

## Lecture 10

### Homomorphisms

A *homomorphism* is a map  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  such that for all  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$h(xy) = h(x)h(y), \quad (10.1)$$

$$h(\epsilon) = \epsilon. \quad (10.2)$$

Actually, (10.2) is a consequence of (10.1):

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\epsilon)| &= |h(\epsilon\epsilon)| \\ &= |h(\epsilon)h(\epsilon)| \\ &= |h(\epsilon)| + |h(\epsilon)|; \end{aligned}$$

subtracting  $|h(\epsilon)|$  from both sides, we have  $|h(\epsilon)| = 0$ , therefore  $h(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ .

It follows from these properties that any homomorphism defined on  $\Sigma^*$  is uniquely determined by its values on  $\Sigma$ . For example, if  $h(a) = ccc$  and  $h(b) = dd$ , then

$$h(abaab) = h(a)h(b)h(a)h(a)h(b) = cccddccccdd.$$

Moreover, any map  $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  extends uniquely by induction to a homomorphism defined on all of  $\Sigma^*$ . Therefore, in order to specify a homomorphism completely, we need only say what values it takes on elements of  $\Sigma$ .

If  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , define

$$h(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{h(x) \mid x \in A\} \subseteq \Gamma^*,$$

and if  $B \subseteq \Gamma^*$ , define

$$h^{-1}(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \mid h(x) \in B\} \subseteq \Sigma^*.$$

The set  $h(A)$  is called the *image* of  $A$  under  $h$ , and the set  $h^{-1}(B)$  is called the *preimage* of  $B$  under  $h$ .

We will show two useful closure properties of the regular sets: any homomorphic image or homomorphic preimage of a regular set is regular.

**Theorem 10.1** *Let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a homomorphism. If  $B \subseteq \Gamma^*$  is regular, then so is its preimage  $h^{-1}(B)$  under  $h$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = (Q, \Gamma, \delta, s, F)$  be a DFA such that  $L(M) = B$ . Create a new DFA  $M' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta', s, F)$  for  $h^{-1}(B)$  as follows. The set of states, start state, and final states of  $M'$  are the same as in  $M$ . The input alphabet is  $\Sigma$  instead of  $\Gamma$ . The transition function  $\delta'$  is defined by

$$\delta'(q, a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{\delta}(q, h(a)).$$

Note that we have to use  $\widehat{\delta}$  on the right-hand side, since  $h(a)$  need not be a single letter.

Now it follows by induction on  $|x|$  that for all  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$\widehat{\delta}'(q, x) = \widehat{\delta}(q, h(x)). \quad (10.3)$$

For the basis  $x = \epsilon$ , using (10.1),

$$\widehat{\delta}'(q, \epsilon) = q = \widehat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \widehat{\delta}(q, h(\epsilon)).$$

For the induction step, assume that  $\widehat{\delta}'(q, x) = \widehat{\delta}(q, h(x))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\delta}'(q, xa) &= \delta'(\widehat{\delta}'(q, x), a) && \text{definition of } \widehat{\delta}' \\ &= \delta'(\widehat{\delta}(q, h(x)), a) && \text{induction hypothesis} \\ &= \widehat{\delta}(\widehat{\delta}(q, h(x)), h(a)) && \text{definition of } \delta' \\ &= \widehat{\delta}(q, h(x)h(a)) && \text{Homework 1, Exercise 3} \\ &= \widehat{\delta}(q, h(xa)) && \text{property (10.2) of homomorphisms.} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use (10.3) to prove that  $L(M') = h^{-1}(L(M))$ . For any  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L(M') &\iff \widehat{\delta}'(s, x) \in F && \text{definition of acceptance} \\ &\iff \widehat{\delta}(s, h(x)) \in F && \text{by (10.3)} \\ &\iff h(x) \in L(M) && \text{definition of acceptance} \\ &\iff x \in h^{-1}(L(M)) && \text{definition of } h^{-1}(L(M)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 10.2** *Let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be a homomorphism. If  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular, then so is its image  $h(A)$  under  $h$ .*

