

Chapter 15

Euclidean Affine Linear Geometry



15.1 Euclidean Affine Spaces

In the previous chapter we have dealt with the (real and linear) affine space \mathbb{A}^n as modelled on the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . In this chapter we study the additional structures on \mathbb{A}^n that come when passing from \mathbb{R}^n to the euclidean space E^n (see the Chap. 3). Taking into account the scalar product allows one to introduce metric notions (such as distances and angles) into an affine space.

Definition 15.1.1 The affine space \mathbb{A}^n associated to the Euclidean vector space $E^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$ is called the *Euclidean affine space* and denoted \mathbb{E}^n . A reference system (O, \mathcal{B}) for \mathbb{E}^n is called *cartesian orthogonal* if the basis \mathcal{B} for E^n is orthonormal.

Recall that, if \mathcal{B} is an orthonormal basis for E^n , the matrix of change of basis $M^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}}$ (the matrix whose column vectors are the components of the vectors in \mathcal{B} with respect to the canonical basis \mathcal{E}) is orthogonal by definition (see the Chap. 10, Definition 10.1.1), and thus $\det(M^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}}) = \pm 1$.

In our analysis in this chapter we shall always consider cartesian orthogonal reference systems.

Exercise 15.1.2 Let r be the (straight) line in \mathbb{E}^2 with vector equation

$$(x, y) = (1, -2) + \lambda(1, -1).$$

We take $A = (1, -2)$ and $v = (1, -1)$. To determine a cartesian equation for r , in alternative to the procedure described at length in the previous chapter (that is removing the parameter λ), one observes that, since $\mathcal{L}(v)$ is the direction of r , and thus the vector $u = (1, 1)$ is orthogonal to v , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
P = (x, y) \in r &\iff P - A \in \mathcal{L}(v) \\
&\iff (P - A) \cdot u = 0 \\
&\iff (x - 1, y + 2) \cdot (1, 1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This condition can be written as

$$x + y + 1 = 0,$$

yielding a cartesian equation Σ_r for r .

This exercise shows that, if r is a line in \mathbb{E}^2 whose vector equation is $r : P = A + \lambda v$, with u a vector orthogonal to v so that for the direction of r one has $S_r = \mathcal{L}(u)^\perp$, we have

$$P \in r \iff (P - A) \cdot u = 0.$$

This expression is called the *normal equation* for the line r .

We can generalise this example to any hyperplane.

Proposition 15.1.3 *Let $H \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be a hyperplane, with $A \in H$. If $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a non zero vector orthogonal to the direction S_H of the hyperplane, that is $\mathcal{L}(u) = (S_H)^\perp$, then it holds that*

$$P \in H \iff (P - A) \cdot u = 0.$$

Definition 15.1.4 The equation

$$\mathcal{N}_H : (P - A) \cdot u = 0$$

is called the *normal equation of the hyperplane H* in \mathbb{E}^n . If $n = 2$, it yields the normal equation of a line; if $n = 3$, it yields the normal equation of a plane.

Remark 15.1.5 Notice that, as we already seen for a cartesian equation in the previous chapter (see the Remark 14.4.11), the normal equation \mathcal{N}_H for a given hyperplane in \mathbb{E}^n is not uniquely determined, since A can range in H and the vector u is given up to an arbitrary non zero scalar.

Remark 15.1.6 With a cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_H : a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = b$$

for the hyperplane for H in \mathbb{E}^n , one has $S_H^\perp = \mathcal{L}((a_1, \dots, a_n))$. This follows from the definition

$$\begin{aligned}
S_H &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = 0\} \\
&= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

With A an arbitrary point in H , a normal equation for H is indeed given by

$$\mathcal{N}_H : (P - A) \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

Exercise 15.1.7 We determine both a cartesian and a normal equation for the plane π in \mathbb{A}^3 whose direction is orthogonal to $u = (1, 2, 3)$ and that contains the point $A = (1, 0, -1)$. We have

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi : (x - 1, y, z + 1) \cdot (1, 2, 3) = 0,$$

equivalent to the cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_\pi : x + 2y + 3z + 2 = 0.$$

Exercise 15.1.8 Given the (straight) line r in \mathbb{A}^2 with cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_r : 2x - 3y + 3 = 0$$

we look for its normal equation. We start by noticing (see the Remark 15.1.6) that the direction of r is orthogonal to the vector $u = (2, -3)$, and that the point $A = (0, 1)$ lays in r , so we can write

$$\mathcal{N}_r : (P - (0, 1)) \cdot (2, -3) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (x, y - 1) \cdot (2, -3) = 0$$

as a normal equation for r .

From what discussed above, it is clear that there exist deep relations between cartesian and normal equations for an hyperplane in a Euclidean affine space. Moreover, as we have discussed in the previous chapter, a generic linear affine variety in \mathbb{A}^n can be described as a suitable intersection of hyperplanes. Therefore it should come as no surprise that a linear affine variety can be described in a Euclidean affine space in terms of a suitable number of normal equations. The general case is illustrated by the following exercise.

Exercise 15.1.9 Let r be the line through the point $A = (1, 2, -3)$ in \mathbb{E}^3 which is orthogonal to the space $\mathcal{L}((1, 1, 0), (0, 1, -1))$. Its normal equation is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_r : \begin{cases} (P - A) \cdot (1, 1, 0) = 0 \\ (P - A) \cdot (0, 1, -1) = 0 \end{cases},$$

that is

$$\mathcal{N}_r : \begin{cases} (x - 1, y - 2, z + 3) \cdot (1, 1, 0) = 0 \\ (x - 1, y - 2, z + 3) \cdot (0, 1, -1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

yielding then the cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_r : \begin{cases} x + y - 3 = 0 \\ y - z - 5 = 0 \end{cases} .$$

15.2 Orthogonality Between Linear Affine Varieties

In the Euclidean affine space \mathbb{E}^n there is the notion of orthogonality. Thus, we have:

Definition 15.2.1 One says that

- (a) the lines $r, r' \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ are *orthogonal* if $v \cdot v' = 0$ for any $v \in S_r$ and any $v' \in S_{r'}$,
- (b) the planes $\pi, \pi' \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ are *orthogonal* if $u \cdot u' = 0$ for any $u \in S_\pi^\perp$ and any $u' \in S_{\pi'}^\perp$,
- (c) the line r with direction v is *orthogonal* to the plane π in \mathbb{E}^3 if $v \in S_\pi^\perp$.

Exercise 15.2.2 We consider the following lines in \mathbb{E}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{r_1} &: 2x - 2y + 1 = 0, \\ \Sigma_{r_2} &: x + y + 3 = 0, \\ r_3 &: (x, y) = (1, -3) + \lambda(1, 1), \\ \mathcal{N}_{r_4} &: (x + 1, y - 4) \cdot (1, 2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with directions spanned by the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (2, 2), \\ v_2 &= (1, -1), \\ v_3 &= (1, 1), \\ v_4 &= (1, -2). \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate to show that the only orthogonal pairs of lines among them are $r_1 \perp r_2$ and $r_2 \perp r_3$.

