

# Chapter 3

## Numerical Integration

### 3.1 Introduction

Numerical integration is certainly one of the most important concepts in computational analysis since it plays a major role in the numerical treatment of differential equations. Given a function  $f(x)$  which is continuous on the interval  $[a, b]$ , one wishes to approximate the integral by a discrete sum of the form

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i f(x_i), \quad (3.1)$$

where the  $\omega_i$  are referred to as weights and  $x_i$  are the grid-points at which the function needs to be evaluated. Such methods are commonly referred to as *quadrature* [1, 2].

We will mainly discuss two different approaches to the numerical integration of arbitrary functions. We start with a rather simple approach, the *rectangular* rule. The search of an improvement of this method will lead us first to the *trapezoidal* rule, then to the SIMPSON rule and, finally, to a general formulation of the method, the NEWTON-COTES quadrature. This will be followed by a more advanced technique, the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature. At the end of the chapter we will discuss an elucidating example and briefly sketch extensions of all methods to more general problems, such as integration of non-differentiable functions or the evaluation of multiple integrals.

Another very important approach, which is based on random sampling methods, is the so called Monte-Carlo integration. This method will be presented in Sect. 14.2.

### 3.2 Rectangular Rule

The straight forward approach to numerical integration is to employ the concept of finite differences developed in Sect. 2.2. We regard a smooth function  $f(x)$  within the interval  $[a, b]$ , i.e.  $f(x) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty[a, b]$ . The RIEMANN definition of the proper integral of  $f(x)$  from  $a$  to  $b$  states that:

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b-a}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N f\left(a + i \frac{b-a}{N}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

We approximate the right hand side of this relation using equally spaced grid-points  $x_i \in [a, b]$  according to Eq. (2.1) and find


$$\int_a^b dx f(x) \approx h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_i. \quad (3.3)$$

It is clear that the quality of this approach strongly depends on the discretization chosen, i.e. on the values of  $x_i$  as illustrated schematically in Fig. 3.1. Again, a non-uniform grid may be of advantage. We can estimate the error of this approximation by expanding  $f(x)$  into a TAYLOR series.

We note that

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x), \quad (3.4)$$

**Fig. 3.1** Illustration of the numerical approximation of a proper integral according to Eq. (3.3)



hence, the approximation (3.3) is equivalent to an estimate of the area in the unit interval, *the elemental area*:

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) \approx hf_i. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, we find following Eq. (2.9a):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) &= \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx \left[ f_i + (x - x_i) f'_{i+\varepsilon_\zeta} \right] \\ &= f_i h + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In this last step we applied the first mean value theorem for integration which states that if  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$ , then there exists a  $\zeta \in [a, b]$  such that

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = (b - a) f(\zeta). \quad (3.7)$$

(We shall come back to the mean value theorem in the course of our discussion of Monte-Carlo integration in Chap. 14.) Consequently, the error we make with approximation (3.3) can be seen from Eq. (3.6) to be of the order  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ .

This procedure corresponds to a forward difference approach and, equivalently, backward differences can be used. This results in:

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = h \sum_{i=2}^N f_i + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Let us now define the forward and backward rectangular rule by

$${}_i I_{i+1}^+ = hf_i, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$${}_i I_{i+1}^- = hf_{i+1}, \quad (3.10)$$

respectively. Thus, we obtain from TAYLOR's expansion that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) &= {}_i I_{i+1}^+ + \frac{h^2}{2} f'_i + \frac{h^3}{3!} f''_i + \dots \\ &= {}_i I_{i+1}^- - \frac{h^2}{2} f'_{i+1} + \frac{h^3}{3!} f''_{i+1} \mp \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

However, the use of central differences gives more accurate results as has already been observed in Chap. 2 in which the differential operator was approximated. We make use of the concept of intermediate grid-points (see Sect. 2.4) and consider the integral

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x), \quad (3.12)$$

expand  $f(x)$  in a TAYLOR series around the midpoint  $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ , and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) &= \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx \left\{ f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + (x - x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) f'_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(x - x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})^2}{2} f''_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O} \left[ (x - x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})^3 \right] \right\} \\ &= h f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{h^3}{24} f''_{i+\epsilon_\zeta} \\ &= {}_i I_{i+1} + \frac{h^3}{24} f''_{i+\epsilon_\zeta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, the error generated by this method, the central rectangular rule, scales as  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$  which is a significant improvement in comparison to Eqs. (3.3) and (3.8).<sup>1</sup> We obtain


$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(h^3). \quad (3.14)$$

This approximation is known as the *rectangular rule*. It is illustrated in Fig. 3.2. Note that the boundary points  $x_1 = a$  and  $x_N = b$  do not enter Eq. (3.14). Such a procedure is commonly referred to as an *open integration rule*. On the other hand, if the end-points are taken into account by the method it is referred to as a *closed integration rule*.