*Proof.* For this proof, we will use regular expressions. Let  $\alpha$  be a regular expression over  $\Sigma$  such that  $L(\alpha) = A$ . Let  $\alpha'$  be the regular expression obtained by replacing each letter  $a \in \Sigma$  appearing in  $\alpha$  with the string  $h(a) \in \Gamma^*$ . For example, if  $h(a) = ccc$  and  $h(b) = dd$ , then

$$((a + b)^* ab)^{\prime} = (ccc + dd)^* cccdd.$$

Formally,  $\alpha'$  is defined by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= h(a), & a \in \Sigma, \\ \emptyset' &= \emptyset, \\ (\beta + \gamma)' &= \beta' + \gamma', \\ (\beta\gamma)' &= \beta'\gamma', \\ \beta^{*'} &= \beta'^*. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for any regular expression  $\beta$  over  $\Sigma$ ,

$$L(\beta') = h(L(\beta)); \tag{10.4}$$

in particular,  $L(\alpha') = h(A)$ . This can be proved by induction on the structure of  $\beta$ . To do this, we will need two facts about homomorphisms: for any pair of subsets  $C, D \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and any family of subsets  $C_i \subseteq \Sigma^*$ ,  $i \in I$ ,

$$h(CD) = h(C)h(D), \tag{10.5}$$

$$h\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} C_i\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} h(C_i). \tag{10.6}$$

To prove (10.5),

$$\begin{aligned} h(CD) &= \{h(w) \mid w \in CD\} \\ &= \{h(yz) \mid y \in C, z \in D\} \\ &= \{h(y)h(z) \mid y \in C, z \in D\} \\ &= \{uv \mid u \in h(C), v \in h(D)\} \\ &= h(C)h(D). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (10.6),

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\bigcup_i C_i\right) &= \{h(w) \mid w \in \bigcup_i C_i\} \\ &= \{h(w) \mid \exists i \ w \in C_i\} \\ &= \bigcup_i \{h(w) \mid w \in C_i\} \\ &= \bigcup_i h(C_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (10.4) by induction. There are two base cases:

$$L(a') = L(h(a)) = \{h(a)\} = h(\{a\}) = h(L(a))$$

and

$$L(\emptyset') = L(\emptyset) = \emptyset = h(\emptyset) = h(L(\emptyset)).$$

The case of  $\epsilon$  is covered by the other cases, since  $\epsilon = \emptyset^*$ .

There are three induction cases, one for each of the operators  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ , and  $*$ . For  $+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L((\beta + \gamma)') &= L(\beta' + \gamma') && \text{definition of '} \\ &= L(\beta') \cup L(\gamma') && \text{definition of +} \\ &= h(L(\beta)) \cup h(L(\gamma)) && \text{induction hypothesis} \\ &= h(L(\beta) \cup L(\gamma)) && \text{property (10.6)} \\ &= h(L(\beta + \gamma)) && \text{definition of +.} \end{aligned}$$

The proof for  $\cdot$  is similar, using property (10.5) instead of (10.6). Finally, for  $*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta^{*'}) &= L(\beta'^{*}) && \text{definition of '} \\ &= L(\beta')^* && \text{definition of regular expression operator *} \\ &= h(L(\beta))^* && \text{induction hypothesis} \\ &= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} h(L(\beta))^n && \text{definition of set operator *} \\ &= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} h(L(\beta)^n) && \text{property (10.5)} \\ &= h\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} L(\beta)^n\right) && \text{property (10.6)} \\ &= h(L(\beta)^*) && \text{definition of set operator *} \\ &= h(L(\beta^{*'})) && \text{definition of regular expression operator *}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Warning:** It is *not* true that  $A$  is regular whenever  $h(A)$  is. This is not what Theorem 10.1 says. We will show later that the set  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is not regular, but the image of this set under the homomorphism  $h(a) = h(b) = a$  is the regular set  $\{a^n \mid n \text{ is even}\}$ . The preimage  $h^{-1}(\{a^n \mid n \text{ is even}\})$  is not  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ , but  $\{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid |x| \text{ is even}\}$ , which is regular.

