, 1989.

[12] O. Aichholzer, F. Aurenhammer, S.-W. Cheng, N. Katoh, M. Taschwer, G. Rote, and Y.-F. Xu. Triangulations intersect nicely. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 16:339–359, 1996.

[13] V. Akman. *Unobstructed Shortest Paths in Polyhedral Environments*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 251. Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[14] S. Aluru. Quadtrees and octrees. In D. Metha and S. Sahni, editors, *Handbook of Data Structures and Applications*, chapter 19. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2005.

[15] N. M. Amato, M. T. Goodrich, and E. A. Ramos. A randomized algorithm for triangulating a simple polygon in linear time. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 26:245–265, 2001.

[16] N. Amenta. Helly-type theorems and generalized linear programming. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 12:241–261, 1994.

[17] A. M. Andrew. Another efficient algorithm for convex hulls in two dimensions. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 9:216–219, 1979.

[18] L. Arge, M. de Berg, H. J. Haverkort, and K. Yi. The priority R-tree: A practically efficient and worst-case optimal R-tree. In *SIGMOD Conf.*, pages 347–358, 2004.

[19] L. Arge, G. Brodal, and L. Georgiadis. Improved dynamic planar point location. In *Proc. 47th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 305–314, 2006.

[20] E. M. Arkin, J. S. B. Mitchell, and S. Suri. Logarithmic-time link path queries in a simple polygon. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 5:369–395, 1995.

[21] B. Aronov, M. de Berg, and C. Gray. Ray shooting and intersection searching amidst fat convex polyhedra in 3-space. In *Proc. 22nd Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 88–94, 2006.

[22] B. Aronov and M. Sharir. On translational motion planning of a convex polyhedron in 3-space. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 26:1785–1803, 1997.

[23] T. Asano, T. Asano, L. J. Guibas, J. Hershberger, and H. Imai. Visibility of disjoint polygons. *Algorithmica*, 1:49–63, 1986.

[24] T. Asano, D. Kirkpatrick, and C. K. Yap. d_1-optimal motion for a rod. In *Proc. 12th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 252–263, 1996.

[25] F. Aurenhammer. A criterion for the affine equality of cell complexes in R^d and convex polyhedra in R^{d+1}. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:49–64, 1987.

[26] F. Aurenhammer. Power diagrams: Properties, algorithms and applications. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 16:78–96, 1987.

[27] F. Aurenhammer. Linear combinations from power domains. *Geom. Dedicata*, 28:45–52, 1988.

[28] F. Aurenhammer. Voronoi diagrams: A survey of a fundamental geometric data structure. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 23:345–405, 1991.

[29] F. Aurenhammer and H. Edelsbrunner. An optimal algorithm for constructing the weighted Voronoi diagram in the plane. *Pattern Recogn.*, 17:251–257, 1984.

[30] F. Aurenhammer, F. Hoffmann, and B. Aronov. Minkowski-type theorems and least-squares clustering. *Algorithmica*, 20:61–76, 1998.

[31] F. Aurenhammer and O. Schwarzkopf. A simple on-line randomized incremental algorithm for computing higher order Voronoi diagrams. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 2:363–381, 1992.

[32] D. Avis and G. T. Toussaint. An efficient algorithm for decomposing a polygon into star-shaped polygons. *Pattern Recogn.*, 13:395–398, 1981.

[33] F. Avnaim, J.-D. Boissonnat, and B. Faverjon. A practical exact motion planning algorithm for polygonal objects amidst polygonal obstacles. In *Proc. 5th IEEE Internat. Conf. Robot. Autom.*, pages 1656–1661, 1988.

[34] C. Bajaj and T. K. Dey. Convex decomposition of polyhedra and robustness. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 21:339–364, 1992.

[35] I. J. Balaban. An optimal algorithm for finding segment intersections. In *Proc. 11th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 211–219, 1995.

[36] C. Ballieux. Motion planning using binary space partitions. Technical Report Inf/src/93-25, Utrecht Univ., 1993.

[37] B. Barber and M. Hirsch. A robust algorithm for point in polyhedron. In *Proc. 5th Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom.*, pages 479–484, Waterloo, Canada, 1993.

[38] R. E. Barnhill. Representation and approximation of surfaces. In J. R. Rice, editor, *Math. Software III*, pages 69–120. Academic Press, New York, 1977.

[39] J. Barraquand and J.-C. Latombe. Robot motion planning: A distributed representation approach. *Internat. J. Robot. Res.*, 10:628–649, 1991.

[40] B. G. Baumgart. A polyhedron representation for computer vision. In *Proc. AFIPS Natl. Comput. Conf.*, vol. 44, pages 589–596, 1975.

[41] H. Baumgarten, H. Jung, and K. Mehlhorn. Dynamic point location in general subdivisions. *J. Algorithms*, 17:342–380, 1994.

[42] P. Belleville, M. Keil, M. McAllister, and J. Snoeyink. On computing edges that are in all minimum-weight triangulations. In *Proc. 12th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages V7–V8, 1996.

[43] R. V. Benson. *Euclidean Geometry and Convexity*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[44] J. L. Bentley. Multidimensional binary search trees used for associative searching. *Commun. ACM*, 18:509–517, 1975.

[45] J. L. Bentley. Solutions to Klee's rectangle problems. Technical report, Carnegie-Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, PA, 1977.

[46] J. L. Bentley. Decomposable searching problems. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 8:244–251, 1979.

[47] J. L. Bentley and T. A. Ottmann. Algorithms for reporting and counting geometric intersections. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-28:643–647, 1979.

[48] J. L. Bentley and J. B. Saxe. Decomposable searching problems I: Static-to-dynamic transformation. *J. Algorithms*, 1:301–358, 1980.

[49] M. Berg. Vertical ray shooting for fat objects. In *Proc. 21st Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 288–295, 2005.

[50] M. de Berg. Computing half-plane and strip discrepancy of planar point sets. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 6:69–83, 1996.

[51] M. de Berg. Linear size binary space partitions for uncluttered scenes. *Algorithmica*, 28:353–366, 2000.

[52] M. de Berg, P. Bose, D. Bremner, S. Ramaswami, and G. T. Wilfong. Computing constrained minimum-width annuli of point sets. *Comput.-Aided Design*, 30:267–275, 1998.

[53] M. de Berg and C. Gray. Vertical ray shooting and computing depth orders for fat objects. In *Proc. 17th ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms*, pages 494–503, 2006.

[54] M. de Berg, M. de Groot, and M. Overmars. New results on binary space partitions in the plane. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 8:317–333, 1997.

[55] M. de Berg, M. Katz, A. F. van der Stappen, and J. Vleugels. Realistic input models for geometric algorithms. *Algorithmica*, 34:81–97, 2002.

[56] M. de Berg, M. van Kreveld, B. J. Nilsson, and M. H. Overmars. Shortest path queries in rectilinear worlds. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 2:287–309, 1992.

[57] M. de Berg, M. van Kreveld, and J. Snoeyink. Two- and three-dimensional point location in rectangular subdivisions. *J. Algorithms*, 18:256–277, 1995.

[58] M. de Berg, J. Matoušek, and O. Schwarzkopf. Piecewise linear paths among convex obstacles. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 14:9–29, 1995.

[59] M. de Berg and O. Schwarzkopf. Cuttings and applications. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 5:343–355, 1995.

[60] M. de Berg and M. Streppel. Approximate range searching using binary space partitions. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 33:139–151, 2006.

[61] M. Bern. Triangulation and mesh generation. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 25. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[62] M. Bern and D. Eppstein. Mesh generation and optimal triangulation. In D.-Z. Du and F. K. Hwang, editors, *Computing in Euclidean Geometry*. Lecture Notes Series on Computing, vol. 1, pages 23–90. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[63] M. Bern and D. Eppstein. Polynomial-size nonobtuse triangulation of polygons. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 2:241–255, 1992. Corrigendum in 2:449–450, 1992.

[64] M. Bern, D. Eppstein, and J. Gilbert. Provably good mesh generation. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 48:384–409, 1994.

[65] M. Bern and P. Plasman. Mesh generation. In J.-R. Sack and J. Urrutia, editors, *Handbook of Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 6. Elsevier, 1999.

[66] M. W. Bern, H. Edelsbrunner, D. Eppstein, S. L. Mitchell, and T. S. Tan. Edge insertion for optimal triangulations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 10:47–65, 1993.

[67] M. W. Bern, S. A. Mitchell, and J. Ruppert. Linear-size nonobtuse triangulation of polygons. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 14:411–428, 1995.

[68] B. K. Bhattacharya and J. Zorbas. Solving the two-dimensional findpath problem using a line-triangle representation of the robot. *J. Algorithms*, 9:449–469, 1988.

[69] J.-D. Boissonnat, O. Devillers, R. Schott, M. Teillaud, and M. Yvinec. Applications of random sampling to on-line algorithms in computational geometry. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 8:51–71, 1992.

[70] J.-D. Boissonnat, O. Devillers, and M. Teillaud. A semidynamic construction of higher-order Voronoi diagrams and its randomized analysis. *Algorithmica*, 9:329–356, 1993.

[71] J.-D. Boissonnat and M. Teillaud. On the randomized construction of the Delaunay tree. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 112:339–354, 1993.

[72] P. Bose and G. Toussaint. Geometric and computational aspects of manufacturing processes. *Comput. & Graphics*, 18:487–497, 1994.

[73] G. S. Brodal and R. Jacob. Dynamic planar convex hull. In *Proc. 43rd Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 617–626, 2002.

[74] R. A. Brooks and T. Lozano-Pérez. A subdivision algorithm in configuration space for findpath with rotation. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, 15:224–233, 1985.

