

Index

A

- Academic domain, 130
- Accountable, 2
- Adaptation to audience demand. *See* audience demand
- Addition, 93
- Adjudication, 130, 131, 133, 134, 139
- Affirming the consequent, 64
- Ambiguity, 65
- Amphiboly fallacy, 65
- Analysis of argumentative discourse, 5, 6, 90
- Analytical component research program, 11, 91
 - systematic reconstruction, 11
- Analytically relevant argumentative move, 74, 92
- Analytic competency, 11
- Analytic function model critical discussion, 35, 92
- Analytic overview, 11, 96, 97
- Antagonist, 24, 54, 98
- Antistrophos, 14
- Argumentation, 1, 2
- Argumentation and criticism, 150
- Argumentation from authority, 49
 - legal authority, 157
 - majority, 160
- Argumentation in democracy, 144
- Argumentation scheme. *See* argument scheme
- Argumentation stage, 36, 37, 55, 63, 64, 82, 96, 117, 132
- Argumentation structure, 8, 37, 75, 91, 98, 100, 150, 154
 - coordinative, 37, 91, 100, 150, 153, 154, 156, 160–164
 - multiple, 37, 91, 100, 150, 154
 - single, 91, 152, 154, 155
 - subordinative, 37, 91, 100, 150, 154, 158, 160–164
- Argumentation theory, 2
- Argumentative activity type, 132
- Argumentative characterization, 132, 133, 138, 144
- Argumentative commitment, 93, 117
- Argumentative indicator, 43, 72, 73
 - contextual indication, 43, 78
 - in the broader sense, 77
 - verbal indicator, 43, 77
- Argumentative means and criticism, 132
- Argumentative move, 38, 73, 74, 76, 81, 111, 152, 154, 160
- Argumentative pattern, 150, 152, 165
 - prototypical, 151, 165
 - basic, 151
 - extended, 151
 - stereotypical, 165–167
- Argumentative practice, 129, 149
- Argumentative predicament, 111
- Argumentative reality, 10, 11, 72, 73, 76, 129, 130, 149–152, 165, 167
 - functional complexity, 152
- Argumentative strategy, 116, 117
 - argumentational strategy, 117
 - concluding strategy, 117, 118
 - confrontational strategy, 117, 145
 - discussion strategy, 117, 118
 - opening strategy, 117
- Argumentative style, 167
- Argument from authority, 121, 141, 142, 157, 160, 162–164
 - majority-as-authority, 163