---

<sup>1</sup>In this context the intermediate position  $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is understood as a true grid-point. If, on the other hand, the function value  $f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is approximated by  $\mu f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ , Eq. (2.29), the method is referred to as the *trapezoidal rule*.

**Fig. 3.2** Scheme of the rectangular integration rule according to Eq. (3.14). Note that boundary points do not enter the evaluation of the elemental areas



### 3.3 Trapezoidal Rule

An elegant alternative to the rectangular rule is found when the area between two grid-points is approximated by a trapezoid as is shown schematically in Fig. 3.3. The trapezoidal rule is obtained when the function values  $f_{i+1/2}$  at intermediate grid-points on the right hand side of the central rectangular rule (3.13) are approximated with the help of  $\mu f_{i+1/2}$ , Eq. (2.29). Thus, the elemental area is calculated from


$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) \approx \frac{h}{2} (f_i + f_{i+1}). \tag{3.15}$$

and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b dx f(x) &\approx \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (f_i + f_{i+1}) \\ &= h \left( \frac{f_1}{2} + f_2 + \dots + f_{N-1} + \frac{f_N}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{h}{2} (f_1 + f_N) + h \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} f_i \\ &= {}_1 I_N^T. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Note that this integration rule is closed, although the boundary points  $f_1$  and  $f_N$  enter the summation (3.16) only with half the weight in comparison to all other function values  $f_i$ . This stems from the fact that the function values  $f_1$  and  $f_N$  contribute only to one elemental area, the first and the last one. Another noticeable

**Fig. 3.3** Sketch of how the elemental areas under the curve  $f(x)$  are approximated by trapezoids



feature of the trapezoidal rule is that, in contrast to the rectangular rule (3.14), only function values at grid-points enter the summation, which can be desirable in some cases.

The error of this method can be estimated by inserting expansion (2.9a) into Eq. (3.16). One obtains for an elemental area:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_iI_{i+1}^T &= \frac{h}{2} (f_i + f_{i+1}) \\ &= hf_i + \frac{h^2}{2}f_i' + \frac{h^3}{4}f_i'' + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, we know from Eq. (3.6) that

$$hf_i = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) - \frac{h^2}{2}f_i' - \frac{h^3}{3!}f_i'' - \dots, \quad (3.18)$$

which, when inserted into (3.17), yields

$${}_iI_{i+1}^T = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) + \frac{h^3}{12}f_i'' + \dots \quad (3.19)$$

Hence, we observe that the error induced by the trapezoidal rule is comparable to the error of the rectangular rule, namely  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . However, since we do not have to compute function values at intermediate grid-points, this rule may be advantageous in many cases.

We remember from Chap. 2 that a more accurate estimate of a derivative was achieved by increasing the number of grid-points involved which in the case of integration leads us to the SIMPSON rule.

### 3.4 The SIMPSON Rule

The basic idea of the SIMPSON rule is to include higher order derivatives into the expansion of the integrand. These higher order derivatives, which are primarily unknown, are then approximated by expressions we obtained within the context of finite difference derivatives. Let us discuss this procedure in greater detail. To this purpose we will study the integral of  $f(x)$  within the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}]$  and expand the integrand around the midpoint  $x_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) &= \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} dx \left[ f_i + (x - x_i) f_i' + \frac{(x - x_i)^2}{2!} f_i'' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(x - x_i)^3}{3!} f_i''' + \dots \right] \\ &= 2hf_i + \frac{h^3}{3} f_i'' + \mathcal{O}(h^5). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Inserting Eq. (2.34) for  $f_i''$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} dx f(x) &= 2hf_i + \frac{h}{3} (f_{i+1} - 2f_i + f_{i-1}) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) \\ &= h \left( \frac{1}{3} f_{i-1} + \frac{4}{3} f_i + \frac{1}{3} f_{i+1} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^5). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Note that in contrast to the trapezoidal rule, the procedure described here is a three point method since the function values at three different points enter the expression. We can immediately write down the resulting integral from  $a$  to  $b$ . Since,

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x_2} dx f(x) + \int_{x_2}^{x_4} dx f(x) + \dots + \int_{x_{N-2}}^{x_N} dx f(x), \quad (3.22)$$

where we assumed that  $N$  is even and employed the discretization  $x_i = x_0 + ih$  with  $x_0 = a$  and  $x_N = b$ . We obtain:

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = \frac{h}{3} (f_0 + 4f_1 + 2f_2 + 4f_3 + \dots + 2f_{N-2} + 4f_{N-1} + f_N) + \mathcal{O}(h^5). \quad (3.23)$$

This expression is exact for polynomials of degree  $n \leq 3$  since the first term in the error expansion involves the fourth derivative. Hence, whenever the integrand is satisfactorily reproduceable by a polynomial of degree three or less, the SIMPSON rule might give almost exact estimates, independent of the discretization  $h$ .