[75] G. Brown. Point density in stems per acre. *New Zealand Forestry Service Research Notes*, 38:1–11, 1965.

[76] J. L. Brown. Vertex based data dependent triangulations. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 8:239–251, 1991.

[77] K. Q. Brown. Comments on "Algorithms for reporting and counting geometric intersections". *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-30:147–148, 1981.

[78] C. Burnikel, K. Mehlhorn, and S. Schirra. On degeneracy in geometric computations. In *Proc. 5th ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms*, pages 16–23, 1994.

[79] A. Bykat. Convex hull of a finite set of points in two dimensions. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 7:296–298, 1978.

[80] J. Canny. *The Complexity of Robot Motion Planning*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1987.

[81] J. Canny, B. R. Donald, and E. K. Ressler. A rational rotation method for robust geometric algorithms. In *Proc. 8th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 251–260, 1992.

[82] T. M. Chan. Output-sensitive results on convex hulls, extreme points, and related problems. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 16:369–387, 1996.

[83] T. M. Chan. Dynamic planar convex hull operations in near-logarithmaic amortized time. *J. ACM*, 48:1–12, 2001.

[84] D. R. Chand and S. S. Kapur. An algorithm for convex polytopes. *J. ACM*, 17:78–86, 1970.

[85] B. Chazelle. A theorem on polygon cutting with applications. In *Proc. 23rd Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 339–349, 1982.

[86] B. Chazelle. Convex partitions of polyhedra: A lower bound and worst-case optimal algorithm. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 13:488–507, 1984.

[87] B. Chazelle. Filtering search: A new approach to query-answering. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:703–724, 1986.

[88] B. Chazelle. Reporting and counting segment intersections. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 32:156–182, 1986.

[89] B. Chazelle. Lower bounds on the complexity of polytope range searching. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2:637–666, 1989.

[90] B. Chazelle. Lower bounds for orthogonal range searching, I: The reporting case. *J. ACM*, 37:200–212, 1990.

[91] B. Chazelle. Lower bounds for orthogonal range searching, II: The arithmetic model. *J. ACM*, 37:439–463, 1990.

[92] B. Chazelle. Triangulating a simple polygon in linear time. In *Proc. 31st Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 220–230, 1990.

[93] B. Chazelle. An optimal convex hull algorithm and new results on cuttings. In *Proc. 32nd Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 29–38, 1991.

[94] B. Chazelle. Triangulating a simple polygon in linear time. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 6:485–524, 1991.

[95] B. Chazelle. Cutting hyperplanes for divide-and-conquer. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 9:145–158, 1993.

[96] B. Chazelle. Geometric discrepancy revisited. In *Proc. 34th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 392–399, 1993.

[97] B. Chazelle. An optimal convex hull algorithm in any fixed dimension. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 10:377–409, 1993.

[98] B. Chazelle and H. Edelsbrunner. An improved algorithm for constructing kth-order Voronoi diagrams. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-36:1349–1354, 1987.

[99] B. Chazelle and H. Edelsbrunner. An optimal algorithm for intersecting line segments in the plane. In *Proc. 29th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 590–600, 1988.

[100] B. Chazelle and H. Edelsbrunner. An optimal algorithm for intersecting line segments in the plane. *J. ACM*, 39:1–54, 1992.

[101] B. Chazelle, H. Edelsbrunner, L. Guibas, and M. Sharir. Algorithms for bichromatic line segment problems and polyhedral terrains. Report UIUCDCS-R-90-1578, Dept. Comput. Sci., Univ. Illinois, Urbana, IL, 1989.

[102] B. Chazelle, H. Edelsbrunner, L. Guibas, and M. Sharir. A singly-exponential stratification scheme for real semi-algebraic varieties and its applications. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 84:77–105, 1991.

[103] B. Chazelle, H. Edelsbrunner, L. Guibas, and M. Sharir. Algorithms for bichromatic line segment problems and polyhedral terrains. *Algorithmica*, 11:116–132, 1994.

[104] B. Chazelle and J. Friedman. Point location among hyperplanes and unidirectional ray-shooting. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 4:53–62, 1994.

[105] B. Chazelle and L. J. Guibas. Fractional cascading: I. A data structuring technique. *Algorithmica*, 1:133–162, 1986.

[106] B. Chazelle and L. J. Guibas. Fractional cascading: II. Applications. *Algorithmica*, 1:163–191, 1986.

[107] B. Chazelle, L. J. Guibas, and D. T. Lee. The power of geometric duality. *BIT*, 25:76–90, 1985.

[108] B. Chazelle and J. Incerpi. Triangulating a polygon by divide and conquer. In *Proc. 21st Allerton Conf. Commun. Control Comput.*, pages 447–456, 1983.

[109] B. Chazelle and J. Incerpi. Triangulation and shape-complexity. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 3:135–152, 1984.

[110] B. Chazelle and L. Palios. Triangulating a non-convex polytope. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5:505–526, 1990.

[111] B. Chazelle, M. Sharir, and E. Welzl. Quasi-optimal upper bounds for simplex range searching and new zone theorems. *Algorithmica*, 8:407–429, 1992.

[112] B. Chazelle and E. Welzl. Quasi-optimal range searching in spaces of finite VC-dimension. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:467–489, 1989.

[113] D. Z. Chen, K. S. Klenk, and H.-Y. T. Tu. Shortest path queries among weighted obstacles in the rectilinear plane. In *Proc. 11th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 370–379, 1995.

[114] Y.-B. Chen and D. Ierardi. Time-optimal trajectories of a rod in the plane subject to velocity constraints. *Algorithmica*, 18:165–197, June 1997.

[115] S. W. Cheng and R. Janardan. New results on dynamic planar point location. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 21:972–999, 1992.

[116] L. P. Chew. Building Voronoi diagrams for convex polygons in linear expected time. Technical Report PCS-TR90-147, Dept. Math. Comput. Sci., Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, 1986.

[117] L. P. Chew. Guaranteed-quality mesh generation for curved surfaces. In *Proc. 9th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 274–280, 1993.

[118] L. P. Chew and R. L. Drysdale, III. Voronoi diagrams based on convex distance functions. In *Proc. 1st Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 235–244, 1985.

[119] L. P. Chew and K. Kedem. A convex polygon among polygonal obstacles: Placement and high-clearance motion. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 3:59–89, 1993.

[120] Y.-J. Chiang, F. P. Preparata, and R. Tamassia. A unified approach to dynamic point location, ray shooting, and shortest paths in planar maps. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 25:207–233, 1996.

[121] Y.-J. Chiang and R. Tamassia. Dynamic algorithms in computational geometry. *Proc. IEEE*, 80:1412–1434, September 1992.

[122] Y.-J. Chiang and R. Tamassia. Optimal shortest path and minimum-link path queries between two convex polygons inside a simple polygonal obstacle. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 7:85–121, 1997.

[123] F. Chin, J. Snoeyink, and C.-A. Wang. Finding the medial axis of a simple polygon in linear time. In *Proc. 6th Annu. Internat. Sympos. Algorithms Comput. (ISAAC 95)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1004, pages 382–391. Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[124] N. Chin and S. Feiner. Near real time shadow generation using bsp trees. In *Proc. SIGGRAPH '89*, pages 99–106, 1989.

[125] J. Choi, J. Sellen, and C.-K. Yap. Precision-sensitive Euclidean shortest path in 3-space. In *Proc. 11th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 350–359, 1995.

[126] J. Choi, J. Sellen, and C. K. Yap. Approximate Euclidean shortest paths in 3-space. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 7:271–295, August 1997.

[127] H. Choset, K. M. Lynch, S. Hutchinson, G. Kantor, W. Burgard, L. E. Kavraki, and S. Thrun. *Principles of Robot Motion: Theory, Algorithms, and Implementations*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.

[128] V. Chvátal. A combinatorial theorem in plane geometry. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 18:39–41, 1975.

[129] V. Chvátal. *Linear Programming*. W. H. Freeman, New York, 1983.

[130] K. L. Clarkson. Linear programming in $O(n3^{d^2})$ time. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 22:21–24, 1986.

[131] K. L. Clarkson. New applications of random sampling in computational geometry. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:195–222, 1987.

[132] K. L. Clarkson. Las Vegas algorithms for linear and integer programming when the dimension is small. *J. ACM*, 42:488–499, 1995.

[133] K. L. Clarkson and P. W. Shor. Applications of random sampling in computational geometry, II. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:387–421, 1989.

[134] K. L. Clarkson, R. E. Tarjan, and C. J. Van Wyk. A fast Las Vegas algorithm for triangulating a simple polygon. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:423–432, 1989.

[135] R. Cole. Searching and storing similar lists. *J. Algorithms*, 7:202–220, 1986.

[136] G. E. Collins. Quantifier elimination for real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition. In *Proc. 2nd GI Conf. on Automata Theory and Formal Languages*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 33, pages 134–183. Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[137] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*, 2nd edn. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2001.

[138] F. d'Amore and P. G. Franciosa. On the optimal binary plane partition for sets of isothetic rectangles. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 44:255–259, 1992.

[139] G. B. Dantzig. *Linear Programming and Extensions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1963.

[140] M. N. Demers. *Fundamentals of Geographical Information Systems*, 4th edn. Wiley, 2008.

[141] O. Devillers. Randomization yields simple $O(n \log^* n)$ algorithms for difficult $\Omega(n)$ problems. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 2:97–111, 1992.

[142] O. Devillers and P. A. Ramos. Computing roundness is easy if the set is almost round. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 12:229–248, 2002.

[143] T. K. Dey. Improved bounds for k-Sets and k-th levels. In *Proc. 38th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 156–161, 1997.

[144] T. K. Dey. Improved bounds on planar k-sets and related problems. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 19:373–383, 1998.

