

Chapter 1

One-Sample Continuous Data (One-Sample T-Test, One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, 10 Patients)

1 General Purpose

Because biological processes are full of variations, statistical tests give no certainties, only chances. Particularly, the chance that a prior hypothesis is true. What hypothesis? Often, a nullhypothesis, which means no difference in your data from a zero effect. A zero effect indicates that a factor, like an intervention or medical treatment does not have any effect. The one sample t-test is adequate for assessment.

2 Schematic Overview of Type of Data File

Outcome
.
.
.
.
.
.
.

3 Primary Scientific Question

Is the mean outcome value significantly different from the value zero.

4 Data Example

The reduction of mean blood pressure after treatment is measured in a sample of patients. We wish to know whether the mean reduction is significantly larger than zero.

Outcome

3
4
-1
3
2
-2
4
3
-1
2

outcome = decrease of mean blood pressure after treatment (mm Hg)

5 Analysis: One-Sample T-Test

The data file is in extras.springer.com, and is entitled “chapter1onesample-continuous”. Open it in SPSS. For analysis the module Compare Means is required. It consists of the following statistical models:

Means,
One-Sample T-Test,
Independent-Samples T-Test,
Paired-Samples T-Test and
One Way ANOVA

Command:

Analyze....Compare Means....One-Sample T-Test....Test Variable(s): enter "mean blood pressure reduction"click OK.

In the output sheets is the underneath table.

One-sample test

	Test value = 0					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean difference	95 % confidence interval of the difference	
					Lower	Upper
VAR00001	2,429	9	,038	1,70000	,1165	3,2835

It shows that the t-value equals 2,429, which means that with $10-1 = 9$ degrees of freedom a significant effect is obtained at $p = 0,038$. The reduction of mean blood pressure has an average value of 1,7000 mm Hg, and this average reduction is significantly larger than a reduction of 0,00 mm Hg.

6 Alternative Analysis: One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test

If the data do not follow a Gaussian distribution, this method will be required, but with Gaussian distributions it may be applied even so.

Command:

Analyze...Nonparametric tests...One Sample Nonparametric Tests...click Fields ...Test Fields: enter "mean blood pressure reduction"....click Settings....click Choose Tests....mark Customize Tests....mark Compare median to hypothesized ...Hypothesized median: type "0,00"....click Run.

The underneath table is in the output sheet. The median of the mean blood pressure reductions is significantly different from zero. The treatment is, obviously, successful. The p-value is very similar to that of the above one sample t-test.

Hypotheses test summary

	Null hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The median of mean blood pressure reduction equals 0,000.	One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test	,035	Reject the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is ,05

7 Conclusion

The significant effects indicate that the nullhypothesis of no effect can be rejected. The treatment performs better than no treatment. It may be prudent to use non-parametric tests, if normality is doubtful or can not be proven like with small data as those in the current example.

8 Note

The theories of null hypotheses and frequency distributions are reviewed in *Statistics applied to clinical studies* 5th edition, Chaps. 1 and 2, entitled “Hypotheses data stratification” and “The analysis of efficacy data”, Springer Heidelberg Germany, 2012, from the same authors.