Exercise 15.2.3 Consider the lines $r, r' \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ given by

$$r : (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 1) + \lambda(3, 0, -1), \quad r' : \begin{cases} x = 3 + \mu \\ y = 2 - 2\mu \\ z = 3\mu \end{cases} .$$

We have $S_r = \mathcal{L}((3, 0, -1))$ and $S_{r'} = \mathcal{L}((1, -2, 3))$. Since

$$(3, 0, -1) \cdot (1, -2, 3) = 0$$

we conclude that r is orthogonal to r' .

Exercise 15.2.4 Let π be the plane in \mathbb{E}^3 whose cartesian equation is

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : x - y + 2z - 3 = 0.$$

In order to find an equation for the line r through $A = (1, 2, 1)$ which is orthogonal to π we notice from the Remark 15.1.6, that it is $S_{\pi}^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}((1, -1, 2))$: we can then write

$$r : (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 1) + \lambda(1, -1, 2).$$

Exercise 15.2.5 Consider in \mathbb{E}^3 the line given by

$$\Sigma_r : \begin{cases} x - 2y + z - 1 = 0 \\ x + y = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We seek to determine:

- (1) a cartesian equation for the plane π through the point $A = (-1, -1, -1)$ and orthogonal to r ,
- (2) the intersection between r and π .

We proceed as follows.

- (1) From the cartesian equation Σ_r we have that

$$S_r^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}((1, -2, 1), (1, 1, 0))$$

and this subspace yields the direction S_{π} . Since $A \in \pi$, a vector equation for π is given by

$$\pi : (x, y, z) = -1(1, 1, 1) + \lambda(1, -2, 1) + \mu(1, 1, 0).$$

By noticing that $S_{\pi} = \mathcal{L}((1, -1, -3))$, a normal equation for π is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\pi} : (P - A) \cdot (1, -1, -3) = 0$$

yielding the cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : x - y - 3z - 3 = 0.$$

- (2) The intersection $\pi \cap r$ is clearly given by the unique solution of the linear system

$$\Sigma_{\pi \cap r} : \begin{cases} x - 2y + z - 1 = 0 \\ x + y = 0 \\ x - y - 3z - 3 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is $P = \frac{1}{11}(6, -6, -7)$.

Exercise 15.2.6 We consider again the lines r and r' in \mathbb{E}^3 from the Exercise 15.2.3. We know that $r \perp r'$. We determine the plane π which is orthogonal to r' and such that $r \subset \pi$. Since $\mathcal{L}((1, -2, 3))$ is the direction of r' , we can write from the Remark 15.1.6 that

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : x - 2y + 3z + d = 0$$

with d a real parameter. The line r is in π if and only if the coordinates of every of its points $P = (1 + 3\lambda, 2, 1 - \lambda) \in r$ solve the equation Σ_{π} , that is, if and only if the equation

$$(1 + 3\lambda) - 2(2) + 3(1 - \lambda) + d = 0$$

has a solution for each value of λ . This is true if and only if $d = 0$, so a cartesian equation for π is

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : x - 2y + 3z = 0.$$

Exercise 15.2.7 For the planes

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : 2x + y - z - 3 = 0, \quad \Sigma_{\pi'} : x + y + 3z - 1 = 0$$

in \mathbb{E}^3 we have $S_{\pi}^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}((2, 1, -1))$ and $S_{\pi'}^{\perp} = \mathcal{L}((1, 1, 3))$. We conclude that π is orthogonal to π' , since $(2, 1, -1) \cdot (1, 1, 3) = 0$. Notice that

$$(2, 1, -1) \in S_{\pi'} = \{(a, b, c) : a + b + 3c = 0\},$$

that is $S_{\pi}^{\perp} \subset S_{\pi'}$. We can analogously show that $S_{\pi'}^{\perp} \subset S_{\pi}$. This leads to the following remark.

Remark 15.2.8 The planes $\pi, \pi' \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ are orthogonal if and only if $S_{\pi}^{\perp} \subset S_{\pi'}$ (or equivalently if and only if $S_{\pi'}^{\perp} \subset S_{\pi}$).

In order to recap the results we described in the previous pages, we consider the following example.

Exercise 15.2.9 Consider the point $A = (1, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{E}^3 and the lines r, s with equations

$$r : (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 1) + \lambda(3, 0, -1), \quad \Sigma_s : \begin{cases} x - y + z + 2 = 0 \\ x - z + 1 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We seek to determine:

- the set \mathcal{F} of lines through A which are orthogonal to r ,
- the line $l \in \mathcal{F}$ which is parallel to the plane π given by $\Sigma_{\pi} : x - y + z + 2 = 0$,
- the line $l' \in \mathcal{F}$ which is orthogonal to s ,
- the lines $q \subset \pi'$ with $\Sigma_{\pi'} : y - 2 = 0$ which are orthogonal to r .

For these we proceed as follows.

- (a) A line
- u
- through
- A
- has a vector equation

$$(x, y, z) = (1, 0, 1) + \lambda(a, b, c)$$

with arbitrary direction $S_u = \mathcal{L}((a, b, c))$. Since u is to be orthogonal to r , we have the condition $(a, b, c) \cdot (3, 0, 1) = 3a - c = 0$. The set \mathcal{F} is then given by the union $\mathcal{F} = \{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \cup \{\bar{r}\}$ with

$$r_\alpha : (x, y, z) = (1, 0, 1) + \mu(1, \alpha, 3) \quad \text{for } a \neq 0,$$

and

$$\bar{r} : (x, y, z) = (1, 0, 1) + \mu(0, 1, 0) \quad \text{for } a = c = 0.$$

- (b) Since the direction S_π of the plane π is given by the subspace orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}((1, -1, 1))$, it is clear from $(0, 1, 0) \cdot (1, -1, 1) \neq 0$ that the line \bar{r} is not parallel to π . This means that the line l must be found within the set $\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$. If we impose that $(1, \alpha, 3) \cdot (1, -1, 1) = 0$, we have $\alpha = 4$, so the line l is given by

$$l : (x, y, z) = (1, 0, 1) + \mu(1, 4, 3).$$

- (c) A cartesian equation for s is given by solving the linear system Σ_s in terms of one free unknown. It is immediate to show that

$$s : (x, y, z) = (-1 + \eta, 1 + 2\eta, \eta) = (-1, 1, 0) + \eta(1, 2, 1).$$

The condition $r_\alpha \perp s$ is equivalent to $(1, \alpha, 3) \cdot (1, 2, 1) = 0$, reading $\alpha = -2$, so we have

$$l' : (x, y, z) = (1, 0, 1) + \mu(1, -2, 3).$$

This is the unique solution to the problem: we directly inspect that \bar{r} is not orthogonal to s , since $(0, 1, 0) \cdot (1, 2, 1) = 2 \neq 0$.