[145] T. K. Dey, K. Sugihara, and C. L. Bajaj. Delaunay triangulations in three dimensions with finite precision arithmetic. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 9:457–470, 1992.

[146] M. T. Dickerson, S. A. McElfresh, and M. H. Montague. New algorithms and empirical findings on minimum weight triangulation heuristics. In *Proc. 11th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 238–247, 1995.

[147] M. T. Dickerson and M. H. Montague. A (usually?) connected subgraph of the minimum weight triangulation. In *Proc. 12th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 204–213, 1996.

[148] G. L. Dirichlet. Über die Reduktion der positiven quadratischen Formen mit drei unbestimmten ganzen Zahlen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 40:209–227, 1850.

[149] D. Dobkin and D. Eppstein. Computing the discrepancy. In *Proc. 9th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 47–52, 1993.

[150] D. Dobkin and D. Mitchell. Random-edge discrepancy of supersampling patterns. In *Graphics Interface '93*, 1993.

[151] C. Duncan and M. Goodrich. Approximate geometric query structures. In D. Metha and S. Sahni, editors, *Handbook of Data Structures and Applications*, chapter 26. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2005.

[152] D. Dutta, R. Janardan, and M. Smid. *Geometric and Algorithmic Aspects of Computer-Aided Design and Manufacturing*. DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 67. American Mathematical Society, 2005.

[153] M. E. Dyer. On a multidimensional search technique and its application to the Euclidean one-centre problem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:725–738, 1986.

[154] N. Dyn, D. Levin, and S. Rippa. Data dependent triangulations for piecewise linear interpolation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 10:137–154, 1990.

[155] H. Ebarra, N. Fukuyama, H. Nakano, and Y. Nakanishi. Roundness algorithms using Voronoi diagrams. In *Proc. First Canadian Conf. on Computational Geometry*, page 41, 1989.

[156] W. F. Eddy. A new convex hull algorithm for planar sets. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 3:398–403 and 411–412, 1977.

[157] H. Edelsbrunner. Dynamic data structures for orthogonal intersection queries. Report F59, Inst. Informationsverarb., Tech. Univ. Graz, Graz, Austria, 1980.

[158] H. Edelsbrunner. *Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry*. EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 10. Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[159] H. Edelsbrunner and L. J. Guibas. Topologically sweeping an arrangement. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 38:165–194, 1989. Corrigendum in 42:249–251, 1991.

[160] H. Edelsbrunner, L. J. Guibas, J. Hershberger, R. Seidel, M. Sharir, J. Snoeyink, and E. Welzl. Implicitly representing arrangements of lines or segments. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:433–466, 1989.

[161] H. Edelsbrunner, L. J. Guibas, and J. Stolfi. Optimal point location in a monotone subdivision. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:317–340, 1986.

[162] H. Edelsbrunner, G. Haring, and D. Hilbert. Rectangular point location in d dimensions with applications. *Comput. J.*, 29:76–82, 1986.

[163] H. Edelsbrunner and H. A. Maurer. On the intersection of orthogonal objects. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 13:177–181, 1981.

[164] H. Edelsbrunner and E. P. Mücke. Simulation of simplicity: A technique to cope with degenerate cases in geometric algorithms. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 9:66–104, 1990.

[165] H. Edelsbrunner, J. O'Rourke, and R. Seidel. Constructing arrangements of lines and hyperplanes with applications. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:341–363, 1986.

[166] H. Edelsbrunner and M. H. Overmars. Batched dynamic solutions to decomposable searching problems. *J. Algorithms*, 6:515–542, 1985.

[167] H. Edelsbrunner and R. Seidel. Voronoi diagrams and arrangements. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 1:25–44, 1986.

[168] H. Edelsbrunner, R. Seidel, and M. Sharir. On the zone theorem for hyperplane arrangements. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 22:418–429, 1993.

[169] H. Edelsbrunner and E. Welzl. Halfplanar range search in linear space and $O(n^{0.695})$ query time. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 23:289–293, 1986.

[170] H. ElGindy and G. T. Toussaint. On triangulating palm polygons in linear time. In N. Magnenat-Thalmann and D. Thalmann, editors, *New Trends in Computer Graphics*, pages 308–317. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[171] I. Emiris and J. Canny. An efficient approach to removing geometric degeneracies. In *Proc. 8th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 74–82, 1992.

[172] I. Emiris and J. Canny. A general approach to removing degeneracies. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 24:650–664, 1995.

[173] D. Eppstein, M. Goodrich, and J. Sun. The skip quadtree: A simple dynamic data structure for multidimensional data. In *Proc. 21st ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 296–205, 2005.

[174] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, A. Simmons, and E. Straus. Dissection graphs of planar point sets. In J. N. Srivastava, editor, *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory*, pages 139–154. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[175] I. D. Faux and M. J. Pratt. *Computational Geometry for Design and Manufacture*. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, U.K., 1979.

[176] U. Finke and K. Hinrichs. Overlaying simply connected planar subdivisions in linear time. In *Proc. 11th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 119–126, 1995.

[177] R. A. Finkel and J. L. Bentley. Quad trees: a data structure for retrieval on composite keys. *Acta Inform.*, 4:1–9, 1974.

[178] S. Fisk. A short proof of Chvàtal's watchman theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 24:374, 1978.

[179] J. D. Foley, A. van Dam, S. K. Feiner, and J. F. Hughes. *Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice*, 2nd edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1995.

[180] S. Fortune. Numerical stability of algorithms for 2-d Delaunay triangulations and Voronoi diagrams. In *Proc. 8th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 83–92, 1992.

[181] S. Fortune and V. Milenkovic. Numerical stability of algorithms for line arrangements. In *Proc. 7th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 334–341, 1991.

[182] S. Fortune and C. J. Van Wyk. Efficient exact arithmetic for computational geometry. In *Proc. 9th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 163–172, 1993.

[183] S. J. Fortune. A sweepline algorithm for Voronoi diagrams. *Algorithmica*, 2:153–174, 1987.

[184] A. Fournier and D. Y. Montuno. Triangulating simple polygons and equivalent problems. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 3:153–174, 1984.

[185] H. Fuchs, Z. M. Kedem, and B. Naylor. On visible surface generation by a priori tree structures. *Comput. Graph.*, 14:124–133, 1980. Proc. SIGGRAPH '80.

[186] K. R. Gabriel and R. R. Sokal. A new statistical approach to geographic variation analysis. *Systematic Zoology*, 18:259–278, 1969.

[187] J. Garcia-Lopez, P. A. Ramos, and J. Snoeyink. Fitting a set of points by a circle. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 20:389–402, 1998.

[188] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, F. P. Preparata, and R. E. Tarjan. Triangulating a simple polygon. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 7:175–179, 1978.

[189] B. Gärtner. A subexponential algorithm for abstract optimization problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 24:1018–1035, 1995.

[190] S. K. Ghosh and D. M. Mount. An output-sensitive algorithm for computing visibility graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 20:888–910, 1991.

[191] J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors. *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[192] R. L. Graham. An efficient algorithm for determining the convex hull of a finite planar set. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 1:132–133, 1972.

[193] P. J. Green and B. W. Silverman. Constructing the convex hull of a set of points in the plane. *Comput. J.*, 22:262–266, 1979.

[194] B. Grünbaum. *Convex Polytopes*. Wiley, 1967.

[195] L. J. Guibas, J. Hershberger, D. Leven, M. Sharir, and R. E. Tarjan. Linear-time algorithms for visibility and shortest path problems inside triangulated simple polygons. *Algorithmica*, 2:209–233, 1987.

[196] L. J. Guibas, D. E. Knuth, and M. Sharir. Randomized incremental construction of Delaunay and Voronoi diagrams. *Algorithmica*, 7:381–413, 1992.

[197] L. J. Guibas, L. Ramshaw, and J. Stolfi. A kinetic framework for computational geometry. In *Proc. 24th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 100–111, 1983.

[198] L. J. Guibas, D. Salesin, and J. Stolfi. Epsilon geometry: Building robust algorithms from imprecise computations. In *Proc. 5th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 208–217, 1989.

[199] L. J. Guibas and R. Sedgewick. A dichromatic framework for balanced trees. In *Proc. 19th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 8–21, 1978.

[200] L. J. Guibas and R. Seidel. Computing convolutions by reciprocal search. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:175–193, 1987.

[201] L. J. Guibas, M. Sharir, and S. Sifrony. On the general motion planning problem with two degrees of freedom. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4:491–521, 1989.

[202] L. J. Guibas and J. Stolfi. Primitives for the manipulation of general subdivisions and the computation of Voronoi diagrams. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 4:74–123, 1985.

[203] L. J. Guibas and J. Stolfi. Ruler, compass and computer: The design and analysis of geometric algorithms. In R. A. Earnshaw, editor, *Theoretical Foundations of Computer Graphics and CAD*. NATO ASI Series F, vol. 40, pages 111–165. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[204] A. Guttman. R-trees: A dynamic index structure for spatial searching. In *SIGMOD Conf.*, pages 47–57, 1984.

[205] D. Halperin. *Algorithmic Motion Planning via Arrangements of Curves and of Surfaces*. Ph.D. thesis, Comput. Sci. Dept., Tel-Aviv Univ., Tel Aviv, 1992.

[206] D. Halperin. Arrangements. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 24. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[207] D. Halperin and M. Sharir. Almost tight upper bounds for the single cell and zone problems in three dimensions. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 14:385–410, 1995.

[208] D. Halperin and M. Sharir. Arrangements and their applications in robotics: Recent developments. In K. Goldbergs, D. Halperin, J.-C. Latombe, and R. Wilson, editors, *Proc. Workshop on Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics*. A. K. Peters, Boston, MA, 1995.