The arguments applied above allow for a straightforward extension to four- or even more-point rules. We find, for instance,

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+3}} dx f(x) = \frac{3h}{8} (f_i + 3f_{i+1} + 3f_{i+2} + f_{i+3}) + \mathcal{O}(h^5), \quad (3.24)$$

which is usually called SIMPSON's three-eight rule.

It is important to note that all the methods discussed so far are special cases of a more general formulation, the NEWTON-COTES rules [2] which will be discussed in the next section.

### 3.5 General Formulation: The NEWTON-COTES Rules

We define the LAGRANGE interpolating polynomial  $p_{n-1}(x)$  of degree  $n - 1$  [3–5] to a function  $f(x)$  as<sup>2</sup>

$$p_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j L_j^{(n-1)}(x), \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$L_j^{(n-1)}(x) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{x - x_k}{x_j - x_k}. \quad (3.26)$$

An arbitrary smooth function  $f(x)$  can then be expressed with the help of a LAGRANGE polynomial of degree  $n$  by

$$f(x) = p_{n-1}(x) + \frac{f^{(n)}[\xi(x)]}{n!} (x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n). \quad (3.27)$$

If we neglect the second term on the right hand side of this equation and integrate the LAGRANGE polynomial of degree  $n - 1$  over the  $n$  grid-points from  $x_1$  to  $x_n$  we obtain the closed  $n$ -point NEWTON-COTES formulas. For instance, if we set  $n = 2$ ,

---

<sup>2</sup>The LAGRANGE polynomial  $p_{n-1}(x)$  to the function  $f(x)$  is the polynomial of degree  $n - 1$  that satisfies the  $n$  equations  $p_{n-1}(x_j) = f(x_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $x_j$  denotes arbitrary but distinct grid-points.

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1(x) &= f_1 L_1^{(1)}(x) + f_2 L_2^{(1)}(x) \\
 &= f_1 \frac{x - x_2}{x_1 - x_2} + f_2 \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} [x(f_2 - f_1) - x_1 f_2 + x_2 f_1], \tag{3.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $f_1 \equiv f(x_1)$  and  $f_2 \equiv f(x_2)$ . Integration over the respective interval yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx p_1(x) &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{x^2}{2} (f_2 - f_1) + x(x_2 f_1 - x_1 f_2) \right]_{x_1}^{x_2} \\
 &= \frac{h}{2} [f_2 + f_1], \tag{3.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the trapezoidal rule. By setting  $n = 3$  one obtains SIMPSON's rule and setting  $n = 4$  gives the SIMPSON's three-eighth rule.

The *open* NEWTON-COTES rule can be obtained by integrating the polynomial  $p_{n-1}(x)$  of degree  $n - 1$  which includes the grid-points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_{n+1}$ . The fact that these relations are open means that the function values at the boundary points  $x_0 = x_1 - h$  and  $x_{n+1} = x_n + h$  do not enter the final expressions. The simplest open NEWTON-COTES formula is the central integral approximation which we encountered as the rectangular rule (3.14). A second order approximation is easily found with help of the two-point LAGRANGE polynomial (3.28)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{x_0}^{x_3} dx p_1(x) &= \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{x^2}{2} (f_2 - f_1) + x(x_2 f_1 - x_1 f_2) \right]_{x_0}^{x_3} \\
 &= \frac{3h}{2} [f_2 + f_1]. \tag{3.30}
 \end{aligned}$$

Higher order approximations can be obtained in a similar fashion. To conclude this section let us briefly discuss an idea which is referred to as ROMBERG's method [6].

So far, we approximated all integrals by expressions of the form

$$I = \mathcal{I}^N + \mathcal{O}(h^m), \tag{3.31}$$

where  $I$  is the exact, unknown, value of the integral,  $\mathcal{I}^N$  is the estimate obtained from an integration scheme using  $N$  grid-points, and  $m$  is the leading order of the error. Let us review the error of the trapezoidal approximation: we learned that the

error for the integral over the interval  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  scales like  $h^3$ . Since we have  $N$  such intervals, we conclude that the total error behaves like  $(b-a)h^2$ . Similarly, the error of the three-point SIMPSON rule is for each sub-interval proportional to  $h^5$  and this gives in total  $(b-a)h^4$ . We assume that this trend can be generalized and conclude that the error of an  $n$ -point method with the estimate  $\mathcal{I}_n$  behaves like  $h^{2n-2}$ . Since,  $h \propto N^{-1}$  we have

$$I = \mathcal{I}_n^N + \frac{C_N}{N^{2n-2}}, \quad (3.32)$$

where  $C_N$  depends on the number of grid-points  $N$ . Let us double the amount of grid-points and we obtain:

$$I = \mathcal{I}_n^{2N} + \frac{C_{2N}}{(2N)^{2n-2}}. \quad (3.33)$$


Obviously, Eqs. (3.32) and (3.33) can be regarded as a linear system of equations in  $I$  and  $C$  if  $C_N \approx C_{2N} \approx C$ . Solving Eqs. (3.32) and (3.33) for  $I$  yields

$$I \approx \frac{1}{4^{n-1} - 1} (4^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_n^{2N} - \mathcal{I}_n^N). \quad (3.34)$$