- (d) A plane π_h is orthogonal to r if and only if

$$\Sigma_{\pi_h} : 3x - z + h = 0.$$

The lines q_h are then given by the intersection

$$\Sigma_{q_h} = \Sigma_{\pi_h \cap \pi'} : \begin{cases} 3x - z + h = 0 \\ y - 2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{with } h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

15.3 The Distance Between Linear Affine Varieties

It is evident that the distance between two points A and B on a plane is defined to be the length of the line segment whose endpoints are A and B . This definition can be consistently formulated in a Euclidean affine space.

Definition 15.3.1 Let A and B be a pair of points in \mathbb{E}^n . The *distance* $d(A, B)$ between them is defined as

$$d(A, B) = \|B - A\| = \sqrt{(B - A) \cdot (B - A)}.$$

Exercise 15.3.2 If $A = (1, 2, 0, -1)$ and $B = (0, -1, 2, 2)$ are points in \mathbb{E}^4 , then

$$d(A, B) = \|(-1, -3, 2, 3)\| = \sqrt{23}.$$

The well known properties of a Euclidean distance function are a consequence of the corresponding properties of the scalar product.

Proposition 15.3.3 For any A, B, C points in \mathbb{E}^n the following properties hold.

- (1) $d(A, B) \geq 0$,
- (2) $d(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $A = B$,
- (3) $d(A, B) = d(B, A)$.
- (4) $d(A, B) + d(B, C) \geq d(A, C)$.

In order to introduce a notion of distance between a point and a linear affine variety, we start by looking at an example. Let us consider in \mathbb{E}^2 the point $A = (0, 0)$ and the line r whose vector equation is $(x, y) = (1, 1) + \lambda(1, -1)$. By denoting $P_\lambda = (1 + \lambda, 1 - \lambda)$ a generic point in r , we compute

$$d(A, P_\lambda) = \sqrt{2 + 2\lambda^2}.$$

It is immediate to verify that, as a function of λ , the quantity $d(A, P)$ ranges between $\sqrt{2}$ and $+\infty$: it is therefore natural to consider the minimum of this range as the distance between A and r . We have then $d(A, r) = \sqrt{2}$.

Definition 15.3.4 If L is a linear affine variety and A is a point in \mathbb{E}^n , the *distance* $d(A, L)$ between A and L is defined to be

$$d(A, L) = \min\{d(A, B) : B \in L\}.$$

Remark 15.3.5 It is evident from the definition above that $d(A, L) = 0$ if and only if $A \in L$. We shall indeed prove that, given a point A and a linear affine variety L in \mathbb{E}^n , there always exists a point $A_0 \in L$ such that $d(A, L) = d(A_0, L)$, thus showing that the previous definition is well posed.

Proposition 15.3.6 *Let L be a linear affine variety and $A \notin L$ a point in \mathbb{E}^n . It holds that*

$$d(A, L) = d(A, A_0) \quad \text{with} \quad A_0 = L \cap (A + S_L^\perp).$$

Here the set $A + S_L^\perp$ denotes the linear affine variety through A whose direction is S_L^\perp . The point A_0 is called the orthogonal projection of A on L .

Proof Since the linear system $\Sigma_{L \cap (A + S_L^\perp)}$ given by the cartesian equations Σ_L and $\Sigma_{A + S_L^\perp}$ is of rank n with n unknowns, the intersection $L \cap (A + S_L^\perp)$ consists of a single point that we denote by A_0 .

Let B be an arbitrary point in L . We can decompose

$$A - B = (A - A_0) + (A_0 - B),$$

with $A_0 - B \in S_L$ (since both A_0 and B are in L) and $A - A_0 \in S_L^\perp$ (since both A and A_0 are points in the linear affine variety $A + S_L^\perp$). We have then

$$(A - A_0) \cdot (A_0 - B) = 0$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} (d(A, B))^2 &= \|A - B\|^2 = \|(A - A_0) + (A_0 - B)\|^2 \\ &= \|A - A_0\|^2 + \|A_0 - B\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$(d(A, B))^2 \geq \|A - A_0\|^2 = (d(A, A_0))^2$$

for any $B \in L$, and this proves the claim. \square

Exercise 15.3.7 Let us compute the distance between the line $r : 2x + y + 4 = 0$ and the point $A = (1, -1)$ in \mathbb{E}^2 . We start by finding the line $s_A = A + S_r^\perp$ through A which is orthogonal to r . The direction S_r^\perp is spanned by the vector $(2, 1)$, so we have

$$s_A : (x, y) = (1, -1) + \lambda(2, 1).$$

The intersection $A_0 = r \cap s_A$ is then given by the value of the parameter λ that solves the equation

$$2(1 + 2\lambda) + (-1 + \lambda) + 4 = 0,$$

that is $\lambda = -1$ giving $A_0 = (-1, -2)$. Therefore we have

$$d(A, r) = d(A, A_0) = \|(2, 1)\| = \sqrt{5}.$$

Exercise 15.3.8 Let us consider in \mathbb{E}^3 the point $A = (1, -1, 0)$ and the line r with vector equation $r : (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 1) + \lambda(1, -1, 2)$. In order to compute the distance between A and r we first determine the plane $\pi_A := A + S_r^\perp$. Since the direction of r must be orthogonal to π_A , from the Remark 15.1.6 the cartesian equation for π_A is given by

$$\Sigma_{\pi_A} : x - y + 2z + d = 0,$$

with $d \in \mathbb{R}$. The value of d is fixed by asking that $A \in \pi_A$, that is $1 + 1 + d = 0$ giving $d = -2$. We then have

$$\Sigma_{\pi_A} : x - y + 2z - 2 = 0.$$

The point A_0 is now the intersection $r \cap \pi_A$, which is given for the value of $\lambda = \frac{1}{6}$ which solves,

$$(1 + \lambda) - (2 - \lambda) + 2(1 + 2\lambda) - 2 = 0.$$

It is therefore $A_0 = (\frac{7}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, \frac{4}{3})$, with

$$d(A, r) = d(A, A_0) = \left\| \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{17}{6}, \frac{4}{3} \right) \right\| = \sqrt{\frac{59}{6}}.$$

The next theorem yields a formula which allows one to compute more directly the distance $d(Q, H)$ between a point Q and an hyperplane H in \mathbb{E}^n .