[209] D. Haussler and E. Welzl. Epsilon-nets and simplex range queries. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:127–151, 1987.

[210] J. Hershberger and S. Suri. Efficient computation of Euclidean shortest paths in the plane. In *Proc. 34th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 508–517, 1993.

[211] J. Hershberger and S. Suri. Off-line maintenance of planar configurations. *J. Algorithms*, 21:453–475, 1996.

[212] J. Hershberger and S. Suri. An optimal algorithm for Euclidean shortest paths in the plane. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 28:2215–2256, 1999.

[213] S. Hertel, M. Mäntylä, K. Mehlhorn, and J. Nievergelt. Space sweep solves intersection of convex polyhedra. *Acta Inform.*, 21:501–519, 1984.

[214] S. Hertel and K. Mehlhorn. Fast triangulation of simple polygons. In *Proc. 4th Internat. Conf. Found. Comput. Theory*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 158, pages 207–218. Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[215] K. Ho-Le. Finite element mesh generation methods: A review and classification. *Comput. Aided Design*, 20:27–38, 1988.

[216] C. Hoffmann. *Geometric and Solid Modeling*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, CA, 1989.

[217] J. E. Hopcroft, J. T. Schwartz, and M. Sharir. *Planning, Geometry, and Complexity of Robot Motion*. Ablex Publishing, Norwood, NJ, 1987.

[218] C. Icking, G. Rote, E. Welzl, and C. Yap. Shortest paths for line segments. *Algorithmica*, 10:182–200, 1993.

[219] H. Inagaki and K. Sugihara. Numerically robust algorithm for constructing constrained Delaunay triangulation. In *Proc. 6th Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom.*, pages 171–176, 1994.

[220] R. Janardan and T. C. Woo. Design and manufacturing. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 55. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[221] R. A. Jarvis. On the identification of the convex hull of a finite set of points in the plane. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 2:18–21, 1973.

[222] G. Kalai. A subexponential randomized simplex algorithm. In *Proc. 24th Annu. ACM Sympos. Theory Comput.*, pages 475–482, 1992.

[223] M. Kallay. The complexity of incremental convex hull algorithms in R^d. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 19:197, 1984.

[224] R. G. Karlsson. Algorithms in a restricted universe. Report CS-84-50, Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, 1984.

[225] R. G. Karlsson and J. I. Munro. Proximity on a grid. In *Proc. 2nd Sympos. on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 182, pages 187–196. Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[226] R. G. Karlsson and M. H. Overmars. Scanline algorithms on a grid. *BIT*, 28:227–241, 1988.

[227] N. Karmarkar. A new polynomial-time algorithm for linear programming. *Combinatorica*, 4:373–395, 1984.

[228] M. Katz. 3-d vertical ray shooting and 2-d point enclosure, range searching, and arc shooting amidst convex fat objects. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 8:299–316, 1997.

[229] M. Katz, M. Overmars, and M. Sharir. Efficient hidden surface removal for objects with small union size. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 2:223–234, 1992.

[230] L. Kavraki, P. Švestka, J.-C. Latombe, and M. H. Overmars. Probabilistic roadmaps for path planning in high dimensional configuration spaces. *IEEE Trans. Robot. Autom.*, 12:566–580, 1996.

[231] K. Kedem, R. Livne, J. Pach, and M. Sharir. On the union of Jordan regions and collision-free translational motion amidst polygonal obstacles. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 1:59–71, 1986.

[232] K. Kedem and M. Sharir. An efficient algorithm for planning collision-free translational motion of a convex polygonal object in 2-dimensional space amidst polygonal obstacles. In *Proc. 1st Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 75–80, 1985.

[233] K. Kedem and M. Sharir. An efficient motion planning algorithm for a convex rigid polygonal object in 2-dimensional polygonal space. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5:43–75, 1990.

[234] L. G. Khachiyan. Polynomial algorithm in linear programming. *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, 20:53–72, 1980.

[235] O. Khatib. Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots. *Internat. J. Robot. Res.*, 5:90–98, 1985.

[236] D. G. Kirkpatrick. Optimal search in planar subdivisions. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 12:28–35, 1983.

[237] D. G. Kirkpatrick, M. M. Klawe, and R. E. Tarjan. Polygon triangulation in $o(n \log\log n)$ time with simple data structures. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 7:329–346, 1992.

[238] D. G. Kirkpatrick and R. Seidel. The ultimate planar convex hull algorithm? *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:287–299, 1986.

[239] V. Klee. On the complexity of d-dimensional Voronoi diagrams. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 34:75–80, 1980.

[240] R. Klein. Abstract Voronoi diagrams and their applications. In *Computational Geometry and its Applications*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 333, pages 148–157. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[241] R. Klein. *Concrete and Abstract Voronoi Diagrams*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 400. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[242] R. Klein, K. Mehlhorn, and S. Meiser. Randomized incremental construction of abstract Voronoi diagrams. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 3:157–184, 1993.

[243] J.-C. Latombe. *Robot Motion Planning*. Kluwer Academic, Boston, 1991.

[244] C. L. Lawson. Transforming triangulations. *Discrete Math.*, 3:365–372, 1972.

[245] C. L. Lawson. Software for C^1 surface interpolation. In J. R. Rice, editor, *Math. Software III*, pages 161–194. Academic Press, New York, 1977.

[246] D. Lee and A. Lin. Computational complexity of art gallery problems. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 32:276–282, 1986.

[247] D. T. Lee. Proximity and reachability in the plane. Report R-831, Dept. Elect. Engrg., Univ. Illinois, Urbana, IL, 1978.

[248] D. T. Lee. Two-dimensional Voronoi diagrams in the L_p-metric. *J. ACM*, 27:604–618, 1980.

[249] D. T. Lee. On k-nearest neighbor Voronoi diagrams in the plane. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-31:478–487, 1982.

[250] D. T. Lee and F. P. Preparata. Location of a point in a planar subdivision and its applications. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 6:594–606, 1977.

[251] D. T. Lee and C. K. Wong. Quintary trees: A file structure for multidimensional database systems. *ACM Trans. Database Syst.*, 5:339–353, 1980.

[252] D. T. Lee and C. K. Wong. Voronoi diagrams in L_1 (L_∞) metrics with 2-dimensional storage applications. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 9:200–211, 1980.

[253] D. T. Lee, C. D. Yang, and C. K. Wong. Rectilinear paths among rectilinear obstacles. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 70:185–215, 1996.

[254] J. van Leeuwen and D. Wood. Dynamization of decomposable searching problems. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 10:51–56, 1980.

[255] D. Leven and M. Sharir. Planning a purely translational motion for a convex object in two-dimensional space using generalized Voronoi diagrams. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 2:9–31, 1987.

[256] C. Li, S. Pion, and C. K. Yap. Recent progress in exact geometric computation. *J. Log. Algebr. Program.*, 64:85–111, 2005.

[257] P. A. Longley, M. F. Goodchild, D. J. Maguire, and D. W. Rhind. *Geographic Information Systems and Science*, 2nd edn. Wiley, 2005.

[258] T. Lozano-Pérez. Automatic planning of manipulator transfer movements. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, SMC-11:681–698, 1981.

[259] T. Lozano-Pérez. Spatial planning: A configuration space approach. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-32:108–120, 1983.

[260] T. Lozano-Pérez and M. A. Wesley. An algorithm for planning collision-free paths among polyhedral obstacles. *Commun. ACM*, 22:560–570, 1979.

[261] G. S. Lueker. A data structure for orthogonal range queries. In *Proc. 19th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 28–34, 1978.

[262] H. G. Mairson and J. Stolfi. Reporting and counting intersections between two sets of line segments. In R. A. Earnshaw, editor, *Theoretical Foundations of Computer Graphics and CAD*. NATO ASI Series F, vol. 40, pages 307–325. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[263] J. Matoušek. Efficient partition trees. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 8:315–334, 1992.

[264] J. Matoušek. Reporting points in halfspaces. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 2:169–186, 1992.

[265] J. Matoušek. Range searching with efficient hierarchical cuttings. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 10:157–182, 1993.

[266] J. Matoušek and O. Schwarzkopf. On ray shooting in convex polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 10:215–232, 1993.

[267] J. Matoušek, M. Sharir, and E. Welzl. A subexponential bound for linear programming. *Algorithmica*, 16:498–516, 1996.

[268] J. Matoušek, J. Pach, M. Sharir, S. Sifrony, and E. Welzl. Fat triangles determine linearly many holes. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 23:154–169, 1994.

[269] H. A. Maurer and T. A. Ottmann. Dynamic solutions of decomposable searching problems. In U. Pape, editor, *Discrete Structures and Algorithms*, pages 17–24. Carl Hanser Verlag, Munich, 1979.

[270] E. M. McCreight. Efficient algorithms for enumerating intersecting intervals and rectangles. Report CSL-80-9, Xerox Palo Alto Res. Center, Palo Alto, CA, 1980.

[271] E. M. McCreight. Priority search trees. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 14:257–276, 1985.

[272] R. Mead. A relation between the individual plant-spacing and yield. *Ann. of Bot., N.S.*, 30:301–309, 1966.

[273] N. Megiddo. Linear programming in linear time when the dimension is fixed. *J. ACM*, 31:114–127, 1984.

[274] K. Mehlhorn, S. Meiser, and C. Ó'Dúnlaing. On the construction of abstract Voronoi diagrams. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 6:211–224, 1991.

[275] K. Mehlhorn and S. Näher. Dynamic fractional cascading. *Algorithmica*, 5:215–241, 1990.

[276] K. Mehlhorn and M. H. Overmars. Optimal dynamization of decomposable searching problems. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 12:93–98, 1981.