It has to be emphasized that in the above expression  $I$  is no longer the exact value because of the approximation  $C_N \approx C$ . However, it is an improvement of the solution and it is possible to demonstrate that this new estimate is exactly the value one would have obtained with an integral approximation of order  $n+1$  and  $2N$  grid-points! Thus

$$\mathcal{I}_{n+1}^{2N} = \frac{1}{4^{n-1} - 1} (4^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_n^{2N} - \mathcal{I}_n^N). \quad (3.35)$$

This suggests a very elegant and rapid procedure: We simply calculate the integrals using two point rules and add the results according to Eq. (3.35) to obtain more-point results. For instance, calculate  $\mathcal{I}_2^2$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2^4$ , add these according to Eq. (3.35) and get  $\mathcal{I}_3^4$ . Now calculate  $\mathcal{I}_2^8$ , add  $\mathcal{I}_2^4$ , get  $\mathcal{I}_3^8$ , add  $\mathcal{I}_3^4$  and get  $\mathcal{I}_4^8$ . This pyramid-like procedure can be continued until convergence is achieved, that is  $|\mathcal{I}_m^N - \mathcal{I}_{m+1}^N| < \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily. An illustration of this method is given in Fig. 3.4.



**Fig. 3.4** Illustration of the ROMBERG method. Here, the  $\mathcal{F}(m, n)$  are synonyms for integrals  $\mathcal{I}_m^n$  where the first index  $m$  refers to the order of the quadrature while the second index  $n$  refers to the number of grid-points used. Note that we only have to use a second order integration scheme (left row inside the box), all other values are determined via Eq. (3.35) as indicated by the arrows

### 3.6 GAUSS-LEGENDRE Quadrature

In preparation for the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature we introduce a set of orthogonal LEGENDRE polynomials  $P_\ell(x)$  [3, 4, 7, 8] which are solutions of the LEGENDRE differential equation

$$(1 - x^2) P''_\ell(x) - 2xP'_\ell(x) + \ell(\ell + 1)P_\ell(x) = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

This equation occurs, for instance, when the LAPLACE equation  $\Delta f(x) = 0$  is transformed to spherical coordinates. Here, we will introduce the most important properties of LEGENDRE polynomials which will be required for an understanding of the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature.

LEGENDRE polynomials are given by

$$P_\ell(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,\ell} x^k, \tag{3.37}$$

where the coefficients  $a_{k,\ell}$  can be determined recursively:

$$a_{k+2,\ell} = \frac{k(k + 1) - \ell(\ell + 1)}{(k + 1)(k + 2)} a_{k,\ell}. \tag{3.38}$$

Hence, for even values of  $\ell$  the LEGENDRE polynomial involves only even powers of  $x$  and for odd  $\ell$  only odd powers of  $x$ . Note also that according to Eq. (3.38) for  $k \geq \ell$  the coefficients are equal to zero and, thus, it follows from Eq. (3.37) that the

$P_\ell(x)$  are polynomials of degree  $\ell$ . Furthermore, the LEGENDRE polynomials fulfill the orthonormality condition

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx P_\ell(x) P_{\ell'}(x) = \frac{2}{2\ell' + 1} \delta_{\ell\ell'}, \quad (3.39)$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is KRONECKER's delta. One obtains, in particular,

$$P_0(x) = 1, \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$P_1(x) = x. \quad (3.41)$$

Another convenient way to calculate LEGENDRE polynomials is based on RODRIGUES' formula

$$P_\ell(x) = \frac{1}{2^\ell \ell!} \frac{d^\ell}{dx^\ell} (x^2 - 1)^\ell. \quad (3.42)$$

We focus now on the core of the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature and introduce the function  $F(x)$  as a transform of the function  $f(x)$

$$F(x) = \frac{b-a}{2} f\left(\frac{b-a}{2}x + \frac{b+a}{2}\right), \quad (3.43)$$

in such a way that we can rewrite the integral of interest as:

$$\int_a^b dx f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 dx F(x). \quad (3.44)$$

If the function  $F(x)$  can be well approximated by some polynomial of degree  $2n-1$ , like

$$F(x) \approx p_{2n-1}(x), \quad (3.45)$$

then this means that according to TAYLOR's theorem (2.7) the error introduced by this approximation is proportional to  $F^{(2n)}(x)$ . If the polynomial  $p_{2n-1}(x)$  is explicitly given then we can apply the methods discussed in the previous sections to approximate the integral (3.44). However, even if the polynomial is not explicitly given we write the integral (3.44) as