Theorem 15.3.9 Let H be a hyperplane and Q a point in \mathbb{E}^n with $\Sigma_H : a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b = 0$ and $Q = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$. The distance between Q and H is given by

$$d(Q, H) = \frac{|a_1x'_1 + \dots + a_nx'_n + b|}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2}}.$$

Proof If we consider $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ as vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , using the scalar product in \mathbb{E}^n , the cartesian equation for H can be written as

$$\Sigma_H : A \cdot X + b = 0.$$

We know that $A \in S_H^\perp$, so the line through A which is orthogonal to H is made of the points P such that

$$r : P = Q + \lambda A.$$

The intersection point $Q_0 = r \cap H$ is given by replacing X in Σ_H with such a P , that is

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot (Q + \lambda A) + b = 0 &\Rightarrow A \cdot Q + \lambda A \cdot A + b = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda = -\frac{A \cdot Q + b}{A \cdot A}. \end{aligned}$$

The equation for r gives then

$$Q_0 = Q - \frac{A \cdot Q + b}{\|A\|^2} A.$$

We can now easily compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q - Q_0\|^2 &= \left\| \frac{A \cdot Q + b}{\|A\|^2} A \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{|A \cdot Q + b|^2}{\|A\|^4} \|A\|^2 = \frac{|A \cdot Q + b|^2}{\|A\|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore getting

$$d(Q, H) = \frac{|A \cdot Q + b|}{\|A\|} = \frac{|a_1 x'_1 + \cdots + a_n x'_n + b|}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2}},$$

as claimed. \square

Exercise 15.3.10 Consider the line r with cartesian equation $\Sigma_r : 2x + y + 4 = 0$ and the point $A = (1, -1)$ in \mathbb{E}^2 as in the Exercise 15.3.7 above. From the Theorem 15.3.9 we have

$$d(A, r) = \frac{|2 - 1 + 4|}{\sqrt{4 + 1}} = \sqrt{5}.$$

Exercise 15.3.11 By making again use of the Theorem 15.3.9 it is easy to compute the distance between the point $A = (1, 2, -1)$ and the plane π in \mathbb{E}^3 with $\Sigma_\pi : x + 2y - 2z + 3 = 0$. We have

$$d(A, \pi) = \frac{|1 + 4 + 2 + 3|}{\sqrt{1 + 4 + 4}} = \frac{10}{3}.$$

We generalise the analysis above with a natural definition for the distance between any two linear affine varieties.

Definition 15.3.12 Let L and L' two linear affine varieties in \mathbb{E}^n . The *distance* between them is defined as the non negative real number

$$d(L, L') = \min\{d(A, A') : A \in L, A' \in L'\}.$$

It is evident that $d(L, L') = 0$ if and only if $L \cap L' \neq \emptyset$. It is indeed possible to show that the previous definition is consistent even when $L \cap L' = \emptyset$. Moreover one

can show that there exist a point $\bar{A} \in L$ and a point $\bar{A}' \in L'$, such that the minimum distance is attained for them, that is $d(\bar{A}, \bar{A}') \leq d(A, A')$ for any $A \in L$ and $A' \in L'$. For such a pair of points it is $d(L, L') = d(\bar{A}, \bar{A}')$.

In the following pages we shall study the following cases of linear varieties which do not intersect:

- lines r, r' in \mathbb{E}^2 which are parallel,
- planes π, π' in \mathbb{E}^3 which are parallel,
- a plane π and a line r in \mathbb{E}^3 which are parallel,
- lines r, r' in \mathbb{E}^3 which are parallel.

Remark 15.3.13 Consider lines r and r' in \mathbb{E}^2 which are parallel and distinct. Their cartesian equations are

$$\Sigma_r : ax + by + c = 0, \quad \Sigma_{r'} : ax + by + c' = 0,$$

for $c' \neq c$. Let $A = (x'_1, x'_2) \in r$, that is $ax'_1 + bx'_2 + c = 0$. From the Theorem 15.3.9 it is

$$d(A, r') = \frac{|ax'_1 + bx'_2 + c'|}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} = \frac{|c' - c|}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$

From the Definition 15.3.12 we have $d(A, A') \geq d(A, r')$. Since the value $d(A, r')$ we have computed does not depend on the coordinates of $A \in r$, we have that $d(A, r')$ is the minimum value for $d(A, A')$ when A ranges in r and A' in r' , so we conclude that

$$d(r, r') = \frac{|c' - c|}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$

Notice that, with respect to the same lines, we also have

$$d(A', r) = \frac{|c' - c|}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} = d(A, r').$$

Exercise 15.3.14 Consider the parallel lines $r, r' \subset \mathbb{E}^2$ with cartesian equations

$$\Sigma_r : 2x + y - 3 = 0, \quad \Sigma_{r'} : 2x + y + 2 = 0.$$

The distance between them is

$$d(r, r') = \frac{|2 - (-3)|}{\sqrt{5}} = \sqrt{5}.$$

The distance between two parallel hyperplanes in \mathbb{E}^n is given by generalising the proof of the Theorem 15.3.9.

Proposition 15.3.15 *If H and H' are parallel hyperplanes in \mathbb{E}^n with cartesian equations*

$$\Sigma_H : a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n + b = 0, \quad \Sigma_{H'} : a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n + b' = 0,$$

then $d(H, H') = d(Q, H')$, where Q is an arbitrary point in H , and therefore it is

$$d(H, H') = \frac{|b - b'|}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2}}.$$

Proof We proceed as in the Theorem 15.3.9, so we set $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and write

$$\Sigma_H : A \cdot X + b = 0, \quad \Sigma_{H'} : A \cdot X + b' = 0.$$

As we argued in the Remark 15.3.13, by setting $Q = \bar{X}$ with $A\bar{X} + b = 0$, as an arbitrary point in H , we have

$$d(Q, H') = \frac{|A \cdot \bar{X} + b'|}{\|A\|} = \frac{|b' - b|}{\|A\|}$$

and since such a distance does not depend on Q , we conclude that $d(H, H') = d(Q, H')$. \square

Exercise 15.3.16 The planes

$$\Sigma_\pi : x + 2y - z + 2 = 0, \quad \Sigma_{\pi'} : x + 2y - z - 4 = 0$$

are parallel and distinct. The distance between them is

$$d(\pi, \pi') = \frac{|2 + 4|}{\sqrt{1 + 4 + 1}} = \sqrt{6}.$$

It is clear that not all linear affine varieties which are parallel have the same dimension. The next proposition shows a result within this situation.

Proposition 15.3.17 *Let r be a line and H an hyperplane in \mathbb{E}^n , with r parallel to H . It is*

$$d(r, H) = d(\bar{P}, H),$$

where \bar{P} is any point in r .