[277] G. Meisters. Polygons have ears. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 82:648–651, 1975.

[278] E. A. Melissaratos and D. L. Souvaine. Coping with inconsistencies: A new approach to produce quality triangulations of polygonal domains with holes. In *Proc. 8th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 202–211, 1992.

[279] V. Milenkovic. Robust construction of the Voronoi diagram of a polyhedron. In *Proc. 5th Canad. Conf. Comput. Geom.*, pages 473–478, Waterloo, Canada, 1993.

[280] N. Miller and M. Sharir. Efficient randomized algorithms for constructing the union of fat triangles and pseudodiscs. Unpublished manuscript.

[281] J. S. B. Mitchell. Shortest paths among obstacles in the plane. In *Proc. 9th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 308–317, 1993.

[282] J. S. B. Mitchell. Shortest paths among obstacles in the plane. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 6:309–332, 1996.

[283] J. S. B. Mitchell and C. H. Papadimitriou. The weighted region problem: finding shortest paths through a weighted planar subdivision. *J. ACM*, 38:18–73, 1991.

[284] J. S. B. Mitchell, G. Rote, and G. Woeginger. Minimum-link paths among obstacles in the plane. *Algorithmica*, 8:431–459, 1992.

[285] M. E. Mortenson. *Geometric Modeling*, 3rd edn. Industrial Press, New York, 2006.

[286] D. E. Muller and F. P. Preparata. Finding the intersection of two convex polyhedra. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 7:217–236, 1978.

[287] H. Müller. Rasterized point location. In *Proc. Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 281–293. Trauner Verlag, Linz, Austria, 1985.

[288] K. Mulmuley. A fast planar partition algorithm, I. In *Proc. 29th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 580–589, 1988.

[289] K. Mulmuley. A fast planar partition algorithm, I. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 10:253–280, 1990.

[290] K. Mulmuley. *Computational Geometry: An Introduction Through Randomized Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1994.

[291] W. Mulzer and G. Rote. Minimum weight triangulation is NP-hard. In *Proc. 22nd Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 1–10, 2006.

[292] B. Naylor, J. A. Amanatides, and W. Thibault. Merging BSP trees yields polyhedral set operations. *Comput. Graph.*, 24:115–124, August 1990. Proc. SIGGRAPH '90.

[293] J. Nievergelt and F. P. Preparata. Plane-sweep algorithms for intersecting geometric figures. *Commun. ACM*, 25:739–747, 1982.

[294] J. Nievergelt and P. Widmayer. Spatial data structures: Concepts and design choices. In M. van Kreveld, J. Nievergelt, T. Roos, and P. Widmayer, editors, *Algorithmic Foundations of Geographic Information Systems*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1340. Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[295] N. Nilsson. A mobile automaton: An application of artificial intelligence techniques. In *Proc. IJCAI*, pages 509–520, 1969.

[296] C. Ó'Dúnlaing and C. K. Yap. A "retraction" method for planning the motion of a disk. *J. Algorithms*, 6:104–111, 1985.

[297] A. Okabe, B. Boots, and K. Sugihara. *Spatial Tessellations: Concepts and Applications of Voronoi Diagrams*. Wiley, 1992.

[298] J. O'Rourke. *Art Gallery Theorems and Algorithms*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.

[299] M. H. Overmars. *The Design of Dynamic Data Structures*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 156. Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[300] M. H. Overmars. Efficient data structures for range searching on a grid. *J. Algorithms*, 9:254–275, 1988.

[301] M. H. Overmars. Geometric data structures for computer graphics: An overview. In R. A. Earnshaw, editor, *Theoretical Foundations of Computer Graphics and CAD*. NATO ASI Series F, vol. 40, pages 21–49. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[302] M. H. Overmars. Point location in fat subdivisions. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 44:261–265, 1992.

[303] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Further comments on Bykat's convex hull algorithm. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 10:209–212, 1980.

[304] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Dynamization of decomposable searching problems yielding good worst-case bounds. In *Proc. 5th GI Conf. Theoret. Comput. Sci.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 104, pages 224–233. Springer-Verlag, 1981.

[305] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Maintenance of configurations in the plane. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 23:166–204, 1981.

[306] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Some principles for dynamizing decomposable searching problems. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 12:49–54, 1981.

[307] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Two general methods for dynamizing decomposable searching problems. *Computing*, 26:155–166, 1981.

[308] M. H. Overmars and J. van Leeuwen. Worst-case optimal insertion and deletion methods for decomposable searching problems. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 12:168–173, 1981.

[309] M. H. Overmars and A. F. van der Stappen. Range searching and point location among fat objects. In J. van Leeuwen, editor, *Algorithms – ESA'94*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 855, pages 240–253. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[310] M. H. Overmars and P. Švestka. A probabilistic learning approach to motion planning. In *Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics*, pages 19–38. A. K. Peters, Boston, MA, 1995.

[311] M. H. Overmars and C.-K. Yap. New upper bounds in Klee's measure problem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 20:1034–1045, 1991.

[312] J. Pach and M. Sharir. On vertical visibility in arrangements of segments and the queue size in the Bentley-Ottman line sweeping algorithm. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 20:460–470, 1991.

[313] J. Pach, W. Steiger, and E. Szemerédi. An upper bound on the number of planar k-sets. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 7:109–123, 1992.

[314] J. Pach and G. Tardos. On the boundary complexity of the union of fat triangles. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 31:1745–1760, 2002.

[315] L. Palazzi and J. Snoeyink. Counting and reporting red/blue segment intersections. *CVGIP: Graph. Models Image Process.*, 56:304–311, 1994.

[316] C. H. Papadimitriou. An algorithm for shortest-path motion in three dimensions. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 20:259–263, 1985.

[317] M. S. Paterson and F. F. Yao. Efficient binary space partitions for hidden-surface removal and solid modeling. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 5:485–503, 1990.

[318] M. S. Paterson and F. F. Yao. Optimal binary space partitions for orthogonal objects. *J. Algorithms*, 13:99–113, 1992.

[319] M. Pocchiola and G. Vegter. Topologically sweeping visibility complexes via pseudotriangulations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 16:419–453, 1996.

[320] M. Pocchiola and G. Vegter. The visibility complex. *Internat. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 6:279–308, 1996.

[321] F. P. Preparata. An optimal real-time algorithm for planar convex hulls. *Commun. ACM*, 22:402–405, 1979.

[322] F. P. Preparata and S. J. Hong. Convex hulls of finite sets of points in two and three dimensions. *Commun. ACM*, 20:87–93, 1977.

[323] F. P. Preparata and M. I. Shamos. *Computational Geometry: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[324] F. P. Preparata and R. Tamassia. Efficient point location in a convex spatial cell-complex. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 21:267–280, 1992.

[325] E. Quak and L. Schumaker. Cubic spline fitting using data dependent triangulations. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 7:293–302, 1990.

[326] E. A. Ramos. On range reporting, ray shooting and k-level construction. In *Proc. 15th Annu. ACM Sympos. on Comput. Geom.*, pages 390–399, 1999.

[327] J. H. Reif and J. A. Storer. A single-exponential upper bound for finding shortest paths in three dimensions. *J. ACM*, 41:1013–1019, 1994.

[328] S. Rippa. Minimal roughness property of the Delaunay triangulation. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 7:489–497, 1990.

[329] H. Rohnert. Shortest paths in the plane with convex polygonal obstacles. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 23:71–76, 1986.

[330] J. Ruppert and R. Seidel. On the difficulty of triangulating three-dimensional non-convex polyhedra. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 7:227–253, 1992.

[331] J.-R. Sack and J. Urrutia, editors. *Handbook of Computational Geometry*. Elsevier, 1997.

[332] H. Samet. An overview of quadtrees, octrees, and related hierarchical data structures. In R. A. Earnshaw, editor, *Theoretical Foundations of Computer Graphics and CAD*. NATO ASI Series F, vol. 40, pages 51–68. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[333] H. Samet. *Applications of Spatial Data Structures: Computer Graphics, Image Processing, and GIS*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.

[334] H. Samet. *The Design and Analysis of Spatial Data Structures*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.

[335] H. Samet. *Foundations of Multidimensional and Metric Data Structures*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, CA, 2006.

[336] N. Sarnak and R. E. Tarjan. Planar point location using persistent search trees. *Commun. ACM*, 29:669–679, 1986.

[337] J. B. Saxe and J. L. Bentley. Transforming static data structures to dynamic structures. In *Proc. 20th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 148–168, 1979.

[338] H. W. Scholten and M. H. Overmars. General methods for adding range restrictions to decomposable searching problems. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 7:1–10, 1989.

[339] A. Schrijver. *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*. Wiley, 1986.

[340] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir. On the "piano movers" problem I: The case of a two-dimensional rigid polygonal body moving amidst polygonal barriers. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36:345–398, 1983.

[341] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir. On the "piano movers" problem II: General techniques for computing topological properties of real algebraic manifolds. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 4:298–351, 1983.

[342] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir. A survey of motion planning and related geometric algorithms. In D. Kapur and J. Mundy, editors, *Geometric Reasoning*, pages 157–169. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1989.

[343] J. T. Schwartz and M. Sharir. Algorithmic motion planning in robotics. In J. van Leeuwen, editor, *Algorithms and Complexity*. Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, vol. A, pages 391–430. Elsevier, 1990.

[344] R. Seidel. *Output-Size Sensitive Algorithms for Constructive Problems in Computational Geometry*. Ph.D. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY, 1986. Technical Report TR 86-784.

[345] R. Seidel. A simple and fast incremental randomized algorithm for computing trapezoidal decompositions and for triangulating polygons. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 1:51–64, 1991.