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i F(x_i), \quad (3.46)$$

with weights  $\omega_i$  and grid-points  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  which are yet undetermined! Therefore, we will determine the weights  $\omega_i$  and grid-points  $x_i$  in such a way, that the integral is well approximated *even* if the polynomial  $p_{2n-1}$  in Eq. (3.45) is unknown. For this purpose we decompose  $p_{2n-1}(x)$  into

$$p_{2n-1}(x) = p_{n-1}(x)P_n(x) + q_{n-1}(x), \quad (3.47)$$

where  $P_n(x)$  is the LEGENDRE polynomial of degree  $n$  and  $p_{n-1}(x)$  and  $q_{n-1}(x)$  are polynomials of degree  $n-1$ . Since  $p_{n-1}(x)$  itself is a polynomial of degree  $n-1$ , it can also be expanded in LEGENDRE polynomials of degrees up to  $n-1$  by

$$p_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i P_i(x). \quad (3.48)$$

Using Eq. (3.48) in (3.47) we obtain together with normalization relation (3.39)

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx p_{2n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \int_{-1}^1 dx P_i(x)P_n(x) + \int_{-1}^1 dx q_{n-1}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 dx q_{n-1}(x). \quad (3.49)$$

Moreover, since  $P_n(x)$  is a LEGENDRE polynomial of degree  $n$  it has  $n$ -zeros in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and Eq. (3.47) results in

$$p_{2n-1}(x_i) = q_{n-1}(x_i), \quad (3.50)$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  denote the zeros of  $P_n(x)$  and these zeros determine the grid-points of our integration routine. It is interesting to note, that these zeros are independent of the function  $F(x)$  we want to integrate. We also expand  $q_{n-1}(x)$  in terms of LEGENDRE polynomials

$$q_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i P_i(x), \quad (3.51)$$

and use it in Eq. (3.50) to obtain

$$p_{2n-1}(x_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k P_k(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.52)$$

which can be written in a more compact form by defining  $p_i \equiv p_{2n-1}(x_i)$  and  $P_{ki} \equiv P_k(x_i)$ :

$$p_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k P_{ki}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.53)$$

It has to be emphasized again that the grid-points  $x_i$  are independent of the polynomial  $p_{2n-1}(x)$  and, therefore, independent of  $F(x)$ . Furthermore, we can replace  $p_i \approx F(x_i) \equiv F_i$  according to Eq. (3.45). We recognize that Eq. (3.53) corresponds to a system of linear equations which can be solved for the weights  $b_k$ . We obtain

$$b_k = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i [\mathbf{P}^{-1}]_{ik}, \quad (3.54)$$

where  $\mathbf{P}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \{P_{ij}\}$ , which is known to be non-singular. We can now rewrite the integral (3.44) with the help of Eqs. (3.45), (3.49), and (3.51) together with the properties of the zeros of LEGENDRE polynomials [7, 8] as

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx F(x) \approx \int_{-1}^1 dx p_{2n-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \int_{-1}^1 dx P_k(x). \quad (3.55)$$

Since  $P_0(x) = 1$  according to Eq. (3.40), we deduce from Eq. (3.39)

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx P_k(x) = \int_{-1}^1 dx P_k(x) P_0(x) = \frac{2}{2k+1} \delta_{k0} = 2\delta_{k0}. \quad (3.56)$$

Hence, Eq. (3.55) reads

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx F(x) \approx 2b_0 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n F_i [\mathbf{P}^{-1}]_{i0}. \quad (3.57)$$

By defining

$$\omega_i = 2 [\mathbf{P}^{-1}]_{i0}, \quad (3.58)$$

we arrive at the desired expansion

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx F(x) \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i F_i. \quad (3.59)$$

Moreover, since we approximated  $F(x)$  by a polynomial of degree  $2n - 1$ , the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature is exact for polynomials of degree  $2n - 1$ , i.e. the error is proportional to a derivative of  $F(x)$  of order  $2n$ . Furthermore, expression (3.58) can be put in a more convenient form. One can show that