## Automata with $\epsilon$ -transitions

Here is an example of how to use homomorphisms to give a clean treatment of  $\epsilon$ -transitions. Define an *NFA with  $\epsilon$ -transitions* to be a structure

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \epsilon, \Delta, S, F)$$

such that  $\epsilon$  is a special symbol not in  $\Sigma$  and

$$M_\epsilon = (Q, \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}, \Delta, S, F)$$

is an ordinary NFA over the alphabet  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ . We define acceptance for automata with  $\epsilon$ -transitions as follows: for any  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $M$  *accepts*  $x$  if there exists  $y \in (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\})^*$  such that

- $M_\epsilon$  accepts  $y$  under the ordinary definition of acceptance for NFAs, and
- $x$  is obtained from  $y$  by erasing all occurrences of the symbol  $\epsilon$ ; that is,  $x = h(y)$ , where

$$h : (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\})^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$$

is the homomorphism defined by

$$h(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a, \quad a \in \Sigma,$$

$$h(\epsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \epsilon.$$

In other words,

$$L(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h(L(M_\epsilon)).$$

This definition and the definition involving  $\epsilon$ -closure described in Lecture 6 are equivalent (Miscellaneous Exercise 10). It is immediate from this definition and Theorem 10.2 that the set accepted by any finite automaton with  $\epsilon$ -transitions is regular.

## Hamming Distance

Here is another example of the use of homomorphisms. We can use them to give slick solutions to Exercise 3 of Homework 2 and Miscellaneous Exercise 8, the problems involving Hamming distance. Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  and consider the alphabet

$$\Sigma \times \Sigma = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}.$$

The elements of  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  are ordered pairs, but we write the components one on top of the other. Let **top** :  $\Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  and **bottom** :  $\Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the

two projections

$$\mathbf{top} \left( \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = a,$$

$$\mathbf{bottom} \left( \begin{array}{|c|} \hline a \\ \hline b \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = b.$$

These maps extend uniquely to homomorphisms  $(\Sigma \times \Sigma)^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ , which we also denote by **top** and **bottom**. For example,

$$\mathbf{top} \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = 0010,$$

$$\mathbf{bottom} \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = 0111.$$

Thus we can think of strings in  $(\Sigma \times \Sigma)^*$  as consisting of two tracks, and the homomorphisms **top** and **bottom** give the contents of the top and bottom track, respectively.

For fixed  $k$ , let  $D_k$  be the set of all strings in  $(\Sigma \times \Sigma)^*$  containing no more than  $k$  occurrences of

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

This is certainly a regular set. Note also that

$$D_k = \{x \in (\Sigma \times \Sigma)^* \mid H(\mathbf{top}(x), \mathbf{bottom}(x)) \leq k\},$$

where  $H$  is the Hamming distance function. Now take any regular set  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , and consider the set

$$\mathbf{top}(\mathbf{bottom}^{-1}(A) \cap D_k). \tag{10.7}$$

Believe it or not, this set is exactly  $N_k(A)$ , the set of strings in  $\Sigma^*$  of Hamming distance at most  $k$  from some string in  $A$ . The set  $\mathbf{bottom}^{-1}(A)$  is the set of strings whose bottom track is in  $A$ ; the set  $\mathbf{bottom}^{-1}(A) \cap D_k$  is the set of strings whose bottom track is in  $A$  and whose top track is of Hamming distance at most  $k$  from the bottom track; and the set (10.7) is the set of top tracks of all such strings.

Moreover, the set (10.7) is a regular set, because the regular sets are closed under intersection, homomorphic image, and homomorphic preimage.