Proof With the notations previously adopted, we have $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we represent H by the cartesian equation

$$\Sigma_H : A \cdot X + b = 0$$

and r by the vector equation

$$r : P = \bar{P} + \lambda v$$

where $\bar{P} \in r$ while $v \cdot A = 0$ since r is parallel to H . From the Theorem 15.3.9 we have

$$d(P, H) = \frac{|A \cdot P + b|}{\|A\|} = \frac{|A \cdot (\bar{P} + \lambda v) + b|}{\|A\|} = \frac{|A \cdot \bar{P} + b|}{\|A\|}.$$

This expression does not depend on λ : this is the reason why $d(P, H) = d(\bar{P}, H) = d(r, H)$. \square

Exercise 15.3.18 Consider in \mathbb{E}^3 the line r and the plane π given by:

$$\Sigma_r : \begin{cases} 2x - y + z - 2 = 0 \\ y + 2z = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \Sigma_\pi : 2x - y + z + 3 = 0.$$

Since r is parallel to π , we take the point $P = (1, 0, 0)$ in r and compute the distance between P and π . One gets

$$d(r, \pi) = d(P, \pi) = \frac{5}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

Exercise 15.3.19 Consider the lines r and r' in \mathbb{E}^3 given by the vector equations

$$r : (x, y, z) = (3, 1, 2) + \lambda(1, 2, 0), \quad r' : (x, y, z) = (-1, -2, 3) + \lambda(1, 2, 0).$$

Since r is parallel to r' , the distance between them can be computed by proceeding as in the previous exercises, that is $d(r, r') = d(A, r') = d(B, r)$, where A is an arbitrary point in r and B an arbitrary point in r' .

We illustrate an alternative method. We notice that, if π is a plane orthogonal to both r and r' , then the distance $d(r, r') = d(P, P')$ where $P = \pi \cap r$ and $P' = \pi \cap r'$. We consider the plane π through the origin which is orthogonal to both r and r' , and whose cartesian equation is

$$\Sigma_\pi : x + 2y = 0.$$

Direct calculations show that $P = \pi \cap r = (2, -1, 2)$ and $P' = \pi \cap r' = (0, 0, 3)$, so

$$d(r, r') = d(P, P') = \sqrt{6}.$$

We end the section by sketching how to define the distance between skew lines in \mathbb{E}^3 .

Remark 15.3.20 If r and r' are skew lines in \mathbb{E}^3 , then there exist a point $P \in r$ and a point $P' \in r'$ which are the intersections of the lines r and r' with the unique line s

orthogonally intersecting both r and r' . The line s is the *minimum distance line* for r and r' , and the distance $d(r, r') = d(P, P')$.

Exercise 15.3.21 We consider the skew lines in \mathbb{E}^3 ,

$$r : (x, y, z) = \lambda(1, -1, 1), \quad r' : (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1) + \mu(1, 0, 1).$$

The subspace $N \subset E^3$ which is orthogonal to both the directions S_r and $S_{r'}$ is $N = \mathcal{L}((1, 0, -1))$. The minimum distance line s for the given r and r' has the direction $S_s = N$, and intersects r in a point P and r' in a point P' . Since $P \in r$ and $P' \in r'$, there exists a value for λ and a value for μ such that $P = Q(\lambda)$ and $P' = Q'(\mu)$ with

$$Q(\lambda) + t(1, 0, -1) = Q'(\mu),$$

where ν is the parameter for s . The points $P = s \cap r$ and $P' = s \cap r'$ are then those corresponding to the values of the parameters λ and μ solving such a relation, that is

$$s : \begin{cases} \lambda + \nu = \mu \\ -\lambda = 0 \\ \lambda - t = 1 + \mu \end{cases}.$$

One finds $\lambda = 0$, $\mu = \nu = -\frac{1}{2}$, so $P = (0, 0, 0)$, $P' = \frac{1}{2}(-1, 0, 1)$ and

$$d(r, r') = d(P, P') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

15.4 Bundles of Lines and of Planes

A useful notion for several kinds of problems in affine geometry is that of bundle of lines and bundle of planes.

Definition 15.4.1 Given a point A in \mathbb{E}^2 , the *bundle of concurrent lines* with center (or *point of concurrency*) A is the set of all the lines through A in \mathbb{E}^2 ; we shall denote it by \mathcal{F}_A .

The next result is immediate.

Proposition 15.4.2 With $A = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{E}^2$, the cartesian equation of an arbitrary line in the bundle \mathcal{F}_A through A is given by

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_A} : \alpha(x - x_0) + \beta(y - y_0) = 0$$

for any choice of the real parameters α and β such that $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Notice that the parameters α and β label a line in \mathcal{F}_A , but there is not a bijection between pairs (α, β) and lines in \mathcal{F}_A : the pairs (α_0, β_0) and $(\rho\alpha_0, \rho\beta_0)$, for $\rho \neq 0$, give the same line in \mathcal{F}_A .

Exercise 15.4.3 The cartesian equation for the bundle \mathcal{F}_A of lines through $A = (1, -2)$ in \mathbb{E}^2 is

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_A} : \alpha(x - 1) + \beta(y + 2) = 0.$$

The result described in the next proposition (whose proof we omit) shows that the bundle \mathcal{F}_A can be *generated* by any pair of distinct lines concurrent in A .

Proposition 15.4.4 *Let $A \in \mathbb{E}^2$ be the unique intersection of the lines*

$$\Sigma_r : ax + by + c = 0, \quad \Sigma_{r'} : a'x + b'y + c' = 0.$$

Any relation

$$\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \alpha(ax + by + c) + \beta(a'x + b'y + c') = 0$$

with $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ is the cartesian equation for a line in the bundle \mathcal{F}_A of lines with center A , and for any element s of \mathcal{F}_A there exists a pair $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ such that the cartesian equation of s can be written as

$$\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \alpha(ax + by + c) + \beta(a'x + b'y + c') = 0. \quad (15.1)$$

Definition 15.4.5 If the bundle \mathcal{F}_A is given by (15.1), the distinct lines r, r' are called the *generators* of the bundle. To stress the role of the generating lines, we also write in such a case $\mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{F}(r, r')$.

Exercise 15.4.6 The line r whose cartesian equation is $\Sigma_r : x + y + 1$ is an element in the bundle \mathcal{F}_A in the Exercise 15.4.3, corresponding to the parameters $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$ or equivalently $(\alpha, \beta) = (\rho, \rho)$ with $\rho \neq 0$.

Exercise 15.4.7 Consider the following cartesian equation,

$$\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \alpha(x - y + 3) + \beta(2x + y + 3) = 0,$$

depending on a pair of real parameters $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$. Since the relations $x - y + 3 = 0$ and $2x + y + 3 = 0$ yield the cartesian equations for a pair of non parallel lines in \mathbb{E}^2 , the equation $\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is the cartesian equation for a bundle \mathcal{F} of lines in \mathbb{E}^2 . We compute:

- (a) the centre A of the bundle \mathcal{F} ,
- (b) the line $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ which is orthogonal to the line r_1 whose cartesian equation is $\Sigma_{r_1} : 3x + y - 1 = 0$,

- (c) the line $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ which is parallel to the line r_2 whose cartesian equation is $\Sigma_{r_2} : x - y = 0$,
 (d) the line $s_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ through the point $B = (1, 1)$.