- Argument scheme, 7, 45, 56, 91, 98, 99, 150, 152, 170–173, 177, 179, 186, 187
- Argument Scheme Rule, 61, 64, 68, 69, 79, 83, 142, 143
- Argumentum ad baculum, 62, 86
- Argumentum ad consequentiam, 64, 81
- Argumentum ad hominem, 62, 81, 85, 121
abusive, 85
tu quoque variant, 81
- Argumentum ad ignorantiam, 63, 64
- Argumentum ad misericordiam, 62
- Argumentum ad populum, 63, 64, 68, 69
- Argumentum ad verecundiam, 62–64, 68, 69, 85, 121, 142
- Artificial intelligence, 175, 177, 187
- Aspect of strategic manoeuvring, 113, 114, 138
- Assertive, 39
- Associated conditional, 99
- Association, 8
- Assumption. *See* unexpressed premise
- Attitude. *See* standpoint
- Audience, 134, 170
particular, 170
primary, 134
secondary, 139
universal, 170
- Audience demand, 112, 138
adaptation to, 138
- Authority argumentation. *See* argument from authority
- B**
- Background information, 27, 73, 105
general, 27, 73, 81, 105
specific, 27, 73, 81, 105
- Begging the question, 64
- Belief. *See* standpoint
- Big Rhetoric, 14, 180
- Boundary of reasonableness, 122
- Bridging premise, 22, 79
- Burden of Proof Rule, 79, 83
- C**
- Causal argumentation, 46, 79, 91, 99, 152, 154, 159, 162, 164
from cause to effect, 160, 163
from effect to cause, 160, 163
- Ceiling effect, 77
- Circular reasoning, 64
- Claim. *See* standpoint
- Classical dialectic, 13
- Classical rhetoric, 13, 14
- Classification of speech acts, 38
- Clear case in legal decision-making, 157, 164
- Code of conduct for reasonable argumentative discourse, 58, 62, 66, 82
- Commercial domain, 136, 139, 140
- Commissive, 40
- Commitment, 26
- Communicative act (complex), 3, 21
elementary, 22
- Communicative activity type, 130, 131, 133, 138, 144, 150
- Communion-seeking, 130, 131
- Comparison argumentation, 46, 79, 91, 99, 154, 162
analogy argumentation, 153, 161
descriptive, 161
- Compensating adjustment, 145
- Complex argumentation. *See* argumentation structure
- Complex communicative act. *See* communicative act (complex)
- Complex pragmatic argumentation, 152, 155, 156, 158, 160, 162–164
of the problem-solving type, 155, 156, 163
- Component of research program, 10, 12, 184, 185
analytical component, 175, 176, 179, 186
empirical component, 172, 179, 183, 186
philosophical component, 171, 175, 179, 184
practical component, 172, 175, 179, 183, 187
theoretical component, 172, 175, 179, 183, 185
- Composition, 64, 65
- Conception of reasonableness, 10, 11
anthropological, 10, 29, 171, 184
critical, 11, 29, 171, 184
geometrical, 29, 171, 184
- Conceptualization, 33
- Conceptual validity theoretical concept
argumentation, 77
- Concluding Rule, 61, 64, 69, 79
- Concluding stage, 36, 37, 58, 82, 96, 118, 132
- Conclusion. *See* standpoint
- Conclusive attack, 57
- Conclusive defence, 57
- Confrontation stage, 36, 54, 62, 82, 95, 117, 132
- Consequence argumentation. *See* causal argumentation
- Constellation of argumentative moves. *See* argumentative pattern
- Context, 26, 78, 81
institutional macro-context, 26, 73, 105
intertextual context, 26, 73, 105

linguistic micro-context, 26, 73, 105
 situational meso-context, 26, 73, 105
 well-defined, 78
 Context-dependency of argumentative
 discourse, 166
 Context-independency of argumentative
 discourse, 166
 Contextualization, 34, 186, 187
 Conventionalization, 131, 135, 137, 141, 144
 Conventional(ly) valid(ity), 30, 53, 82, 83, 173
 Convergent argumentation, 9
 Coordinative argumentation, 9, 75
 complementary, 75
 cumulative, 75
 Correctness condition, 27, 105, 117
 Critical dimension, 4
 normative, 4
 Critical discourse analysis, 184
 Critical discussion, 34, 53, 71, 72, 89, 132
 Critical function, 35
 Critical question, 8, 45, 46, 140, 141, 150, 152
 basic, 46, 48
 general, 141
 specific, 141
 Critical rationalist philosophy of
 reasonableness, 29, 35
 Critical thinking, 176, 179
 Cultural or ideological background, 166

D

Data. *See* non-bridging premise
 Debate proposition. *See* standpoint
 Debate tradition, 180, 181
 Declaration. *See* declarative
 Declarative, 40
 Declaring a standpoint sacrosanct, 69
 Deletion, 93
 Deliberation, 130, 131, 134, 139
 Denying an accepted starting point, 69
 Denying an unexpressed premise, 63, 69
 Denying the antecedent, 64
 Derailment of strategic manoeuvring, 10, 120,
 141
 Descriptive dimension/perspective, 28, 182,
 183
 Descriptive research, 72
 Design. *See* format
 Dialectic, 14
 Dialectical aim, 115
 Dialectical clue, 75
 Dialectical dimension/perspective, 13, 20, 114,
 115
 Dialectical procedure, 35, 51, 53
 Dialectical profile, 43, 45, 73, 74

of causal argumentation, 48
 of comparison argumentation, 47
 of symptomatic argumentation, 46
 Dialectical route, 43, 74, 152
 Dialectical tier, 176, 178
 Dialectification, 28
 Dialogical clue, 75
 Dialogue logic, 174
 Difference of opinion, 1, 4, 20, 23, 91, 97, 117,
 150
 mixed, 36, 42, 91, 149, 154
 multiple, 42, 91, 154
 non-mixed, 42, 91, 149, 154
 single, 42, 91, 154
 Diplomatic domain, 139
 Directive, 39
 Disagreement space, 117
 Discourse analysis, 3
 Dispositio, 14
 Disputation, 130
 Dissociation, 76, 170
 Distorting an unexpressed premise, 63
 Division, 64, 65
 Doctor consultation, 146
 Domain, 129, 130, 144, 150, 182, 184, 186
 Do you love your old man case, 118
 Dutch criminal court case, 137
 Dutch parliamentary debate, 145