[346] R. Seidel. Small-dimensional linear programming and convex hulls made easy. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 6:423–434, 1991.

[347] R. Seidel. Convex hull computations. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 22. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[348] J. Selig. *Geometric Fundamentals of Robotics*, 2nd edn. Monographs in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[349] M. I. Shamos. *Computational Geometry*. Ph.D. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci., Yale Univ., New Haven, CT, 1978.

[350] M. I. Shamos and D. Hoey. Closest-point problems. In *Proc. 16th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 151–162, 1975.

[351] M. I. Shamos and D. Hoey. Geometric intersection problems. In *Proc. 17th Annu. IEEE Sympos. Found. Comput. Sci.*, pages 208–215, 1976.

[352] M. Sharir. Algorithmic motion planning. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 47. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[353] M. Sharir and P. K. Agarwal. *Davenport-Schinzel Sequences and Their Geometric Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[354] M. Sharir and E. Welzl. A combinatorial bound for linear programming and related problems. In *Proc. 9th Sympos. Theoret. Aspects Comput. Sci.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 577, pages 569–579. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[355] T. C. Shermer. Recent results in art galleries. *Proc. IEEE*, 80:1384–1399, September 1992.

[356] J. Shewchuck. *Delaunay Refinement Mesh Generation*. Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie-Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, PA, 1997.

[357] J. Shewchuck. Delaunay refinement algorithms for triangular mesh generation. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 22:21–74, 2002.

[358] P. Shirley. Discrepancy as a quality measure for sample distributions. In F. H. Post and W. Barth, editors, *Proc. Eurographics'91*, pages 183–194. Elsevier, September 1991.

[359] P. Shirley, M. Ashikhmin, M. Gleicher, S. Marschner, E. Reinhard, K. Sung, W. Thompson, and P. Willemsen. *Fundamentals of Computer Graphics*, 2nd edn. A.K. Peters, 2005.

[360] R. Sibson. Locally equiangular triangulations. *Comput. J.*, 21:243–245, 1978.

[361] J. Snoeyink. Point location. In J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2nd edn., chapter 34. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[362] A. van der Stappen. *Motion Planning Amidst Fat Obstacles*. Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht Univ., Utrecht, Netherlands, 1994.

[363] A. van der Stappen, M. Overmars, M. de Berg, and J. Vleugels. Motion planning in environments with low obstacle density. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 20:561–587, 1998.

[364] A. F. van der Stappen, D. Halperin, and M. H. Overmars. The complexity of the free space for a robot moving amidst fat obstacles. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 3:353–373, 1993.

[365] A. F. van der Stappen and M. H. Overmars. Motion planning amidst fat obstacles. In *Proc. 10th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 31–40, 1994.

[366] H. Sundar, D. Silver, N. Gagvani, and S. J. Dickinson. Skeleton based shape matching and retrieval. In *Shape Modeling International*, pages 130–142, 2003.

[367] S. Suri. *Minimum Link Paths in Polygons and Related Problems*. Ph.D. thesis, Dept. Comput. Sci., Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, MD, 1987.

[368] R. E. Tarjan and C. J. Van Wyk. An $O(n \log\log n)$-time algorithm for triangulating a simple polygon. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 17:143–178, 1988. Erratum in 17:1061, 1988.

[369] S. J. Teller and C. H. Séquin. Visibility preprocessing for interactive walkthroughs. *Comput. Graph.*, 25:61–69, July 1991. Proc. SIGGRAPH '91.

[370] W. C. Thibault and B. F. Naylor. Set operations on polyhedra using binary space partitioning trees. *Comput. Graph.*, 21:153–162, 1987. Proc. SIGGRAPH '87.

[371] C. Tóth. Binary space partition for line segments with a limited number of directions. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 32:307–325, 2003.

[372] C. Tóth. A note on binary plane partitions. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 30:3–16, 2003.

[373] C. Tóth. Binary space partitions: Recent developments. In J. E. Goodman, J. Pach, and E. Welzl, editors, *Combinatorial and Computational Geometry*. MSRI Publications, vol. 52, pages 529–556. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[374] G. T. Toussaint. The relative neighbourhood graph of a finite planar set. *Pattern Recogn.*, 12:261–268, 1980.

[375] V. K. Vaishnavi and D. Wood. Rectilinear line segment intersection, layered segment trees and dynamization. *J. Algorithms*, 3:160–176, 1982.

[376] G. Vegter. The visibility diagram: A data structure for visibility problems and motion planning. In *Proc. 2nd Scand. Workshop Algorithm Theory*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 447, pages 97–110. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[377] R. C. Veltkamp. Shape matching: Similarity measures and algorithms. In *Shape Modeling International*, pages 188–197, 2001.

[378] R. Volpe and P. Khosla. Artificial potential with elliptical isopotential contours for obstacle avoidance. In *Proc. 26th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, pages 180–185, 1987.

[379] G. M. Voronoi. Nouvelles applications des paramètres continus à la théorie des formes quadratiques. Premier Mémoire: Sur quelques propriétés des formes quadratiques positives parfaites. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 133:97–178, 1907.

[380] G. M. Voronoi. Nouvelles applications des paramètres continus à la théorie des formes quadratiques. Deuxième Mémoire: Recherches sur les paralléllòedres primitifs. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 134:198–287, 1908.

[381] A. Watt. *3D Computer Graphics*, 3rd edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1999.

[382] R. Wein, J. van den Berg, and D. Halperin. The visibility-Voronoi complex and its applications. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 36:66–87, 2007.

[383] E. Welzl. Constructing the visibility graph for n line segments in $O(n^2)$ time. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 20:167–171, 1985.

[384] E. Welzl. Partition trees for triangle counting and other range searching problems. In *Proc. 4th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, pages 23–33, 1988.

[385] E. Welzl. Smallest enclosing disks (balls and ellipsoids). In H. Maurer, editor, *New Results and New Trends in Computer Science*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 555, pages 359–370. Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[386] D. E. Willard. *Predicate-Oriented Database Search Algorithms*. Ph.D. thesis, Aiken Comput. Lab., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1978. Report TR-20-78.

[387] D. E. Willard. The super-b-tree algorithm. Report TR-03-79, Aiken Comput. Lab., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1979.

[388] D. E. Willard. Polygon retrieval. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 11:149–165, 1982.

[389] D. E. Willard. Log-logarithmic worst case range queries are possible in space $O(n)$. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 17:81–89, 1983.

[390] D. E. Willard. New trie data structures which support very fast search operations. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 28:379–394, 1984.

[391] D. E. Willard and G. S. Lueker. Adding range restriction capability to dynamic data structures. *J. ACM*, 32:597–617, 1985.

[392] M. F. Worboys and M. Duckham. *GIS, a Computing Perspective*, 2nd edn. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.

[393] A. C. Yao. A lower bound to finding convex hulls. *J. ACM*, 28:780–787, 1981.

[394] A. C. Yao and F. F. Yao. A general approach to D-dimensional geometric queries. In *Proc. 17th Annu. ACM Sympos. Theory Comput.*, pages 163–168, 1985.

[395] C. Yap. Towards exact geometric computation. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 7:3–23, 1997.

[396] C. Yap and E. Chang. Issues in the metrology of geometric tolerancing. In J. Laumond and M. Overmars, editors, *Robotics Motion and Manipulation*, pages 393–400. A.K. Peters, 1997.

[397] C. K. Yap. A geometric consistency theorem for a symbolic perturbation scheme. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 40:2–18, 1990.

[398] D. Zhu and J.-C. Latombe. New heuristic algorithms for efficient hierarchical path planning. *IEEE Trans. Robot. Autom.*, 7:9–20, 1991.

[399] G. M. Ziegler. *Lectures on Polytopes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

Index

1DRangeQuery, *97*
2DRangeQuery, *108*
2d-tree, 100
2dBoundedLP, *75*
2dBsp, *264*
2dRandomBsp, *264*
2dRandomizedBoundedLP, *77*
2dRandomizedLP, *81*
3-coloring
    of triangulated polygon, 47, 59
3dBsp, *267*
3dRandomBsp2, *268*

abstract Voronoi diagram, 169
adjacency
    of trapezoids, 127
algorithm
    randomized, 78
angle
    of vectors in 3-space, 64
angle-optimal, 194
angle-vector, 194
annulus, 163
    smallest-width, 163
approximate cell decomposition, 303, 305
approximate range searching, 353
approximation, 244
arm
    robot, 86
arrangement, 180, 187
    complexity of, 180
    in higher dimensions, 187
    point location in, 143
    simple, 180
Art Gallery Problem, 45, 59
Art Gallery Theorem, 48
aspect ratio, 319
associated structure, 106, 222, 225, 235, 344, 350
auto-partition, 262
    lower bound, 269
automated manufacturing, 63, 89
autonomous robot, 283
average running time, 78
axis-parallel line segment, 220

backwards analysis, 78, 85, 89, 134, 140, 205, 250
balanced quadtree, 313
BalanceQuadTree, *313*
ball
    smallest enclosing, 90
beach line, 152, 160
binary search tree, 96
binary space partition, 259, 261
    for low-density scene, 271
    lower bound, 270
binary space partition tree, *261*
Boolean operation
    on polygons, 39
bounded linear program, 73
bounding box, 58, 124, 155, 231
breakpoint, 152, 161
BSP, 261
    for low-density scene, 271
    lower bound, 270
BSP tree, *261*
Build2DRangeTree, *107*
BuildKdTree, *100*