$$\omega_i = \frac{2}{(1 - x_i^2) [P'_n(x_i)]^2}, \quad (3.60)$$

where

$$P'_n(x_i) = \left. \frac{d}{dx} P_n(x) \right|_{x=x_i}. \quad (3.61)$$

Let us make some concluding remarks. The grid-points  $x_i$  as well as the weights  $\omega_i$  are independent of the actual function  $F(x)$  we want to integrate. This means, that one can table these values once and for all [7, 8] and use them for different types of problems. The grid-points  $x_i$  are symmetrically distributed around the point  $x = 0$ , i.e. for every  $x_j$  there is a  $-x_j$ . Furthermore, these two grid-points have the same weight  $\omega_j$ . The density of grid-points increases approaching the boundary, however, the boundary points themselves are not included, which means that the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature is an *open method*. Furthermore, it has to be emphasized that low order GAUSS-LEGENDRE parameters can easily be calculated by employing relation (3.42). This makes the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature the predominant integration method. In comparison to the trapezoidal rule or even the ROMBERG method, it needs in many cases a smaller number of grid-points, is simpler to implement, converges faster and yields more accurate results. One drawback of this method is that one has to compute the function  $F(x)$  at the zeros of the LEGENDRE polynomial  $x_i$ . This can be a problem if the integrand at hand is not known analytically.

It is important to note at this point that comparable procedures exist which use other types of orthogonal polynomials, such as HERMITE polynomials. This procedure is known as the GAUSS-HERMITE quadrature.

Table 3.1 lists the methods, discussed in the previous sections, which allow to calculate numerically an estimate of integrals of the form:

$$\int_a^b dx f(x). \quad (3.62)$$

Equal grid-spacing  $h$  is assumed, with the GAUSS-LEGENDRE method as the only exception. The particular value of  $h$  depends on the order of the method employed and is given in Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1** Summary of the quadrature methods discussed in this chapter applied to the integral  $\int_a^b dx f(x)$ . For a detailed description consult the corresponding sections. Equal grid-spacing is assumed for all methods except for the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature. The explicit values of  $h$  depend on the order of the method and are listed in the table. Furthermore, we use  $x_i = a + ih$  and denote  $f(x_i) = f_i$ . The function  $P^{(m)}(x)$  which appears in the description of the NEWTON-COTES rules denotes the  $m$ -th order LAGRANGE interpolating polynomial and  $P_m(x)$  is the  $m$ -th degree LEGENDRE polynomial

$n$	$h$	$\mathcal{I}$	Method	Comment
1	$\frac{b-a}{2}$	$hf_1$	Rectangular	Open
2	$b - a$	$\frac{h}{2} (f_0 + f_1)$	Trapezoidal	Closed
3	$\frac{b-a}{2}$	$\frac{h}{3} (f_0 + 4f_1 + f_2)$	SIMPSON	Closed
4	$\frac{b-a}{3}$	$\frac{3h}{8} (f_0 + 3f_1 + 3f_2 + f_3)$	SIMPSON $\frac{3}{8}$	Closed
$m$	$\frac{b-a}{m-1}$	$\int_{x_0}^{x_{m-1}} dx P^{(m)}(x)$	NEWTON-COTES	Closed
$m$	$\frac{b-a}{m+1}$	$\int_{x_0}^{x_{m+1}} dx P^{(m)}(x)$	NEWTON-COTES	Open
$m$	$P_m(x_j) = 0$	$\frac{b-a}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j f(z_j)$ $z_j = \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{a-b}{2} x_j$ $\omega_j = \frac{2}{(1-x_j)^2 [P'_m(x_j)]^2}$	GAUSS-LEGENDRE	Open

### 3.7 An Example

Let us discuss as an example the following proper integral:

$$I = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{x+2} = \ln(3) - \ln(1) \approx 1.09861 . \tag{3.63}$$

We will now apply the various methods of Table 3.1 to approximate Eq. (3.63). Note that these methods could give better results if a finer grid had been chosen. However, since this is only an illustrative example, we wanted to keep it as simple as possible. The rectangular rule gives

$$\mathcal{I}_R = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0.5 , \tag{3.64}$$

the trapezoidal rule

$$\mathcal{I}_T = \frac{2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{4}{3} = 1.333 \dots , \tag{3.65}$$

and an application of the SIMPSON rule yields

$$\mathcal{I}_S = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{4}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) = \frac{10}{9} = 1.111 \dots . \tag{3.66}$$

Finally, we apply the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature in a second order approximation. We could look up the parameters in [7, 8], however, for illustrative reasons we will calculate those in this simple case. For a second order approximation we need the LEGENDRE polynomial of second degree. It can be obtained from RODRIGUES' formula (3.42):

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(x) &= \frac{1}{2^2 2!} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x^2 - 1)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (3x^2 - 1) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

In a next step the zeros  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of  $P_2(x)$  are determined from Eq. (3.67) which results immediately in:

$$x_{1,2} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \approx \pm 0.57735 . \quad (3.68)$$

The weights  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  can now be evaluated according to Eq. (3.60):

$$\omega_i = \frac{2}{(1 - x_i^2) [P_2'(x_i)]^2} . \quad (3.69)$$

It follows from Eq. (3.67) that

$$P_2'(x) = 3x , \quad (3.70)$$

and, thus,

$$P_2'(x_1) = -\sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad P_2'(x_2) = \sqrt{3} . \quad (3.71)$$

This is used to calculate the weights from Eq. (3.69):

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1 . \quad (3.72)$$

We combine the results (3.68) and (3.72) to arrive at the GAUSS-LEGENDRE estimate of the integral (3.63):

$$\mathcal{I}_{GL} = \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + 2} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + 2} = 1.090909 \dots . \quad (3.73)$$

Obviously, a second order GAUSS-LEGENDRE approximation results already in a much better estimate of the integral (3.63) than the trapezoidal rule which is also of second order. It is also better than the estimate by the SIMPSON rule which is of third order.