We proceed as follows:

- (a) The centre of the bundle is given by the intersection

$$\begin{cases} x - y + 3 = 0 \\ 2x + y + 3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is found to be $A = (-2, 1)$.

- (b) We write the cartesian equation of the bundle \mathcal{F} ,

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} : (\alpha + 2\beta)x + (-\alpha + \beta)y + 3(\alpha + \beta) = 0.$$

As a consequence, the direction of an arbitrary line in the bundle \mathcal{F} is spanned by the vector $v_{(\alpha, \beta)} = (\alpha + 2\beta, \beta - \alpha)$. In order for the line $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ to be orthogonal to r_1 we require

$$(\alpha + 2\beta, \beta - \alpha) \cdot (-1, 3) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\alpha, \beta) = \rho(7, -2)$$

with $\rho \neq 0$. The line s has the cartesian equation $\Sigma_{(7, -2)} : x - 3y + 5 = 0$.

- (c) In order for an element $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ to be parallel to r_2 we require that its direction coincides with the direction of r_2 , which is $\mathcal{L}((1, -1))$. We impose then

$$\alpha + 2\beta = -(\beta - \alpha) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\alpha, \beta) = \rho(1, 0)$$

with $\rho \neq 0$. So we have that s_2 is given by the cartesian equation $\Sigma_{(1, 0)} : x - y + 3 = 0$. The line s_2 turns out to be indeed one of the generators of the bundle \mathcal{F} .

- (d) We have now to require that the coordinates of B solve the equation $\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, that is

$$(\alpha + 2\beta) + (\beta - \alpha) + 3(\alpha + \beta) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3\alpha + 6\beta = 0,$$

giving $(\alpha, \beta) = \rho(2, -1)$ with $\rho \neq 0$. The line s_3 is therefore given by $\Sigma_{(2, -1)} : y - 1 = 0$.

Remark 15.4.8 Notice that the computations in (d) above can be generalised. If \mathcal{F}_A is a bundle of lines through A , for any point $B \neq A$ there always exists a unique line in \mathcal{F}_A which passes through B . We denote it as the line $r_{AB} \in \mathcal{F}_A$.

Definition 15.4.9 Let $\Sigma_r : ax + by + c = 0$ be the cartesian equation of the line r in \mathbb{E}^2 . The set of all lines which are parallel to r is said to define a *bundle of parallel lines* or an *improper bundle*. The most convenient way to describe an improper bundle of lines is

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} : ax + by + h = 0, \quad \text{with } h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Exercise 15.4.10 We consider the line r in \mathbb{E}^2 given by $\Sigma_r : 2x - y + 3 = 0$. We wish to determine the lines s which are parallel to r and whose distance from r is $d(s, r) = \sqrt{5}$.

The parallel lines to r are the elements r_h of the improper bundle \mathcal{F} whose cartesian equation is

$$\Sigma_h : 2x - y + h = 0.$$

From the Proposition 15.3.15 we have

$$\sqrt{5} = d(r_h, r) = \frac{|h - 3|}{\sqrt{5}} \Rightarrow |h - 3| = 5 \Rightarrow h - 3 = \pm 5.$$

The solutions of the exercise are

$$\Sigma_{r_8} : 2x - y + 8 = 0, \quad \Sigma_{r_{-2}} : 2x - y - 2 = 0.$$

In a way similar to above, one has the notion of bundle of planes in a three dimensional affine space.

Definition 15.4.11 Let r be a line in \mathbb{E}^3 . The bundle \mathcal{F}_r of planes through r is the set of all planes π in \mathbb{E}^3 which contains r , that is $r \subset \pi$. The line r is called the *carrier* of the bundle \mathcal{F}_r .

Moreover, if π is a plane in \mathbb{E}^3 , the set of all planes in \mathbb{E}^3 which are parallel to π gives the (*improper*) *bundle of parallel planes to π* .

The following proposition is the analogue of the Proposition 15.4.2.

Proposition 15.4.12 Let r be the line in \mathbb{E}^3 with cartesian equation given by

$$\Sigma_r : \begin{cases} ax + by + cz + d = 0 \\ a'x + b'y + c'z + d' = 0 \end{cases}.$$

For any choice of the parameters $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ the relation

$$\Sigma_{(\alpha, \beta)} : \alpha(ax + by + cz + d) + \beta(a'x + b'y + c'z + d') = 0 \quad (15.2)$$

yields the cartesian equation for a plane in the bundle \mathcal{F}_r with carrier line r , and for any plane π in such a bundle there is a pair $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ such that the cartesian equation of π is given by (15.2).

Definition 15.4.13 If the bundle \mathcal{F}_r of planes is given by the cartesian equation (15.2), the planes $\Sigma_\pi : ax + by + cz + d = 0$ and $\Sigma_{\pi'} : a'x + b'y + c'z + d' = 0$ are called the *generators* of \mathcal{F}_r . In such a case the equivalent notation $\mathcal{F}(\pi, \pi')$ will also be used.

Remark 15.4.14 Clearly, the bundle \mathcal{F}_r is generated by any two distinct planes π, π' through r .

Exercise 15.4.15 Given the line r whose vector equation is

$$r : (x, y, z) = (1, 2, -1) + \lambda(2, 3, 1),$$

we determine the bundle \mathcal{F}_r of planes through r . In order to obtain a cartesian equation for r , we eliminate the parameter λ from the vector equation above, as follows

$$\begin{cases} x = 1 + 2\lambda \\ y = 2 + 3\lambda \\ \lambda = z + 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = 1 + 2(z + 1) \\ y = 2 + 3(z + 1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Sigma_r : \begin{cases} x - 2z - 3 = 0 \\ y - 3z - 5 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

The cartesian equation for the bundle is then given by

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_r} : \alpha(x - 2z - 3) + \beta(y - 3z - 5) = 0$$

with any $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.

Let us next find the plane $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_r$ which passes through $A = (1, 2, 3)$. The condition $A \in \pi$ yields

$$\alpha(1 - 6 - 3) + \beta(2 - 9 - 5) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\alpha + 3\beta = 0.$$

We can pick $(\lambda, \mu) = (3, -2)$, giving $\Sigma_\pi : 3(x - 2z - 3) - 2(y - 3z - 5) = 0$, that is $\Sigma_\pi : 3x - 2y + 1 = 0$.

