

Appendix F

Some Results from Classical Statistics

In this section we provide some definitions and state some theorems (without proof) from classical statistics. More details can be found in Schervish (1995). Let $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^T$ be a random sample from $p(y | \theta)$.

Definition. The statistic $T(\mathbf{Y})$ is *sufficient* for θ within a family of probability distributions $p(y | \theta)$ if $p(\mathbf{y} | T(\mathbf{y}))$ does not depend upon θ .

Theorem. *The Fisher–Neyman factorization theorem states that $T(\mathbf{Y})$ is sufficient for θ if and only if*

$$p(\mathbf{y} | \theta) = g[T(\mathbf{y}) | \theta] \times h(\mathbf{y}).$$

Intuitively, all of the information in the sample with respect to θ is contained in $T(\mathbf{Y})$.

Definition. The statistic $T(\mathbf{Y})$ is *minimal sufficient* for θ within a family of probability distributions $p(y | \theta)$ if no further reduction from T is possible while retaining sufficiency.

Theorem. *The Lehmann–Scheffé theorem states that if $T(\mathbf{Y})$ satisfies the following property: for every pair of sample points \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} the ratio $p(\mathbf{y} | \theta)/p(\mathbf{z} | \theta)$ is free of θ if and only if $T(\mathbf{y}) = T(\mathbf{z})$, then T is minimal sufficient.*

Example. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent and identically distributed from the one-parameter exponential family of distributions:

$$p(y | \theta) = \exp[\theta T(y) - b(\theta) + c(y)]$$

for functions $b(\cdot)$ and $c(\cdot)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n T(Y_i)$ is sufficient for θ by the factorization theorem and minimal sufficient by the Lehmann–Sheffé theorem.

Definition. A statistic $V = V(\mathbf{y})$ is *ancillary* for θ within a family of probability distributions $p(y | \theta)$ if its distribution does not depend on θ .

Definition. If a minimal sufficient statistic is $\mathbf{T} = [T_1, T_2]$ and T_2 is ancillary then T_1 is called *conditionally sufficient given T_2* .

Example. In a linear normal linear regression with covariate x , suppose that x has distribution $p(x)$ and

$$Y_i | X_i = x_i \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, letting $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]^T$, $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = [\beta_0, \beta_1]^T$ the distribution for the data is

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = p(\mathbf{x})(2\pi\sigma)^{-n/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right].$$

The sufficient statistic for $[\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2]$ is

$$\mathbf{S} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\sigma}^2, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right],$$

with the last two components being an ancillary statistic.

Definition. A statistic T is *complete* if for every real-valued function $g(\cdot)$, $E[g(T)] = 0$ for every θ implies $g(T) = 0$.

Definition. Suppose we wish to estimate $\phi = \phi(\theta)$ based on $Y | \theta \sim p(\cdot | \theta)$. An unbiased estimator $\hat{\phi}$ of ϕ is a *uniformly minimum-variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE)* if, for all other unbiased estimators $\tilde{\phi}$,

$$\text{var}(\hat{\phi}) \leq \text{var}(\tilde{\phi})$$

for all θ .

Lemma. If T is complete then $\phi(\theta)$ admits at most one unbiased estimator $\hat{\phi}(T)$ depending on T .

Theorem (Rao–Blackwell–Lehmann–Scheffé). Let $T = T(\mathbf{Y})$ be complete and sufficient for θ . If there exists at least one unbiased estimator $\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{Y})$ for $\phi(\theta)$ then there exists a unique UMVUE $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi}(T)$ for $\phi(\theta)$, namely,

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{Y}) = E[\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{Y} | T)].$$

Corollary. Let $T = T(\mathbf{Y})$ be complete and sufficient for θ . Then any function $g(T)$ is the UMVUE of its expectation $E[g(T)] = \phi(\theta)$.

Theorem. The Cramér–Rao lower bound for any unbiased estimator $\hat{\phi}$ of a scalar function of interest $\phi = \phi(\theta)$ is

$$\text{var}(\hat{\phi}) \geq -\frac{[\phi'(\theta)]^2}{\text{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} \right]},$$

where $l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y_i | \theta)$, is the log of the joint distribution, viewed as a function of θ . Equality holds if and only if $p(y | \theta)$ is a one-parameter exponential family.