### 3.8 Concluding Discussion

Let us briefly discuss some further aspects of numerical integration. In many cases one is confronted with improper integrals of the form

$$\int_a^\infty dx f(x), \quad \int_{-\infty}^a dx f(x), \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx f(x). \quad (3.74)$$

The question arises whether or not we can treat such an integral with the methods discussed so far. The answer is yes, it is possible as we will demonstrate using the integral

$$I = \int_a^\infty dx f(x). \quad (3.75)$$

as an example; other integrals can be treated in a similar fashion. We rewrite Eq. (3.75) as

$$I = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b dx f(x) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} I(b). \quad (3.76)$$

One now calculates  $I(b_1)$  for some  $b_1 > a$  and  $I(b_2)$  for some  $b_2 > b_1$ . If  $|I(b_2) - I(b_1)| < \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$  is the required accuracy, the resulting value  $I(b_2)$  can be regarded as the appropriate estimate to  $I$ .<sup>3</sup> However, in many cases it is easier to perform an integral transform in order to map the infinite interval onto a finite interval. For instance, consider [9]

$$I = \int_0^\infty dx \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{4}{3}}}. \quad (3.77)$$

The transformation

$$t = \frac{1}{1+x} \quad (3.78)$$

gives

$$I = \int_0^1 dt \frac{t^{\frac{2}{3}}}{[t^2 + (1-t)^2]^{\frac{4}{3}}}. \quad (3.79)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Particular care is required when dealing with periodic functions!

Thus, we mapped the interval  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Integral (3.79) can now be approximated with help of the methods discussed in the previous sections. These can also be applied to approximate convergent integrals whose integrand shows singular behavior within  $[a, b]$ .

If the integrand  $f(x)$  is not smooth within the interval  $I : x \in [a, b]$  we can split the total integral into a sum over sub-intervals. For instance, if we consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \cos(x), & x < 0, \\ x \sin(x), & x \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

we can calculate the integral over the interval  $I : x \in [-10, 10]$  as

$$\int_{-10}^{10} dx f(x) = \int_{-10}^0 dx x \cos(x) + \int_0^{10} dx x \sin(x).$$

We generalize this result and write

$$\int_I dx f(x) = \sum_k \int_{I_k} dx f(x), \quad (3.80)$$

with sub-intervals  $I_k \in I, \forall k$  and the integrand  $f(x)$  is assumed to be smooth within each sub-interval  $I_k$  but not necessarily within the interval  $I$ . We can then apply one of the methods discussed in this chapter to calculate an estimate of the integral over any of the sub-intervals  $I_k$ .

Similar to the discussion in Sect. 2.5 about the approximation of partial derivatives on the basis of finite differences, one can apply the rules of quadrature developed here for different dimensions to obtain an estimate of multi-dimensional integrals. However, the complexity of the problem is significantly increased if the integration boundaries are functions of the variables rather than constants. For instance,

$$\int_a^b dx \int_{\varphi_1(x)}^{\varphi_2(x)} dy f(x, y). \quad (3.81)$$

Such cases are rather difficult to handle and the method to choose depends highly on the form of the functions  $\varphi_1(x)$ ,  $\varphi_2(x)$  and  $f(x, y)$ . We will not deal with integrals of this kind because this is beyond the scope of this book. The interested reader is referred to books by DAHLQUIST and BJÖRK [10] and by PRESS et al. [11].

In a final remark we would like to point out that it can be of advantage to utilize the properties of FOURIER transforms when integrals of the convolution type are to be approximated numerically (see Appendix D).