We also find the plane $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_r$ which is orthogonal to $v = (1, -1, 1)$. We know that a vector orthogonal to a plane $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_r$ with equation

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_r} : \alpha x + \beta y - (2\alpha + 3\beta)z - 3\alpha - 5\beta = 0,$$

is given by $(\alpha, \beta, -2\alpha - 3\beta)$. The conditions we have to meet are then

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = -\beta \\ \alpha = -2\alpha - 3\beta \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha = -\beta.$$

If we fix $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -1)$, we have $\Sigma_\sigma : (x - 2z - 3) - (y - 3z - 5) = 0$, that is

$$\Sigma_\sigma : x - y + z + 2 = 0.$$

15.5 Symmetries

We introduce a few notions related to symmetries which are useful to solve problems in several branches of geometry and physics.

Definition 15.5.1 Consider a point $C \in \mathbb{E}^n$.

- (a) Let $P \in \mathbb{E}^n$ be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{E}^n . The *symmetric point to P with respect to C* is the element $P' \in \mathbb{E}^n$ that belongs to the line r_{CP} passing through C and P , and such that $d(P', C) = d(P, C)$ with $P' \neq P$.
- (b) Let $X \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be a set of points. The *symmetric points to X with respect to C* is the set $X' \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ given by every point P' which is symmetric to any P in X with respect to C .
- (c) Let $X \subset \mathbb{E}^n$. We say that X is *symmetric with respect to C* if $X = X'$, that is if X contains the symmetric point (with respect to C) to any of its points. In such a case, C is called a *symmetry centre* for X .

Exercise 15.5.2 In the euclidean affine plane \mathbb{E}^2 consider the point $C = (2, 3)$. Given the point $P = (1, -1)$, we determine its symmetric P' with respect to C . And with the line $\Sigma_r : 2x - y - 3 = 0$, we determine its symmetric r' with respect to C .

We consider the line r_{CP} through P and C , which has the vector equation

$$r_{CP} : (x, y) = (1, -1) + \lambda(1, 4).$$

The distance between P and C is given by $\|P - C\| = \sqrt{17}$, so the point P' can be obtained by finding the value for the parameter λ such that the distance

$$\|P_\lambda - C\| = \|(-1 + \lambda, -4 + 4\lambda)\| = \sqrt{(-1 + \lambda)^2 + (-4 + 4\lambda)^2}$$

be equal to $\|P - C\|$. We have then

$$\sqrt{(-1 + \lambda)^2 + 16(-1 + \lambda)^2} = \sqrt{17} \Rightarrow \sqrt{17(-1 + \lambda)^2} = \sqrt{17} \Rightarrow \sqrt{(-1 + \lambda)^2} = 1$$

that is $\|-1 + \lambda\| = 1$, giving $\lambda = 2, \lambda = 0$. For $\lambda = 0$ we have $P_{\lambda=0} = P$, so $P' = P_{\lambda=2} = (3, 7)$.

In order to determine r' we observe that $P \in r$ and we claim that, since r is a line, the set r' symmetric to r with respect to C is a line as well. It is then sufficient to write the line through P' and another point Q' which is symmetric to $Q \in r$ with respect to C . By choosing $Q = (0, -3) \in r$, it is immediate to compute, with the same steps as above, that $Q' = (4, 9)$. We conclude that $r' = r_{CQ'}$, with vector equation

$$r' : (x = 3 + \lambda, y = 7 + 2\lambda).$$

Definition 15.5.3 Let A, B be points in \mathbb{E}^n . The *midpoint* M_{AB} of the line segment \overline{AB} is the (unique) point of the line r_{AB} with $\|M_{AB} - A\| = \|M_{AB} - B\|$.

Notice that A is the symmetric point to B with respect to M_{AB} , and clearly B is the symmetric point to A with respect to M_{AB} with $M_{AB} = M_{BA}$. One indeed has the vector equality $A - M_{AB} = M_{AB} - B$, giving

$$M_{AB} = \frac{A + B}{2}.$$

The set H_{AB} given by the points

$$H_{AB} = \{P \in \mathbb{E}^n : \|P - A\| = \|P - B\|\}$$

can be shown to be the hyperplane passing through M_{AB} and orthogonal to the line segment \overline{AB} . The set H_{AB} is called the *bisecting hyperplane* of the line segment \overline{AB} . In \mathbb{E}^2 is the bisecting line of \overline{AB} , while in \mathbb{E}^3 is the *bisecting plane* of \overline{AB} .

Exercise 15.5.4 Consider the line segment in \mathbb{E}^2 whose endpoints are $A = (1, 2)$ and $B = (3, 4)$. Its midpoint is given by

$$M_{AB} = \frac{A + B}{2} = \frac{(1, 2) + (3, 4)}{2} = (2, 3).$$

A point $P = (x, y)$ belongs to the bisecting line if $\|P - A\|^2 = (x - 1)^2 + (y - 2)^2$ equates $\|P - B\|^2 = (x - 3)^2 + (y - 4)^2 = \|P_B\|^2$, which gives

$$(x - 1)^2 + (y - 2)^2 = (x - 3)^2 + (y - 4)^2 \Rightarrow -2x + 1 - 4y + 4 = -6x + 9 - 8y + 16,$$

that is $\Sigma_{H_{AB}} : x + y - 5 = 0$. It is immediate to check that $M \in H_{AB}$. The direction of the bisecting line is spanned by $(1, -1)$, which is orthogonal to the direction vector $B - A = (2, 2)$ spanning the direction of the line r_{AB} .

Exercise 15.5.5 Consider the points $A = (1, 2, -1)$ and $B = (3, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{E}^3 . The corresponding midpoint is

$$M_{AB} = \frac{A + B}{2} = \frac{(1, 2, -1) + (3, 0, 1)}{2} = (2, 1, 0).$$

The bisecting plane H_{AB} is given by the points $P = (x, y, z)$ fulfilling the condition

$$(x - 1)^2 + (y - 2)^2 + (z + 1)^2 = \|P - A\|^2 = \|P - B\|^2 = (x - 3)^2 + y^2 + (z - 1)^2$$

which gives

$$\Sigma_{\pi} : x - y + z - 1 = 0.$$

The bisecting plane is then orthogonal to $(1, -1, 1)$, with r_{AB} having a direction vector given by $B - A = (2, -2, 2)$.

Having defined the notion of symmetry of a set in \mathbb{E}^n with respect to a point, we might wonder about a meaningful definition of symmetry of a set *with respect to an arbitrary linear affine variety* in \mathbb{E}^n . Such a task turns out to be quite hard in general, so we focus on the easy case of defining only the notion of symmetry with respect to a hyperplane.

Firstly, a general definition.

Definition 15.5.6 Let $H \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be a hyperplane.