$\mathcal{C}$-obstacle, 285
    for translating robot, 291
CAD/CAM, 12, 15, 63, 307
canonical subset, 106, 109, 233, 339, 341
car-like robot, 284
castable, *64*
casting, 63
cell decomposition
    approximate, 303, 305
    exact, 303

chain method
point location by, 143
circle event, 155, 161
false alarm, 156
closest pair, 170
collision, 286
collision detection, 244
coloring
of triangulated polygon, 47, 59
combinatorial optimization, 90
combined metric, 332
common intersection
of half-planes, 66, 89
composite number, 111
composite-number space, 111
compressed quadtree, 318
COMPUTEFREESPACE, *287*
COMPUTEPATH, *289*
computer aided design, 12, 15, 63, 307
computer aided manufacturing, 12, 15, 63, 89
computer animation, 244
computer graphics, 10, 14, 175, 188
configuration of robot, 284
configuration space, 285, 330
forbidden, 285
free, 285, 324, 330
of randomized algorithm, 209
of translating polygon, 291
configuration-space obstacle, 285, 330
for translating polygon, 291
for translating robot, 291
conflict, 248
conflict graph, 248
conflict list, 248
conforming mesh, 308, 319
connected subdivision, 30
consistent mesh, 319
constraint
linear, 65, 66, 71
point on circle, 87
CONSTRUCTARRANGEMENT, *182*
CONSTRUCTINTERVALTREE, *223*
continuous measure, 175
contour line, 191
convex, *2*
convex combination, 244
convex hull, 2, 89, 193, 243
3-dimensional, 244
computation, 3, 246
d-dimensional, 256
dynamic, 13
Graham's scan, 13
Jarvis's march, 13
lower bound, 13
pseudo code, 3, 6, 249
convex polytope, 244
point location in, 144
convex set, *2*
CONVEXHULL, *6*, *249*
corner vertex, 310
cutting, *347*, 352
cutting tree, 346
cyclic overlap, 260

data structure, 219
1-dimensional range tree, 99
binary search tree, 96
BSP tree, *261*
cutting tree, 346
for point location, 128
heap, 227
interval tree, 220, *222*, 237
kd-tree, 100
multi-level, 343
octree, 318
partition tree, 336, 338
priority search tree, 226, 237
quadtree, 115, 307, *309*
range tree, 105, 109
segment tree, 231, *233*, 237
database, 95, 116, 335
database query, 95
Davenport-Schinzel sequence, 188
decomposable searching problem, 238
decomposition
trapezoidal, 124
vertical, 124
degeneracy, 5, 8, 137
degenerate case, 5, 8, 137
degree of freedom, 284
Delaunay corner, 213
Delaunay graph, *196*
Delaunay triangulation, 168, *197*
computation, 199
pseudo code, 200
DELAUNAYTRIANGULATION, *200*
density, 271
depth order, 260
design for assembly, 12
destination
of half-edge, 31

diagonal
of polygon, *46*
difference
of polygons, 39
Dijkstra's algorithm, 324, 326, 331
direction
representation of, 65
Dirichlet tessellation, 167
disc
smallest enclosing, 86
discrepancy, 174, 175, 188
computation, 175
half-plane, 175
discrete measure, 175
distance
Euclidean, 148, 169, 332
L_1, 168
L_2, 148, 169, 332
L_p, 168
link, 332
Manhattan, 168
distance function, 169
domain
of mesh, 308
of terrain, 191
double wedge, 178
doubly-connected edge list, 29, *30*, 48, 155, 180, 247
dual
of line, 178
of object, 177
of point, 177
of segment, 178
dual graph
of triangulated polygon, 47
dual plane, 178
duality
in higher dimensions, 186
in the plane, 177
dynamic convex hull, 13
dynamic point location, 143
dynamization, 237

edge, 30
illegal, 194
of polytope, 245
edge flip, 194
edge list
doubly-connected, 29, *30*, 48, 155, 180, 247
element
of mesh, 307
elementary interval, 232
ellipse
smallest enclosing, 90
embedding
of graph, 30
end vertex, 50
envelope
lower, 253
upper, 254
Euclidean distance, 148, 169, 332
Euclidean minimum spanning tree, 215, 216
Euler's formula, 28, 150, 245
event, *22*, 51, 151
circle, 155, 161
site, 153, 161
event point, *22*, 51, 151
event queue, 24, 51, 155
exact arithmetic, 9
exact cell decomposition, 303
exact match query, 116
expectation
linearity of, 78, 134, 205
expected performance, 78, 133
expected running time, 78

face, 30
record of, 31
facet, 64, 245
ordinary, 64
top, 64
false alarm, 156
farthest-point Voronoi diagram, 164, 169
fat subdivision
point location in, 144
fatness, 279
feasible point, 71
feasible region, 71
feasible solution, 71
Fibonacci heap, 331
FINDINTERSECTIONS, *25*
FINDNEWEVENT, *27*
FINDSPLITNODE, *97*
finite element method, 307
first-level tree, 106, 344
flap, *251*
flip
of edge, 194
floating point arithmetic, 5
FOLLOWSEGMENT, *130*

forbidden configuration space, 285, 330
FORBIDDENSPACE, *298*
Fortune's algorithm, 151
fractional cascading, 109, 112, 143, 221
free configuration space, 285, 324, 330
free path, 305
free space, 285, 324, 330
    representation of, 287
    trapezoidal map of, 287, 324
free split, 265

Gabriel graph, 215, 217
general position, 9, 124
GENERATEMESH, *316*
genus, 245
geographic information systems, 1, 11, 15
geometric graph, 215
geometric modeling, 15
GIS, 11
Graham's scan, 13
graph
    Gabriel, 215, 217
    geometric, 215
    relative neighborhood, 215, 217
    visibility, 323
grid, 116
guard
    for low-density scene, 272

half-edge, 31
    destination of, 31
    origin of, 31
    record of, 32
half-plane discrepancy, 175
half-planes
    common intersection of, 66, 89
HANDLECIRCLEEVENT, *158*
HANDLEENDVERTEX, *53*
HANDLEEVENTPOINT, *26*
HANDLEMERGEVERTEX, *54*
HANDLEREGULARVERTEX, *54*
HANDLESITEEVENT, *158*
HANDLESPLITVERTEX, *53*
HANDLESTARTVERTEX, *53*
harmonic number, 135
heap, 227
Helly-type theorem, 90
hidden surface removal, 259
higher-dimensional linear programming, 82
higher-order Voronoi diagram, 169
horizon, 247
hull
    convex, 2, 89, 193, 243
    lower, 6, 254
    upper, 6, 253

illegal edge, 194
implicit point location, 144
incidence preserving, 178
incident, 30
infeasible linear program, 71
infeasible point, 71
inner vertex, 325
INSERTSEGMENTTREE, *234*
interpolation
    data-independent, 214
    linear, 191
INTERSECTHALFPLANES, *67*
intersection
    of half-planes, 66, 89
    of line segments, 19
    of polygons, 39
intersection-sensitive algorithm, 21
interval
    elementary, 232
interval tree, 220, *222*, 237
inversion, 186
isolated vertex, 31
iterated logarithm, 60

jaggies, 174
Jarvis's march, 13
joint
    of robot, 283
    prismatic, 283
    revolute, 283

k-level
    in arrangement, 187
k-set, 187
kd-tree, 100, 116

L_1-metric, 168
L_2-metric, 148, 169, 332
L_p-metric, 168
layer, *19*, 335
layered range tree, 113
legal triangulation, 195
LEGALIZEEDGE, *201*
LEGALTRIANGULATION, *195*

level
in arrangement, 185
in data structure, 106, 343
line segment
axis-parallel, 220
line segment intersection, 19, 40
computation, 20
counting, 41
lower bound, 40
pseudo code, 25
red-blue, 40
linear constraint, 65, 66, 71
linear interpolation, 191
linear optimization, 71
linear program, 71
bounded, 73
dimension of, 71
infeasible, 71
unbounded, 73, 79
linear programming, 71
1-dimensional, 75
higher-dimensional, 82
low-dimensional, 72
pseudo code, 75, 77, 84
linearity of expectation, 78, 134, 205
link metric, 332
locally shortest path, 325
locus approach, *231*
logarithm
iterated, 60
LOWDENSITYBSP2D, *276*
lower bound
convex hull, 13
line segment intersection, 40
of auto-partition, 269
of binary space partition, 270
range tree, 116
simplex range searching, 352
triangulation, 59
Voronoi diagram, 170
lower envelope, 253
lower hull, 6, 254
lower vertical extension, 124
LP-type problem, 90