E

Effectiveness, 84, 85, 111, 115, 137, 170, 172,
 183, 186
 Effectiveness as convincingness, 84, 85
 Effectiveness through reasonableness research,
 83
 Effect size, 82
 Elocutio, 14
 Emic perspective, 28, 71, 183
 Empirical component, 11, 71
 qualitative research, 11
 quantitative research, 11
 Empirical dimension/perspective, 5, 28, 71
 descriptive, 4
 Empiricalization, 33, 187
 Enthymematic, 7
 Epicheirema, 171
 Epistemological approach, 178, 179
 Equivocation, 65
 Essential condition, 23
 Ethos, 59, 63
 Ethotic fallacy, 63
 Etic perspective, 29, 71
 European parliamentary debate, 134
 European predicament, 138, 145, 155

- Evading the burden of proof, 62, 63, 69
 Evaluation of argumentative discourse, 5, 6
 Evaluative competency, 11
 Evolutionary cognitive psychology, 184
 Experimental research, 72, 73
 Explicitization procedure, 56
 Exploitation of presentational device. *See*
 presentational device
 Expressive, 41
 Extended pragma-dialectical theory, 114
 Externalization, 25, 34
 Extrinsic constraint on strategic manoeuvring.
 See institutional precondition
- F**
- Fallacy, 9, 52, 62, 66, 79, 121, 141, 173, 174,
 177, 178, 186
 False dilemma, 65
 Falsely presenting a premise as self-evident, 69
 Falsely presenting something as a common
 starting point, 69
 Field-dependent. *See* context-dependency of
 argumentative discourse
 Field-independent. *See* context-independency
 of argumentative discourse
 First-order argumentation, 157
 Formal dialectic, 10, 14, 169, 172
 Formalization, 187
 Formal logic. *See* logic
 Format, 12, 164, 167
 Freedom Rule, 59, 62, 69, 79, 83, 85
 Functionalization, 20
- G**
- General objective of argumentation theory, 5
 Genre, 130
 Genre of communicative activity, 130
- H**
- Hard case in legal decision-making, 158, 160,
 162, 164
 Hasty generalization, 64
 Health brochure, 146
 Health communication. *See* medical domain
 Heuristic function model critical discussion,
 35, 92
 Hidden fallaciousness, 83
 Higher order condition, 91, 120, 144
 History controversy analysis, 184
- I**
- Ideal model, 34, 54, 89
 Identification procedure, 55
 Identity condition, 27, 105, 117
- Ignoratio elenchi, 63
 Immunizing a standpoint against criticism, 62,
 69
 Implication. *See* unexpressed premise
 Implicature. *See* unexpressed premise
 Implicit premise. *See* unexpressed premise
 Indicator. *See* argumentative indicator
 Indirect argumentation, 78
 Indirect speech act, 105
 Inference. *See* unexpressed premise
 Inference, 73
 logical, 26, 73, 105
 pragmatic, 26, 73, 105
 Inference procedure, 56
 Informal logic, 3, 176
 Information dissemination, 130
 Initial situation, 132, 149
 Institutional conventionalization. *See*
 conventionalization
 Institutionalized macro-context, 130
 Institutional point, 131, 132, 135, 137, 150,
 152
 general, 134
 specific, 131
 Institutional precondition, 137, 138, 144, 149,
 150
 primary, 138
 secondary, 138, 145
 Institutional requirement. *See* institutional
 precondition
 Instrumentalization, 34
 Interactional act (complex), 3, 25
 Interactional effect, 85
 inherent, 85
 Interactional effect of acceptance, 25, 30, 113
 convincing, 30
 Interpersonal domain, 130, 131, 136, 140
 Interpretation, 90
 Intersubjective acceptability, 80, 83
 Intervention, 175, 187
 computerized/computer-mediated, 175, 187
 Inventio, 14
 Irrelevant argumentation, 63
- J**
- Justificatory force, 56
- K**
- KLM case, 100, 123
- L**
- Language Use Rule, 61, 65
 Law case, 146, 153
 Layout of argumentation, 5