- (a) Let $P \in \mathbb{E}^n$ be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{E}^n . The *symmetric point to P with respect to H* is the element $P' \in \mathbb{E}^n$ such that H is the bisecting hyperplane of the line segment $\overline{PP'}$.
- (b) Let $X \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ be a set of points. The *symmetric points to X with respect to H* is the set $X' \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ given by every point P' which is symmetric to any P in X with respect to H .
- (c) Let $X \subset \mathbb{E}^n$. We say that X is *symmetric with respect to H* if $X = X'$, that is if X contains the symmetric point (with respect to H) to any of its points. In such a case, H is called a *symmetry hyperplane* for X .

Remark 15.5.7 Notice that if P' is the symmetric point to P with respect to the hyperplane H , then the line $r_{PP'}$ is orthogonal to H and $d(P', H) = d(P, H)$.

We finish with some examples on the simplest cases in \mathbb{E}^2 and \mathbb{E}^3 .

Exercise 15.5.8 A line is a hyperplane in \mathbb{E}^2 . Given the point $P = (1, 2)$ we determine its symmetric P' with respect to the line whose equation is $\Sigma_r : 2x + y - 2$.

We observe that if t is the line through P which is orthogonal to r , then P' is the point in t fixed by the condition $d(P, r) = d(P', r)$. The direction of t is clearly spanned by the vector $(2, 1)$, so

$$t : \begin{cases} x = 1 + 2\lambda \\ y = 2 + \lambda \end{cases}$$

and the points in t can be written as $Q_\lambda = (1 + 2\lambda, 2 + \lambda)$. By setting

$$d(Q_\lambda, r) = d(P, r) \Rightarrow \frac{|2(1 + 2\lambda) + (2 + \lambda) - 2|}{\sqrt{4 + 1}} = \frac{|2 + 2 - 2|}{\sqrt{4 + 1}} \Rightarrow |5\lambda + 2| = 2$$

we see that $Q_{\lambda=0} = P$, while $Q_{\lambda=-4/5} = P' = \frac{1}{5}(-3, 6)$.

Exercise 15.5.9 Given $P = (0, 1, -2) \in \mathbb{E}^3$, we determine its symmetric P' with respect to the hyperplane π (which is indeed a plane, since we are in \mathbb{E}^3) whose equation is $\Sigma_\pi : 2x + 4y + 4z - 5 = 0$.

We firstly find the line t through P which is orthogonal to π . The orthogonal subspace to π is spanned by the vector $(2, 4, 4)$ or equivalently $(1, 2, 2)$, so the line t has parametric equation

$$t : \begin{cases} x = \lambda \\ y = 1 + 2\lambda \\ z = -2 + 2\lambda \end{cases} .$$

Since for the symmetric point P' it is $d(P, \pi) = d(P', \pi)$, we label a point Q in t by the parameter λ as $Q_\lambda = (\lambda, 1 + 2\lambda, -2 + 2\lambda)$ and impose

$$d(Q_\lambda, \pi) = d(P, \pi) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|2\lambda + 4(1 + 2\lambda) + 4(-2 + 2\lambda) - 5|}{\sqrt{36}} = \frac{|4 - 8 - 5|}{\sqrt{36}} \Rightarrow |18\lambda - 9| = 9.$$

We see that $P = Q_{\lambda=0}$ and $P' = Q_{\lambda=1} = P' = (1, 3, 0)$.

Exercise 15.5.10 In \mathbb{E}^3 let us determine the line r' which is symmetric to the line with equation $r : (x, y, z) = (0, 1, -2) + \mu(1, 0, 0)$ with respect to the plane π with equation $\pi : 2x + 4y + 4z - 5 = 0$.

The plane π is the same plane we considered in the previous exercise. Its orthogonal space is spanned by the vector $(1, 2, 2)$. By labelling a point of the line r as $P_\mu = (\mu, 1, -2)$, we find the line t_μ which passes through P_μ and is orthogonal to π . A parametric equation for t_μ is given by

$$t_\mu : \begin{cases} x = \mu + \lambda \\ y = 1 + 2\lambda \\ z = -2 + 2\lambda \end{cases}.$$

We label then points Q in t_μ by writing $Q_{\lambda,\mu} = (\mu + \lambda, 1 + 2\lambda, -2 + 2\lambda)$. We require

$$d(Q_{\lambda,\mu}, \pi) = d(P_\mu, \pi)$$

as a condition to determine λ , since μ will yield a parameter for the line r' . We have

$$d(Q_{\lambda,\mu}, \pi) = \frac{|2(\mu + \lambda) + 4(1 + 2\lambda) + 4(-2 + 2\lambda) - 5|}{\sqrt{36}} \\ d(P_\mu, \pi) = \frac{|2\mu + 4 - 8 - 5|}{\sqrt{36}}.$$

From $d(Q_{\lambda,\mu}, \pi) = d(P_\mu, \pi)$ we have

$$|2\mu + 18\lambda - 9| = |2\mu - 9| \Rightarrow 2\mu + 18\lambda - 9 = \pm(2\mu - 9).$$

For $\lambda = 0$ we recover $Q_{\lambda=0,\mu} = P_\mu$. The other solution is $\lambda = -\frac{2}{9}\mu + 1$, giving

$$Q_{\lambda=-\frac{2}{9}\mu+1,\mu} = P'_\mu = \left(\frac{7}{9}\mu + 1, -\frac{4}{9}\mu + 3, -\frac{4}{9}\mu \right).$$

By a rescaling of the parameter μ , a vector equation for the line r' can be written as

$$r' : (x, y, z) = (1, 3, 0) + \mu(7, -4, -4).$$

Exercise 15.5.11 Consider the set $X \subset \mathbb{E}^2$ given by

$$X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2 : y = 5x^2\}$$

and the line r whose cartesian equation is $\Sigma_r : x = 0$. We wish to show that r is a symmetry *axis* for X , that is X is symmetric with respect to r . We have then to prove that each point P' , symmetric to any point $P \in X$ with respect to r , is an element in X .

Let us consider a generic $P = (x_0, y_0) \in X$ and determine its symmetric with respect to r . The line t through P which is orthogonal to r has the following parametric equation

$$t : \begin{cases} x = x_0 + \lambda \\ y = y_0 \end{cases}.$$

A point in t is then labelled $P_\lambda = (x_0 + \lambda, y_0)$. For its distance from r we compute $d(P_\lambda, r) = |x_0 + \lambda|$, while $d(P, r) = |x_0|$. By imposing that these two distances coincide, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(P_\lambda, r) = d(P, r) &\Leftrightarrow |x_0 + \lambda| = |x_0| \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_0 + \lambda)^2 = x_0^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda(2x_0 + \lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution $\lambda = 0$ corresponds to P , the solution $\lambda = -2x_0$ yields $P' = (-x_0, y_0)$. Such calculations do not depend on the fact that P is an element in X . If we consider only points P in X , we have to require that $y_0 = 5x_0^2$. It follows that $y_0 = 5(-x_0)^2$, that is $P' \in X$.