MAKEMONOTONE, *53*
Manhattan metric, 168
manufacturing, 12, 15, 63, 89
map
point location on, 122
trapezoidal, 122, 124, 287
map layer, *19*, 335
map overlay, 1, 20
computation, 33
pseudo code, 38
MAPOVERLAY, *38*
Markov's inequality, 141
maximal planar subdivision, *193*
measure
continuous, 175
discrete, 175
medial axis, 169
merge vertex, 50
mesh, 307
conforming, 308, 319
consistent, 319
domain of, 308
non-uniform, 308
requirements, 308
respecting the input, 308
structured, 319
triangular, 308
uniform, 309
unstructured, 319
mesh element, 307
mesh generation, 307
pseudo code, 316
metric
combined, 332
Euclidean, 148, 169, 332
L_1, 168
L_2, 148, 169, 332
L_p, 168
link, 332
Manhattan, 168
MINIDISC, *86*
MINIDISCWITH2POINTS, *87*
MINIDISCWITHPOINT, *87*
minimum spanning tree
Euclidean, 215, 216
minimum weight triangulation, 215, 218
Minkowski difference, 292
Minkowski sum, 291, 305, 330
complexity, 297
computation, 295
of non-convex polygons, 296
pseudo code, 295
MINKOWSKISUM, *295*
mixture, 243
mobile robot, 283, 323
molecular modeling, 12
monotone polygon, 49

strictly, 55
triangulation of, 55
motion planning, 1, 14, 162, 283, 323
exact cell decomposition, 303
retraction method, 304
road map for, 288
mouse
clicking with, 5, 122
multi-level data structure, 106, 238, 343

neighbor finding
in quadtree, 312
non-crossing, 124
non-linear optimization, 86, 90
non-uniform mesh, 308
NORTHNEIGHBOR, *313*

objective function, 71
obstacle, 283, 324
configuration-space, 285
obtuse angle, 319
octree, 318
operations research, 72
optimal vertex, 73
optimization
combinatorial, 90
linear, 71
non-linear, 86, 90
order preserving, 178
order-*k* Voronoi diagram, 169, 188
ordinary facet, 64
origin
of half-edge, 31
orthogonal line segment, 220
orthogonal range query, 96
output-sensitive algorithm, *21*, 99
overlap
cyclic, 260
overlay, 1, 20, *33*, 167
computation, 33
pseudo code, 38

painter's algorithm, 260
PAINTERSALGORITHM, *263*
paraboloid, 178
parameter space, 232
PARANOIDMAXIMUM, *93*
partial match query, 116
partition
auto, 262
binary space, 259
simplicial, *337*
partition tree, 336, 338
path
free, 305
locally shortest, 325
semi-free, 305
persistency, 143
perturbation
symbolic, 9, 14
PHASE1, *275*
pixel, 173, 259
placement of robot, 284
planar point location, 122
planar subdivision, 30, 121
maximal, *193*
plane sweep, *22*, 34, 51, 68, 151, 328
rotational, 328
pocket, 61
point
infeasible, 71
Steiner, 309
point location, 121
dynamic, 143
higher dimensional, 143
implicit, 144
planar, 121
query, 128
point location query, 121
point robot, 286, 324
polygon
monotone, 49
rectilinear, 60
simple, *46*
star-shaped, 93, 145
strictly monotone, 55
triangulation of, 45
y-monotone, 49
polyhedral terrain, 192
polyhedron, 64
polytope, 244
simplicial, 245
tetrahedralization of, 60
potential field method, 305
power diagram, 169
preprocessing, 122
primal plane, 178
primitive operation, 4
printed circuit board, 307
priority search tree, 226, 237
prismatic joint, 283
pseudodisc, 292

pseudodisc property, 293
pseudodiscs
    complexity of union, 294

quadtree, 115, 273, 307, *309*
    balanced, 313
    compressed, 318
    higher-dimensional, 318
    neighbor finding, 312
quadtree subdivision, 310
query
    database, 95
    exact match, 116
    partial match, 116
    point location, 121, 128
    range, 96
    simplex range, 352
    stabbing, 237
    stabbing counting, 237
    triangular range, 336
    windowing, 219, 335
QUERYINTERVALTREE, *224*
QUERYPRIOSEARCHTREE, *230*
QUERYSEGMENTTREE, *234*

random number generator, 77
random permutation
    computation, 77
randomized algorithm, 75, 77, 78, 84, 128, 199, 208, 246, 264
    analysis, 76, 78, 88, 133, 205, 210, 250, 265
RANDOMIZEDLP, *84*
RANDOMPERMUTATION, *77*
range query, 220, 318
    1-dimensional, 96
    2-dimensional, 99
    counting, 119
    orthogonal, 96
    rectangular, 96
    simplex, 352
    triangular, 336
range searching
    approximate, 353
range tree, 99, 105, 109, 220
    1-dimensional, 99
    2-dimensional, 106
    d-dimensional, 109
    layered, 113
    lower bound, 116
ray shooting, 146, 332
ray tracing, 173
rectangular range query, 96
rectangular subdivision
    point location in, 144
rectilinear polygon, 60
red-blue line segment intersection, 40
redundant half-plane, 92
reference point, 284
region
    feasible, 71
regular vertex, 50
relative neighborhood graph, 215, 217
rendering, 173, 259
REPORTINSUBTREE, *229*
RETRACTION, *162*
retraction, 162, 163
retraction method, 304
revolute joint, 283
road map, 288, 324
robot
    articulated, 283
    autonomous, 283
    car-like, 284
    configuration of, 284
    configuration space of, 285
    degree of freedom, 284
    mobile, 283
    motion planning for, 283
    open or closed, 286
    parameter space of, 285
    placement of, 284
    point, 286, 324
    reference point of, 284
    road map for, 288
    work space of, 284
robot arm, 86, 283
robotics, 1, 10, 14, 283
robustness, 5, 8, 9
rotational plane sweep, 328
rounding error, 5
roundness, 163
running time
    average, 78
    expected, 78

sample points, 174
scan-conversion, 259
search structure
    for point location, 128
search tree
    binary, 96

SEARCHKDTREE, *103*
second-level tree, 106, 344
see, 325
segment
    axis-parallel, 220
segment tree, 231, *233*, 237
SELECTBELOWPAIR, *350*
SELECTBELOWPOINT, *348*
SELECTINHALFPLANE, *339*
SELECTINTSEGMENTS, *344*
semi-free path, 305
shear transformation, 137
shortest path, 323
    for polygonal robot, 330
    in graph, 331
SHORTESTPATH, *326*
side
    in trapezoidal map, 125
simple arrangement, 180
simple polygon, *46*
simplex algorithm, 72, 90
simplex range query, 352
    lower bound, 352
simplicial partition, *337*
simplicial polytope, 245
single cell, 304
site, 147
    line segment, 160
site event, 153, 161
skeleton
    of polygon, 169
slab, 122, 235
SLOWCONVEXHULL, *3*
smallest enclosing ball, 90
smallest enclosing disc, 86
smallest enclosing ellipse, 90
smallest-width annulus, 163
solution
    feasible, 71
split
    free, 265
split vertex, 50
stabber, 189, 190
stabbing counting query, 237
stabbing number
    of polygon, 61
stabbing query, 237
star-shaped polygon, 93, 145
start vertex, 50
status
    of sweep line, *22*, 25, 52, 155, 328
status structure, 25, 52, 155, 328
Steiner point, 214, 309
Steiner triangulation, 309
strictly monotone polygon, 55
structural change, 205, 210, 250
subdivision, 30, 121
    complexity of, 30
    connected, 30
    maximal planar, *193*
    quadtree, 310
    representation of, 29
subdivision overlay, 33
sum
    Minkowski, 291
    of two points, 291
    vector, 291
supersampling, 174
sweep algorithm, *22*, 34, 51, 68, 151, 328
    rotational, 328
sweep line, *22*, 51, 151, 160
symbolic perturbation, 9, 14

tail estimate, 140
terrain, 191
    domain of, 191
    polyhedral, 192
tetrahedralization
    of polytope, 60
Thales' Theorem, 194
thematic map layer, *19*, 335
thematic map overlay, 20
top facet, 64
trading area, 147
transform
    duality, 177
    inversion, 186
    shear, 137
translating polygon
    configuration space of, 291
    configuration-space obstacle for, 291
transversal, 189, 190
trapezoidal decomposition, 124
trapezoidal map, 122, 124, 287, 324
    complexity of, 127
    computation, 128
    of the free space, 287, 324
    pseudo code, 129

TRAPEZOIDALMAP, *129*
traveling salesman problem, 216
tree
    1-dimensional range, 99
    binary search, 96
    binary space partition, *261*
    BSP, *261*
    cutting, 346
    heap, 227
    interval, 220, *222*, 237
    kd-tree, 100
    octree, 318
    partition, 336, 338
    priority search, 226, 237
    quadtree, 115, 307, *309*
    range, 105, 109
    segment, 231, *233*, 237
triangle
    well-shaped, 308
triangular mesh, 308
triangular range query, 336
triangulated polygon
    3-coloring, 47, 59
    dual graph, 47
TRIANGULATEMONOTONEPOLYGON, *57*
triangulation
    computation, 49, 55
    Delaunay, *197*
    legal, 195
    lower bound, 59
    minimum weight, 215, 218
    of monotone polygon, 55
    of point set, 192, *193*
    of polygon, 45, *46*
    pseudo code, 53, 57
    Steiner, 309
triangulation refinement
    point location by, 143
turn vertex, 49
twin
    of half-edge, 31

unbounded linear program, 73, 79
uniform mesh, 309
union
    complexity of, 304
    of polygons, 39
Upper Bound Theorem, 256
upper envelope, 254
upper hull, 6, 253
upper vertical extension, 124

vector sum, 291
vertex, 30
    inner, 325
    isolated, 31
    optimal, 73
    record of, 31
vertical decomposition, 124
vertical extension, 124
    lower, 124
    upper, 124
viewing volume, 220
visibility complex, 332
visibility edge, 325
visibility graph, 323
    computation, 326
    pseudo code, 326
visibility–Voronoi complex, 332
VISIBILITYGRAPH, *326*
VISIBLE, *329*
visible, 48, 259, 325
visible region, 61, 247
VISIBLEVERTICES, *328*
Voronoi assignment model, 148
Voronoi cell, 149
Voronoi diagram, 1, 147, *148*, 254
    abstract, 169
    complexity of, 149, 168
    computation, 151
    degenerate cases, 159
    farthest-point, 164, 169
    higher-order, 169
    lower bound, 170
    medial axis, 169
    of line segments, 160
    order-k, 169, 188
    power diagram, 169
    pseudo code, 157
    skeleton, 169
    weighted, 169
VORONOIDIAGRAM, *157*

weighted Voronoi diagram, 169
well-shaped triangle, 308
window, 219, 335
window system, 122
windowing query, 219, 335
work space, 284, 324

y-monotone polygon, 49

z-buffer algorithm, 259
zone
    of hyperplane, 187
    of line, 183, 269
Zone Theorem, 184, 187