## Summary

The starting point was the concept of finite differences (Sect. 2.2). Based on this concept proper integrals over smooth functions  $f(x)$  were approximated by a sum over elemental areas with the elemental area defined as the area under  $f(x)$  between two consecutive grid-points. The simplest method, the *rectangular rule*, was based on forward/backward differences. It was a *closed* method, i.e. the functional values at the boundaries were included. On the other hand, a rectangular rule based on central differences was an *open* method, i.e. the functional values at the boundaries were not included. Application of the TAYLOR expansion (2.7) revealed that the methodological error of the rectangular rule was of order  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ . With the elemental area approximated by a trapezoid we arrived at the *trapezoidal rule*. It was a closed method and the methodological error was of order  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . The inclusion of higher order derivatives of  $f(x)$  allowed the derivation of the SIMPSON rules of quadrature. They resulted a remarkable reduction of the methodological error. A more general formulation of all these methods was based on the interpolation of the function  $f(x)$  using LAGRANGE interpolating polynomials of degree  $n$  and resulted in the class of NEWTON-COTES rules. For various orders of  $n$  of the interpolating polynomial all the above rules were derived. Within this context a particularly useful method, the ROMBERG method, was discussed. By adding diligently only two-point rules the error of the numerical estimate of the integral has been made arbitrarily small. An even more general approach was offered by the GAUSS-LEGENDRE quadrature which used LEGENDRE polynomials of degree  $\ell$  to approximate the function  $f(x)$ . The grid-points were defined by the zeros of the  $\ell$ -th degree polynomial and the weights  $\omega_j$  in Eq. (3.1) were proportional to the square of the inverse first derivative of the polynomial. This method had the enormous advantage that the grid-points and weights were independent of the function  $f(x)$  and, thus, could be determined once and for all for any polynomial degree  $\ell$ . Error analysis proved that this method had the smallest methodological error.

## Problems

We consider the interval  $I = [-5, 5]$  together with the functions  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$ :

$$g(x) = \exp(-x^2) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = \sin(x) .$$

We discretize the interval  $I$  by introducing  $N$  equally spaced grid-points. The corresponding  $N-1$  sub-intervals are denoted by  $I_j, j = 1, \dots, N-1$ . In the following we wish to calculate estimates of the integrals

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_I dx g(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_2 = \int_I dx h(x) .$$

Furthermore, we add a third integral of the form

$$\mathcal{I}_3 = \int_I dx h^2(x) = \int_I dx \sin^2(x) ,$$

to our discussion.

1. Evaluate  $\mathcal{I}_1$  with the help of the *error function*  $\text{erf}(x)$ , which should be supplied by the environment you use as an intrinsic function. Note that the error function is defined as

$$\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x dz \exp(-z^2). \quad (3.82)$$

Hence you should be able to express  $\mathcal{I}_1$  in terms of  $\text{erf}(x)$ .

2. Calculate  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{I}_3$  analytically.
3. In order to approximate  $\mathcal{I}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{I}_3$  with the help of the two second order methods we discussed in this chapter, employ the following strategy: First the integrals are rewritten as

$$\int_I dx \cdot = \sum_i \int_{I_i} dx \cdot ,$$

where  $\cdot$  is a placeholder for  $g(x)$ ,  $h(x)$  and  $h^2(x)$  and  $I_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  are suitable intervals. In a second step the integrals are approximated with (i) the central rectangular rule and (ii) the trapezoidal rule.

4. In addition, we approximate the integrals  $\mathcal{I}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{I}_3$  by employing SIMPSON's rule for odd  $N$ . Here

$$\int_I dx \cdot = \int_{I_1 \cup I_2} dx \cdot + \int_{I_3 \cup I_4} dx \cdot + \dots + \int_{I_{N-2} \cup I_{N-1}} dx \cdot ,$$

is used as it was discussed in Sect. 3.4.

5. Compare the results obtained with different algorithms and different numbers of grid-points,  $N$ . Plot the absolute and the relative error as a function of  $N$ .
6. Approximate numerically the integral

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx g(x).$$

7. Calculate the integral over the function

$$f(x, y) = \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) \cos(x)$$

within the intervals  $x \in [-10\pi, 10\pi]$  and  $y \in [-10, 10]$  with the help of an approximation of your choice.

8. Demonstrate numerically that the line integral over closed loops  $\mathcal{C}$  of the function  $f(x, y)$  of the previous problem vanishes:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} ds \cdot \nabla f(x, y) = 0.$$

## References

1. Jordan, C.: Calculus of Finite Differences, 3rd edn. AMS Chelsea, Providence (1965)
2. Ueberhuber, C.W.: Numerical Computation 2: Methods, Software and Analysis. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg (1997)
3. Mathai, A.M., Haubold, H.J.: Special Functions for Applied Scientists. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg (2008)
4. Beals, R., Wong, R.: Special Functions. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
5. Fornberg, B.: A Practical Guide to Pseudospectral Methods. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999)
6. Stoer, J., Bulirsch, R.: Introduction to Numerical Analysis, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg (1993)
7. Abramovitz, M., Stegun, I.A. (eds.): Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover, New York (1965)
8. Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W.: NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
9. Sormann, H.: Numerische Methoden in der Physik. Lecture Notes. Institute of Theoretical and Computational Physics, Graz University of Technology (2011)
10. Dahlquist, G., Björk, Å.: Numerical Methods in Scientific Computing. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008)
11. Press, W.H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T., Flannery, B.P.: Numerical Recipes in C++, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)