- Legal domain, 130, 131, 133, 139, 144, 146, 152–154, 157, 160, 162, 164, 165
- Level of defence, 151, 153–158, 160, 165
 first, 151, 153, 156–158, 160–165
 second, 151, 156–158, 163–165
 third, 156, 164
- Linguistic approach, 169, 180, 183, 184
- Linked argumentation, 9
- Logic, 3, 14, 171, 173–175, 178
- Logical minimum, 56, 99
- Logical perspective, 8
- Logical validity, 52, 56
- Logico-epistemic perspective. *See* logical perspective
- Logos, 59, 63
- M**
- Making an absolute of the failure of the defence, 64
- Making an absolute of the success of the defence, 64, 69
- Many questions, 63
- Maxim, 105
- Mediation, 136, 140
 counselling, 136
 custody, 136
- Medical advertisement. *See* medical advertising
- Medical advertising, 146, 153
 over-the-counter, 153, 161, 164
- Medical domain, 144, 146, 152, 153, 156, 160, 161, 164, 165
- Meta-theoretical principle, 19, 31
- Methodological starting point, 19, 31
- Metonymy, 76
- Missing premise. *See* unexpressed premise
- Motivation verdict judge, 134, 153
- Multiple argumentation, 9, 75
- N**
- Natural logic, 183
- Negotiation, 135, 139
- New rhetoric, 169–172, 176, 178, 180, 181
- Non-bridging premise, 22
- Normative dimension/perspective, 28, 175, 176, 179, 183
- Normative pragmatics, 181
- O**
- Obligation to Defend Rule, 59, 62, 68, 69
- Opening stage, 36, 54, 62, 82, 95, 117, 132, 143
- Opinion. *See* standpoint
- Outcome of the discourse, 98, 132, 150
- P**
- Parliamentary debate, 152
- Participant goal/mission, 137, 138
- Pathetical fallacy, 63
- Pathos, 59, 63
- Permutation, 93
- Personal attack, 62, 121, 146
- Persuasion, 13, 28, 85, 180, 181, 184
- Persuasiveness, 85
- Petito principii, 63
- Phase in development pragma-dialectics, 33
- Philosophical component, 10
- Philosophy of reasonableness. *See* conception of reasonableness
- Point of departure, 5, 97, 106
- Political discussion forum on internet, 145
- Political domain, 130, 131, 134, 139, 144, 152, 154, 160, 163, 165
- Political interview, 130, 145
- Populist argumentation, 157
- Populist fallacy, 64
- Practical component, 11
- Praeteritio, 76
- Pragmatic argumentation, 48, 152, 153, 155–164
 negative variant, 152, 159
 positive variant, 159
- Pragmatic clue, 75
- Pragmatic commitment, 73
- Pragmatic dimension, 20
- Pragmatic inconsistency, 125
- Pragmatic optimum, 56, 63, 99
- Premise acceptability, 177, 179
- Premise-conclusion relation, 176–178
- Preparatory condition, 27
- Presentational device, 112, 138
- Presentational tactic, 145
 polarization, 145
 topic-shifting, 145
- Presentation transformation, 93
- Press conference Chinese Ministry of Foreign affairs, 146
- Presumption of reasonableness, 121
- Primary audience, 118
- Prime Minister's Question Time, 145
- Problem-solving discussion, 76
- Problem-valid(ity), 30, 51, 52, 89, 173
- Procedural form, 169, 170
- Production of argumentative discourse, 5, 6
- Productive competency, 11
- Progressive presentation, 78
- Proliferation of argumentative reality, 166
- Proposition, 1, 21, 55

- Propositional content, 54, 55
 Propositional content condition, 22
 Protagonist, 23, 54, 98
- Q**
 Qualitative research, 11, 72
 Quantitative research, 11, 72, 73, 77, 79
- R**
 Radical argumentativism, 182, 183
 Rational judge, 3, 20
 Reasonableness, 51, 53, 84, 111, 114, 137, 140, 170–173, 175, 178, 183, 184
 Reasonableness conception. *See* conception of reasonableness
 Recognisability condition. *See* identity condition
 Recognition of argumentative move, 77
 Reconstruction transformation, 93
 Reconstructive analysis, 90, 91
 Reconstructive argumentative analysis. *See* reconstructive analysis
 Reductio ad absurdum, 81
 Regimentation, 29
 Relevance/Relevant, 71
 analytic, 71
 evaluative, 71
 interpretive, 71
 judgment, 71
 Relevance Rule, 60, 63, 68, 69
 Repetition, 76
 Report European parliamentary committee of inquiry, 152, 160, 163
 Requirement analytical overview, 97
 coherence, 97
 economy, 97
 efficacy, 97
 realism, 97
 well-foundedness, 97
 Research program, 20
 Resemblance. *See* comparison argumentation
 Resolving a difference of opinion (on the merits), 28, 34, 89
 Responsibility condition, 27
 Retrogressive presentation, 78
 Rhetoric, 3, 14, 180
 classical, 180
 Rhetorical aim, 115
 Rhetorical dimension/perspective, 13, 114, 115
 Rhetorical question, 76, 99
 Rule for/of critical discussion, 52, 54, 79, 81, 122, 140
 Rule of communication, 105
- S**
 Schlyter case, 155
 Secondary audience, 118
 Second-order argumentation, 158
 Secundum quid, 64
 Selection from topical potential. *See* topical potential
 Serial argumentation, 9
 Settling a dispute, 34
 Shell case, 76
 Shifting the burden of proof, 62, 69
 Sign argumentation. *See* symptomatic argumentation
 Sincerity condition. *See* responsibility condition
 Slippery slope, 64
 Socialization, 23, 143
 primary, 143
 secondary, 143
 Soundness criterion, 140, 182, 185, 186
 general, 141, 142
 specific, 141, 143
 Speech act, 21, 38
 Speech event, 129
 Sphere, 4, 182, 184
 personal/private, 4
 professional, 4
 public, 4
 technical, 4
 Stage of critical discussion. *See* stage of resolution process
 Stage of resolution process
 empirical counterpart of, 36
 Standard of reasonableness, 73, 79, 84
 of ordinary arguer, 73
 Standard pragma-dialectical theory, 114
 Standard Treatment, 9, 52
 Standpoint, 2, 4, 6, 34, 91, 97, 150
 descriptive, 4, 91, 154
 evaluative, 4, 91, 154
 prescriptive, 4, 91, 154–158, 160, 161, 163, 164
 Standpoint Rule, 59, 63
 Starting point, 24, 43, 98, 132, 143, 149
 material, 24, 98, 150, 153
 procedural, 24, 98, 150, 153
 Starting Point Rule, 60, 63, 69
 Stasis, doctrine of. *See* status doctrine
 Status doctrine, 139
 status coniecturalis, 139
 status definitivus, 139
 status qualitatis, 139
 status translativus, 139
 Stock issue, 117, 180

Strategic design, 111, 114, 166
Strategic function, 115
Strategic manoeuvring, 112, 138, 141, 144
 mode of, 137, 141, 142, 145
Strategic plan, 166
Strategic scenario, 167
Straw man, 63
Sub-discussion, 44, 55
Subordinative argumentation, 9
Substitution, 93
Subtype of argumentation, 48, 151
Supposition. *See* unexpressed premise
Suppressed premise. *See* unexpressed premise
Symptomatic argumentation, 45, 79, 91, 99,
 152–154, 156–158, 161–164
 by example, 155, 156, 160, 161, 163

T

Tacit premise. *See* unexpressed premise
Ten Commandments, 59
Testing procedure, 51, 56
Theoretical component, 11
Theoretical perspective, 71
Thesis. *See* standpoint
The system of antique rhetoric, 14

Topical choice. *See* topical potential
Topical potential, 112, 139
Topical system, 114
Topos/topoi, 8
Type of argumentation, 45, 151

U

Unclearness fallacy, 65
Unexpressed premise, 6, 98, 99
Unexpressed Premise Rule, 60, 63, 69
Usage declarative, 41, 58

V

Validation, 33
Validity Rule, 60, 64, 65
Virtual standpoint, 117

W

Warrant. *See* bridging premise

Z

Zero standpoint, 65
Zone of agreement, 117